

Susana Martinez, Governor Sidonie Squier, Secretary Ted Roth, Director

## General Information Memorandum

ISD-GI 13-21

TO:

**ISD Employees** 

FROM:

Ted Roth, Director, Income Support Division

RE:

**FFY 2013 SNAP Performance Report** 

DATE:

March 27, 2013

Attached please find the second issue of the Supplement. Nutrion Assistance Program (SNAP) Performance Report for FFY 2013. This report is dudes as Quality Control (QC) findings received for the review month of October 2012 through November 2012. All errors for October 2012 through November 2012 have been smalled. Along with payment and negative accuracy rates, data included in this report are the recertification, 7-day, and 30-day application processing timeliness. The Performance Goals for the state for FFY 2013 are:

Payment Accuracy of 96% of better
Negative Accuracy Rate of 95...
Expedite application processing time mess of 98% 30-day application meliness of 98% or better

Effective October 1, 2012 per IPP 12-08, counties with a payment accuracy rate of 96% or better must review cases at Level 2; all others must review cases at Level 1.

- Level 1 A county office with an error rate above 4.0% is required to complete 35 full reviews per unit per month. These completed reviews will be recorded on the Secondary Case Review Log and submitted to the Quality Improvement Section via email to <a href="https://example.com/HSD.QIS@state.nm.us">HSD.QIS@state.nm.us</a> by the second working day of the month. If scanning is not an option, logs can be faxed to 505-827-7241.
- <u>Level 2</u> A county office with an error rate of **4.0% or less** is required to complete 25 full reviews per unit per month. The record reviews will not be required to be submitted to the Quality Improvement Section.

Effective March 2007, counties with a timeliness rate below 98% in either 30-day or 7-day application processing will be required to submit a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) to the Regional Operations Manager (ROM). The ROM will take into consideration small offices with a limited number of applications that were processed in a given month when requesting CAPs; however any deficiencies will be addressed. CAPs are due at the end of the month following the last month in a reporting quarter.

Please reconcile the QC case findings that your office has received with the listing of QC cases entered into the QIS database. One copy of the listing is provided to each office. Case Finding codes are (0) Drop; (1) Eligible and Correct; (2) Overissuance; (3) Underissuance; and (4) Ineligible. A column has been added to the report to reflect cases that are pending a final ERC decision. Please note that QC timeliness is reported on the data sheet. Timeliness codes are (1) Expedite Timely; (2) Expedite Untimely; (3) Expedite Drop; and (4) Non Expedite Timely; (5) Non-Expedite Untimely; and (6) Non-Expedite Drop. Please report any Viscrepan ies to QIS.

Please share this data with staff as appropriate. If there are any caestions or comments, please contact Stephanie Valdez, Quality Improvement Section at 827-7 98 or e-mail at <a href="mailto:Stephanie.valdez1@state.nm.us.">Stephanie.valdez1@state.nm.us.</a>

#### Attachment

cc: Shalonda Moore, FNS SW Regional Office

ISD-GI 12-82 page 2

# Quality Improvement Section SNAP Performance Report Quality Control Finding FFY 2013

## 1. STATE - Cumulative Payment Error Rates

Total Paid	\$53,525		
Total Dollar Errors	\$2,054	Total Error Rate %	3.84%
Total Dollar Over	\$1,003	% Error Rate Over	1.87%
Total Dollar Under	\$0	% Error Rate Under	0.00%
Total Dollar Ineligible	\$1,051	% Error Rate Ineligible	1.96%

Agency Error (Dollar Amount):	Agency % Error Rate:
\$499	0.93%
Client Error (Dollar Amount):	Client % Error Rate:
\$1,494	2.79%

#### **Cumulative Case Error Rate**

Total Reviewed	180
% in Error	6.11%

Cases Dropped	16
Correct	169
Overpaid	7
Underpaid	0
Ineligible	4

## 2. ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES - Cumulative Payment Error Rates

		Agency		Client	Client	Total	To <sup>1</sup>	unty	HIPAC	State
HIP	AC Counties	\$ Error	% Error	\$ Error	% Error	\$ Error	\$ aid	Error	Share	Share
01	SE Bernalillo	\$0		\$0		\$0	2,628	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
07	W.Dona Ana	\$0	0%	\$92	100%	\$92	\$1, 3	6/3%	8.85%	4.48%
17	McKinley	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$2,44	J.00%	0.00%	0.00%
23	Sandoval	\$0	0%	\$736	100%	<b>9</b> .36	\$ 554	16.16%	70.77%	35.83%
24	San Juan	\$0		\$0			<b>\$3</b> 27	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
26	Santa Fe	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$1 47	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
35	NW Bernalillo	\$0		\$0		<b>~</b> 0	3,375	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
36	SW Bernalillo	\$137	100%	\$0		\$1.	\$4,501	3.04%	13.17%	6.67%
38	S. Dona Ana	\$0		\$0		Ψυ	\$2,986	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39	NE Bernalillo	\$75	100%	\$0	0%	\$75	\$5,804	1.29%	7.21%	3.65%
94	HIPAC Sub Totals	\$212	20%	\$820	8. 4	1,040	\$32,809	3.17%	100.00%	50.63%
		Agency	Agency	lient	Client	Total	Total	County	Non-HIPAC	State
Nor	n-HIPAC Counties	\$ Error	% Error	Error	% rror	\$ Error	\$ Paid	Error Rate	Share	Share
03	Chaves	\$0		*0		\$0	\$1,939	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
04	Colfax/Union	\$0		\$.		\$0	\$0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
05	Curry	\$0		02		\$0	\$1,083	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
08	Eddy	\$0				\$0	\$0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
09	Grant/Hidalgo	\$0		0		\$0	\$817	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
10	Guadalupe/DeBaca	<b>φ</b> 0		\$0		\$0	\$0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
13	Lea	*0		\$0		\$0	\$313	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
14	Lincoln	\$		\$0		\$0	\$2,559	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
16	Luna	\$233	J0%	\$0	0%	\$233	\$1,642	14.19%	22.98%	11.34%
19	Otero	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$1,658	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
20	Quay	<b>P</b> U		\$0		\$0	\$200	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
21	Rio Arriba	\$0	0%	\$200	100%	\$200	\$3,199	6.25%	19.23%	9.74%
22	Roosevelt	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$1,035	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
25	San Miguel	\$0	0%	\$466	100%	\$466	\$778	59.90%	45.96%	22.69%
27	Sierra	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$30	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
28	Socorro	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$367	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
29	Taos	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$1,058	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
30	Torrance	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$1,236	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
32	S. Valencia	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$237	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
33	Cibola	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
34	Eddy/Artesia	\$0		\$0	,	\$0	\$579	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
37	E. Dona Ana	\$54	100%	\$0	0%	\$54	\$475	11.37%	5.19%	2.63%
42	N. Valencia	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$936	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
49	NMCAP	\$0	0%	\$61	100%	\$61	\$575	10.61%	6.02%	2.97%
	Non-HIPAC Sub Totals	\$287	28%	\$666	66%	\$1,014	\$20,716	4.89%	99.4%	49.37%

#### **Regional Cumulative SNAP Performance Report Quality Control Finding** FFY2013

#### 3. STATE - Cumulative Payment Error Rates

Total Paid	\$53,525				_		
Total Dollar Errors	\$2,054	Total Erro	or Rate %	3.84%			
Total Dollar Over	\$1,003	% Erro	r Rate Over	1.87%	Ī	Cumulative Case Er	ror Rate
Total Dollar Under	\$0	% Erro	r Rate Under	0.00%	1	Total Reviewed	180
Total Dollar Ineligible	\$1,051		r Rate Ineligible	1.96%	1	% in Error	6.11%
Total Bollar Meligicio					실 되		
Agency Error (Dollar Am	nount):	Age	ncy % Error R			Cases Dropped	16
\$499			0.939	<u> </u>	_	Correct	169
					=1	Overpaid	7
Client Error (Dollar Amo	unt):	Clie	nt % Error Rat	_		Underpaid	0
\$1,555			2.919	<u>/6</u>		Ineligible	4
REGIONAL - Cumu	lative Pay	ment Erro	r Rates				
	Agency	Client	Total	Total	County	Region	State
NE Region	\$ Error	\$ Error	\$ Error	\$ Paid	Error Ra	hare	Share
04 Colfax	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	0. 70	0.00%
21 Rio Arriba	\$0	\$200	\$200	\$3,199	6.2 %	30.03%	9.74%
25 San Miguel	\$0	\$466	\$466	\$778	59.90	.97%	22.699
26 Santa Fe	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,747	20%	0.00%	0.00%
29 Taos	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,058	0.0	0.00%	0.00%
NE Region Totals	\$0	\$666	\$666	\$6,782	9.825	100.00%	32.429
NW Region							0.000
17 McKinley	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,4	0.00	0.00%	0.00%
23 Sandoval	\$0	\$736	\$736	\$4,554	.6%	100.00%	35.839
24 San Juan	\$0	\$0	\$0	227	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
32 S. Valencia	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$237	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
33 Cibola	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
42 N. Valencia	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5. 6	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
NW Region Totals	\$0	\$736	,13v	\$11,4,5	6.40%	100.00%	35.839
SW Region	1 00			01.110	0.0007	3 04070	4.400
07 W. Dona Ana	\$0	\$92	\$92	\$1,446	6.36%	24.27%	4.48%
09 Grant/Hidalgo	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$817	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
14 Lincoln	\$0	JU.		\$2,559	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
16 Luna	\$233	\$0	\$233	\$1,642	14.19%	61.48%	11.349
19 Otero	\$0	60	\$0	\$1,658	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
27 Sierra	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$30	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
28 Socorro		\$0	\$0	\$367	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
37 E. Dona Ana	<b></b>	\$0	\$54	\$475	11.37%	14.25%	2.63%
38 S. Dona Ana	0	\$0	\$0	\$2,986	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
SW Region Totals	\$2	8 2	\$379	\$11,980	3.16%	100.00%	18.45%
SE Region	\$0	60	\$0	\$1,939	0.009/	1	0.009/
03 Chaves	aU.	\$0	\$0		0.00%	<b>┨ ├─────</b>	0.00%
05 Curry	60	\$0	\$0	\$1,083	0.00%	1	
08 Eddy	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%		0.00%
10 Guadalupe/DeBaca	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	-	0.00%
13 Lea	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$313	0.00%	1	0.00%
20 Quay	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$200	0.00%		0.00%
22 Roosevelt	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,035	0.00%		0.00%
34 Eddy/Artesia	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$579	0.00%		0.00%
SE Region Totals	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,149	0.00%		0.00%
Central Region		4-		40.000	0.000/	0.000/	0.000
1 SE Bernalillo	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,628	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
35 NW Bernalillo	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,375	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
36 SW Bernalillo	\$137	\$0	\$137	\$4,501	3.04%	64.62%	6.67%
30 NE Bernalillo	\$75	\$0	\$75	\$5.804	1 29%	35 38%	3 65%

\$5,804

\$1,236

\$17,544

\$575

\$53,525

1.29%

0.00%

1.21%

10.61%

3.84%

35.38%

0.00%

100.00%

100.00%

100.00%

3.65%

0.00%

10.32% 2.97%

100.00%

\$0

\$0 **\$0** 

\$61

\$1,555

\$75

\$0

\$212

\$0

\$499

39 NE Bernalillo

Central Region Totals

30 Torrance

49 NMCAP

State Totals

\$75


\$0

\$212

\$61

\$2,054


## 5. STATE SNAP Error Rates- Current FY Compared to Previous FYs



## 6. HIPAC Dollar Errors - Compared to the Remainder of the State



## 7. Agency-vs-Client Error




Average Client Error = \$222

Average Agency Error = \$125

#### 8. AGENCY/CLIENT DOLLAR ERROR BREAKDOWN BY GENERAL ELEMENT

Food Stamps	Agency	Client	% Agency Cause
Earned Income	\$233	\$1,494	13.5%
Shelter	\$129	\$0	100.0%
Unearned Income	\$0	\$61	0.0%
Resources	\$0	\$0	0.0%
HH composition	\$137	\$0	100.0%
Dependent Care	\$0	\$0	0.0%
Medical Deduction	\$0	\$0	0.0%
Other	\$0	\$0	0.0%
ABAWDs	\$0	\$0	0.0%

#### 9. SNAP DOLLAR ERROR BREAKDOWN BY GENERAL ELEMENT



## 10. SNAP DOLLAR ERROR BREAKDOWN SPECIAL ELEMENT

Percent	Total	L ment	Element Discription
84.08%	\$1,727	3	WAGES & SALARIES
0.00%	\$0	150	HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION
0.00%	\$0	363	SHELTER DEDUCTION
0.00%	\$0	211	BANK ACCOUNTS
	129	364	SUA
6.67%	\$151	130	CITIZENSHIP/NON-CITIZEN STATUS
0.00	\$0	344	PA/GA GRANT
0.00%	\$0	520	ARITHMETIC COMPUTATION
0.00%	\$0	342	CONTRIBUTIONS
2.97%	\$61	333	SSI BENEFITS
0/2%	\$0	334	UCB BENEFITS
.00%	\$0	331	RSDI BENEFITS
0.00%	\$0	221	REAL PROPERTY
0.00%	\$0	346	OTHER UEI
0.00%	\$0	111	STUDENT STATUS
0.00%	\$0	365	MEDICAL DEDUCTIONS
0.00%	\$0	170	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBERS
0.00%	\$0	160	EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING
0.00%	\$0	140	RESIDENCY
0.00%	\$0	335	WORKERS COMP BENEFITS
0.00%	\$0	350	CHILD SUPPORT AP
0.00%	\$0	161	ABAWDS
0.00%	\$0	213	OTHER LIQUID ASSETS/PERSONAL PROP
0.00%	\$0	530	TRANSITIONAL BEN
0.00%	\$0	312	SELF-EMPLOYMENT INCOME
0.00%	\$0	332	VA BENEFITS
0.00%	\$0	347	UEITANF
0.00%	\$0	371	COMBINED GROSS INCOME
0.00%	\$0	163	VOLUNTARY QUIT
0.00%	\$0	323	DEPENDENT CARE
0.00%	\$0	225	COMBINED RESOURCES

#### 11. STATEWIDE CAUSES OF AGENCY ERROR --- FFY 2013

In this table, general cause for agency error is ranked by the top three error causing areas

- F No action on anticipated or known changes
- M Math or transcription error
- O Other
- P Policy or procedure not applied or not correctly applied
- R No action on reported information
- S ISD2 or CSED systems caused error
- V Inadequate verification
- X Old or invalid data or coding not removed from ISD2

	ALL Err	EI	HHC	UEI
R	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Р	\$499	\$233	\$137	\$0
V	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
M	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
F	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Х	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$499	\$233	\$137	\$0

Agency errors with "policy or procedure not applied or not correctly applied" account for 100% of agency caused dollar errors. Errors in "earned income" and "household composition" elements account for 74% of the agency-caused error rate.

#### 12. DOLLAR ERROR BY: HOUSEHOLD SIZE

	1	2	3	4	5	6+
\$	\$390	\$351	\$207	\$0	\$1,106	\$0
%	19.0%	17.1%	10.1%	0.0%	53.8%	0.0%

#### 13. AVERAGE HOUSEHOLD SIZE

All Ca	2.3
Cor ect Cases	2.3
Err Cases	2.9
Nient Fror Cares	2.9


#### 14. DOLLAR ERROR BY: TIME OF OCCURRENCE

	\$	%
Approval	\$315	15.3%
Recert	\$549	26.7
Change	\$287	14 5%
Transitional FS	\$0	0%
Semi Annual	\$828	40 %
Annual Report	\$	3.7

#### 15. SE ERROR BY:TIME OF OCCURRENCE

	#	%
Approval	2	18.2%
Recert	3	27.3%
Change	2	18.2%
Transitional FS	0	0.0%
Semi-Annual	3	27.3%
Annual Report	1	9.1%

#### 16. ERROR RATES BY: MON HAND FY CUMULATIVE



# Regional Cumulative SNAP Performance Report Quality Control Finding FFY2013 as of 3/26/2013

## 17. REGIONAL - Cumulative Data Analysis of SNAP Dollars Issued vs. SNAP Dollars in Error 10/12 to 11/12

	Total SNAP	Region Share	State Share	Total Issued	Total Reviewed	Region Share	State Share
Northwest Region (I)	\$'s issued	of \$'s Issued	\$'s Issued	\$'s Reviewed	\$'s in Error	\$'s in Error	\$'s in Error
17 McKinley	\$6,115,935	23.6%	5.4%	\$2,441	\$0	0.00%	0.00%
23 Sandoval	\$5,759,362	22.2%	5.1%	\$4,554	\$736	100.00%	35.83%
24 San Juan	\$7,018,373	27.1%	6.2%	\$3,327	\$0	0.00%	0.00%
32 S. Valencia	\$1,709,855	6.6%	1.5%	\$237	\$0	0.00%	0.00%
33 Cibola	\$2,123,808	8.2%	1.9%	\$0	\$0	0.00%	0.00%
42 N. Valencia	\$3,203,498	12.4%	2.8%	\$936	\$0	0.00%	0.00%
Region I Totals	\$25,930,831	22.9%	22.9%	\$11,495	\$736	100.00%	35.83%
Northeast Region (II)							
04 Colfax	\$776,068	5.5%	0.7%	\$0	\$0	0.00%	0.00%
21 Rio Arriba	\$3,724,551	26.5%	3.3%	\$3,199	\$200	3 73%	9.74%
25 San Miguel	\$2,568,942	18.3%	2.3%	\$778	\$466	69. %	22.69%
26 Santa Fe	\$5,101,868	36.3%	4.5%	\$1,747	\$0	0.00	0.00%
29 Taos	\$1,889,804	13.4%	1.7%	\$1,058		0.0 %	0.00%
Region II Totals	\$14,061,233	12.4%	12.4%	\$6,782	666	10 .00%	32.42%
Central Region (III)							
01 SE Bernalillo	\$6,238,623	17.2%	5.5%	\$2,628	7	0.00%	0.00%
35 NW Bernalillo	\$8,034,094	22.2%	7.1%	\$3,375		0.00%	0.00%
36 SW Bernalillo	\$10,227,400	28.2%	9.0%	\$4,50	\$137	64.62%	6.67%
39 NE Bernalillo	\$10,320,712	28.5%	9.1%	\$5,6 4	75	35.38%	3.65%
30 Torrance	\$1,407,740	5.8%	1.2%	\$1,236	30	0.00%	0.00%
Region III Totals	\$36,228,569	32.0%	32.0%	\$. 544	212	100.00%	10.32%
Southeast Region (IV)	<u> </u>					<del></del>	
03 Chaves	\$3,729,334	29.1%	3.3%	#4 939	\$0	#DIV/0!	0.00%
05 Curry	\$2,421,245	18.9%	2.1%	\$1,000	\$0	#DIV/0!	0.00%
08 Eddy	\$1,672,747	13.0%	1.5%	\$0	\$0	#DIV/0!	0.00%
10 Guadalupe/DeBaca	\$333,289	2.4%	0.3%	<b>CO</b>	\$0	0.00%	0.00%
13 Lea	\$2,512,537	19.6%	2.2%	\$513	\$0	#DIV/0!	0.00%
20 Quay	\$611,707	4.8%	0.5%	\$200	\$0	#DIV/0!	0.00%
22 Roosevelt	\$786,864	6.1%	0.7%	\$1,035	\$0	#DIV/0!	0.00%
34 Eddy/Artesia	\$754,747	5.9%	0.7%	\$579	\$0	#DIV/0!	0.00%
Region IV Totals	\$12,822,470	1,	11%	\$5,149	\$0	#DIV/0!	0.00%
Southwest Region (V)							
07 W. Dona Ana	\$5,279,031		4.7%	\$1,446	\$92	24.27%	4.48%
09 Grant/Hidalgo	\$1,920,900	7.9%	1.7%	\$817	\$0	0.00%	0.00%
14 Lincoln	\$927,217	3.8%	0.8%	\$2,559	\$0	0.00%	0.00%
16 Luna	\$2,134,191	8.8%	1.9%	\$1,642	\$233	61.48%	11.34%
19 Otero	\$2,334,693	9.6%	2.1%	\$1,658	\$0	0.00%	0.00%
27 Sierra	\$1,20	4 /6	1.1%	\$30	\$0	0.00%	0.00%
28 Socorro	\$1 41,726	.5%	1.2%	\$367	\$0	0.00%	0.00%
37 E. Dona Ana	100.17	16.8%	3.6%	\$475	\$54	14.25%	2.63%
38 S. Dona Ana	\$5, 94 46	20.97%	4.5%	\$2,986	\$0	0.00%	0.00%
Region V Totals	\$24,3 637	21.5%	21.5%	\$11,980	\$379	100.00%	18.45%
49 NM CAP Unit	\$0	0.0%	0.0%	\$575	\$61	0.51%	2.97%
State Totals	\$113,385,740	100%	100%	\$53,525	\$2,054	100%	100%


## **FFY 2013 Negative Statewide Error Rates**

## 1. Cumulative Negative

### 2. State Negative Error Rates

### **Case Error Rate**

valid	147
invalid	21
drop	2
total	168
% invalid	12.50%



## 3. REGIONAL - Cumulative Negative Error Rates

	Valid	Invalid	Total	Invalid
ion	#	#	#	Rate
Colfax	1	0	1	0.0%
Rio Arriba	3	0	3	0.0%
San Miguel	2	1	3	33.3%
Santa Fe	11	1	12	8.3%
29 Taos		0	2	0.0%
ion Totals	19	2	21	9.5%
	Rio Arriba San Miguel Santa Fe	ion         #           Colfax         1           Rio Arriba         3           San Miguel         2           Santa Fe         11           Taos         2	ion         #         #           Colfax         1         0           Rio Arriba         3         0           San Miguel         2         1           Santa Fe         11         1           Taos         2         0	ion         #         #         #           Colfax         1         0         1           Rio Arriba         3         0         3           San Miguel         2         1         3           Santa Fe         11         1         12           Taos         2         0         2

	.íd	Invalid	Total	Invalid
NW Region	#	#	#	Rate
17 McKin.	4	1	5	20.0%
5 Sa Jovan	5	1	6	16.7%
24 San an	8	1	9	11.1%
2 S. Vancia	4	0	4	0.0%
35 Cibra	0	1	1	100.0%
42 /alencia	3	1	4	25.0%
N. Region Totals	24	5	29	17.2%

		Valid	Invalid	T tal	Invalid
SW Reg	ion Totals	#	#	#	Rate
07	W. Dona Ana	5	1		16.79
09	Grant/Hidalgo	2	6	2	5 /0
14	Lincoln	1	0		0.0%
16	Luna	1		2	50.0%
19	Otero		1		25.0%
27	Sierra	1	0	1	0.0%
28	Socorro	1	. 1	2	50.0%
37	E. Dona Ana			6	16.7%
30	Torrance	0	0	0	
38	S. Dona A	5	1	6	16.7%
SW Reg	ion Totals	2-1	6	30	20.0%

		Valid	Invalid	Total	Invalid
SE Reg	ion	#	#	#	Rate
03	Chaves	9	0	9	0.0%
05	Curry	1	0	1	0.0%
08	Eddy	3	1	4	25.0%
10	Guad/DeBaca	Guad/DeBaca 0 0		0	
13	Lea	7	1	8	12.5%
20	Quay	1	0	1	0.0%
22	Roosevelt	2	0	2	0.0%
34	Eddy/Artesia	2	0	2	0.0%
SW Region Totals		25	2	27	7.4%

		Valid	Invalid	Total	Invalid
Central	Region	#	#	#	Rate
01	SE Bernalillo	6	0	6	0.0%
35	NW Bernalillo	13	0	13	0.0%
36 SW Bernalillo		13	2	15	13.3%
39	NE Bernalillo	23	4	27	14.8%
Central Region Totals		55	6	61	9.8%

Negative Action Time of Occurrence								
Closure	8	38.1%						
Denial	13	61.9%						

		Valid	Invalid	Total	Invalid
Centra	l Region	#	#	#	Rate
49	CAP	0	0	0	

										<del></del>			_
			. /	à /		. /						3 8	
	/ 5			? / Ś		? / ż	/ *	/ .	/ .	/ ,	2	The sear of	
	O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Normander	December 12:	S. S. Lander	Lean Marie	E HOLES	Er Mudy.	E) de la	June 13,	is the state of th	August 13,	Community of the Page 1	
NE Region	<u> </u>	-											
Colfax	na	na										#DIV/0!	
Rio Arriba	100.0%	100.0%		_								100.0%	
San Miguel	na	0.0%										0.0%	
Santa Fe	66.7%	na										66.7%	
Taos	na	na										#DIV/0!	
				=						1			
NE Region TOTAL	83.3%	50.0%										83.3%	
NW Region	1.5.5											(Inches	
Cibola	na	na					= ' = '					#DIV/0!	
McKinley	100.0%	100.0%						Ì		Ì		100.0%	
Sandoval	100.0%	100.0%										100.0%	
San Juan	100.0%	100.0%							9			100.0%	
Valencia South	100.0%	na						L				100.0%	
Valencia North	100.0%	100.0%		E E				<u> </u>				100.0%	
NW Region TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%				_						100.0%	
											•	341354	
SW Region						1 7 0	Ti						
Dona Ana (West)	100.0%	100.0%										100.0%	
Dona Ana (East)	100.0%	100.0%								•		100.0%	
Dona Ana (Southern)	100.0%	100.0%										100.0%	
Grant/Hidalgo	na	100.0%										100.0%	
Lincoln	100.0%	na								Ш		100.0%	
Luna	100.0%	100.0%							11			100.0%	
Otero	100.0%	100.0%			1				1			100.0%	
Sierra	na	na										#DIV/0!	
Socorro	na	na										#DIV/0!	
i -						_							
OM Declar Takel	100.0%	100.0%								l		100.0%	
SW Region Total	100.076	100.076										100.078	
SE Region	50.00/	100.00/								<u> </u>		66.704	
Chaves	50.0%	100.0%										66.7%	
Curry	0.0%	100.0%								<b> </b>		50.0% #DIV/0!	
Guadalupe Eddy	na	na					<b>—</b>	<b></b>	<del>                                     </del>			#DIV/0!	
Eddy (Artesia)	na na	na						<b></b>	<del>                                     </del>			#DIV/0!	
Lea	100.0%	na						<b>-</b>				100.0%	
Quay	na	na					<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>	<del>                                     </del>			#DIV/0!	
Rooseveit	na	00 o		•		<b> </b>			<del>                                     </del>		-	100.0%	
				·								for a second	
SE Region Total	60.0%	100. %										75.0%	
Central Region													
Bernalilio (NE)	100.0%	83.3%										88.9%	
Bernalillo (NW)	100.0%	100.0%		-							+	100.0%	
Bernalillo (SE)	100.0%	100.0%										100.0%	
Bernalillo (SW)	71.4%	100.0%										77.8%	
Тогтапсе	100.0%	100.0%										100.0%	
Central Region Total	87.5%	96.7%										89.3%	
¥ 1 E		Tari	1		I		FIL		1				
Central Unit	na	na								ļ		#DIV/0!	
CAP Unit	100.0%	100.0%										100.0%	
STATE TOTAL	89.6%	95.0%									=	92.0%	
SIAIC IUIAL	U3.U70	33.070					L				L	J4.070	

NW Region														1
NE Region   100 095   10			, / ;	÷ /	× / 3	, / .	÷ / .					/ .	2 2	/
NE Region   100 095   10		Copper.	, lovembo	Secembe.	Lemme	C. Salar	A Property Control of the Control of	form 13,	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	line 13.	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Tugust 15	L'entr' A	
Colina	NE Region	1111		T		14		11. 1				-		
Lio Arthab  99.374   0.00%   0.00%   99.6%   99.5%   98.5%   98.5%   98.0%   99.0%   9	Colfax	100.0%	100.0%	97.5%	100.0%	100.0%							99.3%	
Seminate   99.1%   99.0%   90.0%   96.3%   96.6%   98.8%   99.5%   98.8%   99.3%   9											-			
anta Fe 98.8% 99.3% 98.5% 98.5% 98.5% 98.0% 98.4% 99.2										<b></b>				
Region TOTAL   99.2%   99.6%   99.2%   98.6%   98.3%   99.9%   99.5%   99.9%											1			
NW Region TOTAL   99.2%   99.6%   99.2%   99.6%   98.3%   99.2%   99.0%   99.2%   99									1	<b>†</b>	<del>                                     </del>	0		
NW Region											1		ECHICALIII	
200   200	IE Region TOTAL	99.2%	99.6%	99.2%	98.6%	98.3%					550		99.0%	
200   200	NW Region										196			
McKinley   97.8%   94.7%   100.0%   99.0%   99.3%   98.2%	Cibola	98.7%	100.0%	98.8%	100.0%	100.0%		ш					99.5%	
Second   S	McKinley													
Seminant   99.5%   100.0%   100.0%   99.4%   99.5%   99.7%   100.0%   100	Sandoval													
Palencia Nouth   100.0%   10	San Juan								. 10					
	/alencia South								F			1		
W Region TOTAL   98.9%   98.8%   99.9%   99.5%   99.2%   99.2%   99.3%	/alencia North								p= _ =					
SW Region Dona Ana (West) Dona Ana (East) Dona (Ea					00 59/									
Dona Ana (West)   99.0%   99.2%   100.0%   99.3%   100.0%   99.5%   100.0%   99.5%   100.0%   99.5%   100.0%   99.2%   100.0%   99.2%   100.0%   99.2%   100.0%   99.2%   100.0%   99.2%   100.0%   99.2%   100.0%   99.2%   100.0	NEW REGION TOTAL	30.3%	30.0%	55.5%	33.5%	33.2%							37.370	
Dona Ana (West)   99.0%   99.2%   100.0%   99.3%   100.0%   99.5%   100.0%   99.5%   100.0%   99.5%   100.0%   99.2%   100.0%   99.2%   100.0%   99.2%   100.0%   99.2%   100.0%   99.2%   100.0%   99.2%   100.0%   99.2%   100.0	SW Region	1,	ET 1				111	7						
Dona Ana (East)   100 0%   99.4%   99.6%   100.0%   100.0%   99.7%   99.7%   99.7%   99.7%   100.0%   99.7%   99.2%   100.0%   99.2%   100.0%   98.7%   98.2%   99.0%   100.		99 0%	99 2%	100 0%	99 7%	100.0%							99 6%	
Dona And (Southern 99.1%   100.0%   99.0%   98.4%   100.0%   99.2%   100.0%   99.2%   100.0														
Serat/Hidalgo   100.0%   98.7%   98.2%   99.0%   100.0%								_						
Discription   100.0%   100.0														
Description														
Ditro							-							
SERegion														
SE Region   SE Region   Security   Securit											<b> </b>			
SE Region Chaves 98.8% 100.0% 98.6% 99. 98.4% 99.2% 100.0° Diadalupe 100.0% 100.0% 1														
SE Region Chaves 98.8% 100.0% 98.6% 99.2 98.4% 99.2% 100.0% Chaves 100.0% 100.0% 98.6% 99.2% 100.0° Surdadalupe 100.0% 100.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% Coddy 98.4% 100.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% Coddy 98.4% 100.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% Coddy 100.0% 10.0% 10.0% Coddy 100.0% 10.0% 10.0% Coddy 100.0% 10.0% 10.0% Coddy 100.0% 10.0% Coddy 100.0% 10.0% Coddy 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% Coddy	,000110	70.270	70.178	100.078	100.070	100.07			<b>*</b>				77.470	
SE Region Chaves 98.8% 100.0% 98.6% 99.2 98.4% 99.2% 100.0% Chaves 100.0% 100.0% 98.6% 99.2% 100.0° Surdadalupe 100.0% 100.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% Coddy 98.4% 100.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% Coddy 98.4% 100.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% Coddy 100.0% 10.0% 10.0% Coddy 100.0% 10.0% 10.0% Coddy 100.0% 10.0% 10.0% Coddy 100.0% 10.0% Coddy 100.0% 10.0% Coddy 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% Coddy		00 101	00.481	00 401	00.00	100	•							
Chaves 98.8% 100.0% 98.6% 99. 98.4% 99. 99.0% 99.0% 99.5% 100.0%	W Region Total	99.1%	99.4%	99.4%	99.6%	100.0			1 111		7.		99.5%	
Chaves 98.8% 100.0% 98.6% 99. 98.4% 99. 99.0% 99.0% 99.5% 100.0%	SE Region							321 11				N.		
Curry 100.0% 100.0% 98.8 992.2% 100.0		98.8%	100.0%	98.6%	99.	98.4%							99.0%	
Standalupe   100.0%	Curry							4						
Second   S								1						
Eddy (Artesia)         97.6%         100.0%         97.4%         100.0%         98.9%           Juay         100.0%         95.0%         J0.0%         100.0%         99.5%           Juay         100.0%         95.0%         J0.0%         100.0%         99.2%           Rossevelt         100.0%         100.0%         100.0%         100.0%         100.0%           Joseph Total         99.2%         99.8         98.9%         99.3%         98.8%         99.2%           Central Region         Semalillo (NE)         99.5%         99.7%         99.3%         99.6%         99.6%         99.0%           Semalillo (SE)         98.8%         99.1%         99.8%         99.6%         99.4%         99.6%         99.4%         98.6%         99.4%         99.6%         99.4%         98.6%         99.4%         99.6%         99.4%         99.6%         99.4%         99.6%         99.4%         99.6%         99.4%         99.6%         99.4%         99.6%         99.4%         99.6%         99.6%         99.4%         99.6%         99.6%         99.6%         99.6%         99.6%         99.6%         99.6%         99.6%         99.6%         99.6%         99.6%         99.6%         99.6%	Eddy								1		1			
Rea											<i>(</i> '			
Quay       100.0%       95.0%       00.0%       100.0%       100.0%       99.2%         Respon Total       99.2%       99.8       98.9%       99.3%       98.8%       99.2%         Gentral Region       99.5%       99.5%       99.5%       99.7%       99.5%       99.5%         Bernalillo (NE)       99.5%       99.4%       98.6%       99.6%       99.6%       99.0%         Bernalillo (SE)       98.8%       99.1%       99.8%       99.6%       99.6%       99.4%         Bernalillo (SW)       96.7%       99.1%       99.3%       99.3%       99.3%       99.3%         Bernalillo (SW)       96.7%       99.1%       98.9%       99.3%       99.3%       99.3%         Formance       100.0%       100.0%       100.0%       100.0%       99.7%       99.2%         Sentral Region Total       98.5%       99.1%       99.2%       99.6%       99.6%       99.2%       99.7%       99.2%         Sentral Iloit       na       na       na       na       100.0%       100.0%       100.0%       100.0%       100.0%       100.0%       100.0%       100.0%       100.0%       100.0%       100.0%       100.0%       100.0%       100.0%	Lea					100.0%		11 11	<i>I</i> 7.		1			
Conservent   100.0%										7	1			
Sernalillo (NE)   99.5%   99.7%   99.3%   99.7%   99.5%   99.5%   99.5%   99.5%   99.6%   99.6%   99.0%   99.0%   8ernalillo (SE)   98.8%   99.1%   99.8%   99.6%   99.6%   99.4%   8ernalillo (SW)   96.7%   99.1%   99.8%   99.3%   99.3%   99.3%   99.3%   99.4%   8ernalillo (SW)   96.7%   99.1%   98.9%   99.3%   99.3%   99.3%   99.3%   99.6	Roosevelt								-	1				
Central Region         99.5%         99.5%         99.7%         99.3%         99.7%         99.5%         99.5%         99.5%         99.5%         99.5%         99.5%         99.5%         99.5%         99.5%         99.5%         99.5%         99.5%         99.5%         99.5%         99.6%         99.6%         99.0%         99.4%         99.4%         99.4%         99.4%         99.3%         99.3%         99.3%         99.3%         99.3%         99.3%         99.3%         99.3%         99.6%         99.6%         99.6%         99.6%         99.6%         99.6%         99.6%         99.6%         99.7%	SE Region Total													
Sernalillo (NE)         99.5%         99.5%         99.7%         99.3%         99.7%         99.5%         99.5%         99.5%         99.5%         99.0%	THE EN	Time	113		7.1	III.	1	) U						
Sernalillo (NW)         99.0%         98.6%         99.4%         98.6%         99.6%         99.0%           Sernalillo (SE)         98.8%         99.1%         99.8%         99.6%         99.6%         99.4%           Sernalillo (SW)         96.7%         99.1%         98.9%         99.3%         99.3%         98.6%           Forrance         100.0%         98.7%         100.0%         100.0%         100.0%         99.7%           Sentral Region Total         98.5%         99.4%         99.2%         99.6%         99.6%         99.2%           Sentral Unit         na         na         na         100.0%         100.0%           CAP Unit         na         0.0%         100.0%         na         na         50.0%								-	ļ		<u> </u>	ļ	N DECEMBE	
Sernalillo (SE)         98.8%         99.1%         99.8%         99.6%         99.6%         99.4%           Sernalillo (SW)         96.7%         99.1%         98.9%         99.3%         99.3%         98.6%           Forrance         100.0%         98.7%         100.0%         100.0%         99.7%           Sentral Region Total         98.5%         99.1%         99.2%         99.6%         99.2%           Sentral Unit         na         na         na         100.0%         100.0%           CAP Unit         na         0.0%         100.0%         na         na         50.0%												$\vdash$		
Sernalillo (SW)         96.7%         99.1%         98.9%         99.3%         99.3%         98.6%           Forrance         100.0%         98.7%         100.0%         100.0%         99.7%           Sentral Region Total         98.5%         99.1%         99.2%         99.6%         99.2%           Sentral Unit         na         na         na         100.0%         100.0%           CAP Unit         na         0.0%         100.0%         na         na         50.0%														
Formance         100.0%         98.7%         100.0%         100.0%         100.0%         99.7%           Sentral Region Total         98.5%         99.1%         99.2%         99.6%         99.2%           Sentral Unit         na         na         na         100.0%           CAP Unit         na         0.0%         100.0%         na         na         50.0%										100				
Sentral Region Total   98.5%   99.1%   99.4%   99.2%   99.6%   99.2%								- 1	ļ			<b></b>		
Pentral Unit na na na na 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% na na 100.0% 50.0%	Torrance	100.0%	98.7%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%							99.7%	
AP Unit na 0.0% 100.0% na na 50.0%	Central Region Total	98.5%	99.1%	99.4%	99.2%	99.6%				- 40		le -	99.2%	
AP Unit na 0.0% 100.0% na na 50.0%		. 1	40		3 710			1		1 -		131		
	Central Unit	na			na	100.0%		1		-				
	CAP Unit	na	0.0%	100.0%	na	na				1			50.0%	
TATE TOTAL   UNIUW,   UU 79/4   UU 7	STATE TOTAL	98.9%	99.2%	99.4%	99.3%	99.4%		=					99.2%	

		, ,				<del>/                                    </del>	7	7	<del></del>				
	/	/	/	/	/	· / /	/ /	′ /		/	/		
			à /	. /		. / /					/ /	Yearly Average	
	/	è/,	3/	3 / s	5 /	2 / 2 /	_ /			/ *		3 8	
	O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	ic Volumbia	Deember 12.	Ct To Gentler	E. January	El Control (El Manuella )	ET AS	, El and El	, E1 4 13:	A Beiling . T.	September	7	
	/ Ze	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	/ Reg	Jun.	25		. / 3	, S	/ .sh	\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \		I E	/
	1	( -		<del></del>	<del></del>	<del> </del>	<del></del>	<del>/                                    </del>	<del>/ 3</del>	<del></del>			
NE Region								1		Tigo in			
Colfax	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	95.8%	100.0%			4 =1				99.1%	
Rio Arriba	99.4%	99.2%	99.4%					<b>†</b>	+			98.8%	
San Miguel	100.0%	99.1%						†				99.4%	
Santa Fe	96.2%	98.9%										98.5%	
Taos	99.0%	100.0%										99.1%	
Tuos	77.070	100.070	100.070	70.570	70.070	1		_	_			22.170	
NE Barrier TOTAL	98.3%	99.3%	99.5%	98.6%	98.8%							98.9%	
NE Region TOTAL	90.376	99.376	99.070	90.076	90.0%	-	_		<del>                                     </del>			98.9%	
									<del></del>				
NW Region	[44]	T.U.	512		I.U.	THE STATE OF THE S		71.0					
Cibola	97.6%	95.1%	96.7%									97.7%	
McKinley	98.4%	98.7%	98.2%	99.2%	97.8%				1			98.5%	
Sandoval	95.2%	95.2%	95.4%	94.8%				47			11	95.0%	
San Juan	97.3%	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%		<del></del>						98.6%	
S. Valencia	100.0%	100.0%	98.7%	93.2%	98.6%			- 1				97.7%	
N. Valencia	99.5%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	, 11 1	1 11					99.9%	1
	II											TO LET A	
=)			'		1	-				<b>—</b>			
NW Region TOTAL	97.7%	98.1%	98.1%	98.1%	97.5%							97.9%	
	07.1.75	551176	00.170	001170	07.070							71,70	
aw B							+	<del>                                     </del>					
SW Region	00.00/	00.504	100.004	00.104	100.004	1						20.00	
Dona Ana (West)	98.9%	98.5%	100.0%	99.1%	100.0%		+					99.3%	
Dona Ana (East)	99.2%	100.0%	99.4%	99.0%								99.5%	
Dona Ana (Southern)	99.3%	98.6%	97.0%	99.6%	99.0%							98.8%	16
Grant/Hidalgo	99.2%	100.0%	97.4%	98.0%	96.2%			<u> </u>				98.3%	
Lincoln	98.0%	100.0%	100.0%	94.4%	100.0%			1				98.9%	
Luna	98.6%	97.6%	99.1%	97.3%				<del></del>	<u> </u>	1		97.6%	
Otero	98.1%	100.0%	98.5%	98.4%	98.3%			4				98.6%	
Sierra	100.0%	100.0%	98.2%	100.0%			1		ļ			99.5%	
Socorro	100.0%	100.0%	97.1%	100.0%	9 8%						h 1	98.4%	
												V. T. I. OV	
										i			
SW Region Total	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%	9 4%	98.6%		33					98.9%	
						<u> </u>	i						
SE Region										5 5 9			
Chaves	97.7%	96.3%	° .3%	99.0%	100 %							98.2%	
Curry	95.2%	98.4%	94.3%				_					95.8%	
Guadalupe	100.0%	100.0%	1 0°	100.09									
Eddy	99.1%	100.0%	95. 1				+	1			- 1	100.0%	
Eddy (Artesia)	100.0%		95.	0.0%			+	1				97.8%	
Lea (Artesia)	98.7%	98.3%	97.1%	98.5%			+	<del>                                     </del>	<del></del>			99.1% 97.6%	
	100.0%			98.3%			+	$\vdash$		- 36 			
Quay			100.0%	100.0%			1	<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>	_		99.5%	
Roosevelt	100.0%	9 %	100.076	100.0%	100.0%	<del>                                     </del>	+	<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>			99.6%	
			<b>)</b>				1		'				
SE Region Total	98.1%	97.7%	97.2%	98.2%	98.1%	$\longrightarrow$	1 1		<b>└─</b> ─			97.9%	
									<b></b>				
Central Region	00.00	00.554	00.551	00.11	00.00							00.10	
Bernalillo (NE)	99.0%	99.2%	99.6%	99.1%						- 10		99.1%	
Bernalillo (NW)	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%	97.6%					<b>├</b>	174		98.3%	
Bernalillo (SE)	94.4%	95.8%	96.4%	98.1%					<b>├</b> ──			96.3%	
Bernalillo (SW)	96.5%	96.4%	98.7%	98.4%					<b></b> _	7.7		97.3%	
Torrance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	98.7%	100.0%	$\vdash$	$\bot$					99.7%	
			J				Ī						
Central Region Total	97.5%	97.9%	98.6%	98.4%	97.9%	w = =						98.1%	
The state of the s	- 1								$\vdash$		-	20.1.0	
Central Off Elig Unit	na	na	100.0%	na	na		111 111		-			100.0%	
									<del> </del>				
CAP Unit	87.5%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		+	1				98.2%	
						1 1	1		1 /		- 1		
STATE TOTAL	98.1%	98.4%	98.5%	98.5%	98.1%	l	_	1	1 1			98.3%	