

Susana Martinez, Governor Brent Earnest, Secretary Marilyn Martinez, Director

General Information Memorandum

ISD-GI 16-21

TO:

ISD Employees

FROM: Marilyn Martinez, Director, Income Support Division

RE:

Findings Review Process

DATE:

March 1, 2016

This GI amends previous GI 14-59 regarding "Findings Re Taw Proces," and serves to outline the current review process for Quality Control (QC) findings and the role of the Error Review Committee (ERC).

QC will report preliminary error findings to the County Director (CD) and the Regional Operations Manager (ROM). The findings will be forwarded ofter the completion of the case review by the QC reviewer and evaluation by central QC staff. An cases letermined eligible/correct and cases not subject to review findings will be forwarded to the ROM and CD.

Concur with QC Findings

If the CD and ROM agree can the CD error finding, they will develop a Performance Improvement Response within five (5) days and forward it to the QC Manager. Once the CD receive the first, County Response to QC Findings 011e, signed by the QC Manager with a days of discovery indicated, the claim should be established within ten (10) days and CD will cack that a claim is established or a supplemental issuance authorized, if appropriate. The CD must provide the ROM with verification of the correction at non-winin 30 days of the date of the concurrence with the QC findings.

Disagree with QC Findings

Income Support Division

If the CD and ROM disagree with the error findings, they must submit the County Response to QC Findings form and indicate this in the section "Request for Re-evaluation" within five (5) workdays to the QC Manager which outlines the reason and applicable policy to support their decision.

The QC Bureau Chief, QC Operations Manager and the QC Staff Manager will be responsible for the re-evaluation in accordance with the SNAP policy and QC procedure manual.

PO Box 2348 – Santa Fe, NM 87504 Phone: (505) 827-7250 Fax: (505) 827-7203

QC will be responsible for obtaining any policy clarifications about the case action from USDA-FNS QC or ISD Policy & Program Development Bureau (PPDB). QC staff will conduct any follow up with collateral contacts or the client as part of the reevaluation. The county office staff is prohibited from contacting the household members or collateral contacts to obtain any information or clarification related to the case review findings.

The case will be re-evaluated based on policy clarification and in accordance with the SNAP policy and QC procedure manual. QC will issue the final decision on the case to the ROM and CD.

Once re-evaluation has occurred and all QC errors are final, the CD will develop a Performance Improvement Response within three (3) days and forward to the RQM and QC Manager of those cases that contain an error amount. It is the responsibility of the CD to create a ticket on any QC finding found to be a system issue.

If the county disagrees with Quality Control after the final decision, 012, is revived, signed by the QC Manager, the county may appeal within 3 work days to be 3D Director.

The Error Review Committee (ERC) is comprised of the QC Malager, Supplemental Nutrition Assistance (SNAP) Program Manager and Laining Manager. The ERC role is primarily one of reviewing cases to assess trends for future corrective action planning; not to review individual error cases to assess the potential for education of eliminating the errors in a sampled individual case. This process allows traces in his process allows traces in his process. ERC must incorporate in by Quality Control in order to implement process traprovements or training to prevent future errors during the eligibility and error determination process. ERC must incorporate and maintain procedures that minimize the likelihoot of unacceptable bias being introduced into the QC review process.

ROMs have defined a regional plan for the Performance Improvement Committee process at each office. Attached are copies of the planty R sponse form, and the QC Review Findings Flow Chart.

If there are any questic s plead contact: Carolyn Craven, QAB Bureau Chieff at 505-827-7224 Mary McIntyre. Apertions Manager, at 505-827-6243 Lorene Quintaga, QC Manager, at 827-7209.

Attachments:
County Response to C Findings Form
QC Review Findings Flow Chart

Phone: (505) 827-7250 Fax: (505) 827-7203

COUNTY RESPONSE TO QC FINDINGS

1. COUNTY OFFICE INFORMATION		
Case Name:	Case #:	Supervisor Name:
County:	Category:	Within the certification period, what type of review was
Review #:	Review Month:	completed? Regular Supervisory Review
FAA Name:		Prior to Disposition Review (case reader-if applicable) Prior to QC review
FAA Experience (yr./mo.):		Findings: Correct Corrections Needed
2. COUNTY OFFICE RESPONSE		
Provide a detailed explanation when concurring or final determined to the concurring of the concurrence of the concurr	of the plan for improvement	REQUEST FOR PS-EVALUATION Provide a detailed explanation of the equest; cite policy sections and/or error element to be revaluated.
CD Signature/e-mail address		Date
3. SUMMARY OF QUALITY CONTROL RE-EVALUATION		
Uphold QC Determination	n – No Chage	Please initiate the following actions:
Re-evaluation Determination change [see below]		Dotential Fraud; Refer for ADH by:
Allotment Amount: \$ Incorrect Amount: \$	ient Agency	Overissuance, Complete Claim by:
	eligione	☐ Under issuance, see MS FSP 8.139.640 NMAC
	d Negative	☐ Submit Performance Improvement Response
Summary:		
QC Manager eSignature:		Date of discovery:

Email this form to Lorene.Quintana@state.nm.us and Carolyn.Craven@state.nm.us as well as your Regional Office Manager. Mailed copies should be sent to PO Box 2348, Santa Fe, NM 87504, Attention QC Section.

Quality Control (QC) Review Findings Flow Chart

