

Susana Martinez, Governor Brent Earnest, Secretary Marilyn Martinez, Director

## General Information Memorandum

ISD-GI 15-44

TO:

**ISD Employees** 

FROM:

Marilyn Martinez, Director, Income Support Division

RE:

**FFY 2015 SNAP Performance Report** 

DATE:

August 10, 2015

Attached please find the sixth issue of the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) Performance Report for FFY 2015. This report includes all Quality Control (QC) findings received for the review month of October 2014 through March 2015. All errors for October 2014 through March 2015 have been finalized. Along with payment and negative accuracy rates, data included in this report is the recertification, 7-day, and 30-day application processing timeliness. The Performance Goals for the state for FFY 2015 are:

Payment Accuracy of 96% or better Negative Accuracy Rate of 99% Expedite application processing timeliness of 98% 30-day application timeliness of 98% or better

Effective March 1, 2014, per IPP 14-01, counties with a payment accuracy rate of 96% or better must review cases at Level 2; all others must review cases at Level 1.

- <u>Level 1</u>: A county office with an error rate above **4.0%** is required to complete 35 full reviews per supervisor per month. Of the 35 reviews, at least 20 must be reviewed prior to disposition of the case (Second Party Reviews). The remaining 15 must be reviewed using the case read function in ASPEN. **These completed reviews must be completed by the last working day of the month.** All of the reviews must contain SNAP as a Category of Eligibility (COE).
- <u>Level 2</u>: A county office with an error rate of **4.0% or less** is required to complete 25 full reviews per supervisor per month. Of the 25 reviews, at least 15 must be reviewed prior to disposition of the case (Second Party Reviews). The remaining 10 must be reviewed using the case read function in ASPEN. **These completed reviews must be completed**

by the last working day of the month. All of the reviews must contain SNAP as a Category of Eligibility (COE).

Effective March 1, 2014, per IPP 14-01, counties will review cases using Criteria #152 for both Level 1 and Level 2 Case Read Reviews.

All supervisory reviews should include a review of income, household composition, deductions, timeliness, documentation, and barriers and the NVRA settlement agreement requirements were addressed. Supervisors should review cases from each one of their staff. A spreadsheet for each county office has been created to track the Second Party Reviews. The spreadsheets are located on the QIS Reports Monitoring shared drive in the folder named Second Party Reviews. Each region will have a folder containing the individual offices. The spreadsheets must be completed monthly by the last calendar day of the month.

The County Director and the Quality Improvement Section (QIS) will monitor to ensure that all required reviews are done monthly.

If counties are found to be non-compliant with mandatory case reads, **County Directors**, as part of a remedial Corrective Action Plan, will review a minimum 5 cases per supervisor prior to the last working day of the month.

Please share this data with staff as appropriate. If there are any questions or comments, please contact Carolyn Craven of the Quality Assessment Bureau at 827-7224 or e-mail at <u>Carolyn.Craven@state.nm.us.</u>

Attachment

Phone: (505) 827-7250 Fax: (505) 827-7203

## **Quality Improvement Section SNAP Performance Report** Quality Control Finding FFY 2015

#### 1. STATE - Cumulative Payment Error Rates

Total Paid	\$136,054		
Total Dollar Errors	\$8,479	Total Error Rate %	6.23%
Total Dollar Over	\$5,477	% Error Rate Over	4.03%
Total Dollar Under	\$1,394	% Error Rate Under	1.02%
Total Dollar Ineligible	\$1,608	% Error Rate Ineligible	1.18%

Agency Error (Dollar Amount):	Agency % Error Rate:
\$4,349	3.20%
Client Error (Dollar Amount): \$4,130	Client % Error Rate: 3.04%

#### **Cumulative Case Error Rate Total Reviewed** 506

13.24%

% in Error

Cases Dropped	82
Correct	439
Overpaid	42
Underpaid	17
Ineligible	8

### 2. ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES - Cumulative Payment Error Rates

HIP	AC Counties	Agency \$ Error	Agency % Error	Client \$ Error	Client % Error	Total \$ Error	Total \$ Paid	County Error Rate	HIPAC Share	State Share
01	SE Bernalillo	\$99	100%	\$0	0%	\$99	\$6,312	1.57%	2.21%	1.17%
07	W.Dona Ana	\$503	73%	\$186	27%	\$689	\$8,821	7.81%	15.37%	8.13%
17	McKinley	\$0	0%	\$96	100%	\$96	\$4,420	2.17%	2.14%	1.13%
23	Sandoval	\$60	20%	\$247	80%	\$307	\$8,372	3.67%	6.85%	3.62%
24	San Juan	\$508	85%	\$89	15%	\$597	\$8,425	7.09%	13.32%	7.04%
26	Santa Fe	\$266	42%	\$373	58%	\$639	\$9,370	6.82%	14.26%	7.54%
35	NW Bernalillo	\$590	51%	\$560	49%	\$1,150	\$9,303	12.36%	25.66%	13.56%
36	SW Bernalillo	\$201	50%	\$200	50%	\$401	\$12,038	3.33%	8.95%	4.73%
38	S. Dona Ana	\$123	69%	\$54	31%	\$177	\$6,535	2.71%	3.95%	2.09%
39	NE Bernalillo	\$47	14%	\$280	86%	\$327	\$7,070	4.63%	7.30%	3.86%
	HIPAC Sub Totals	\$2,397	53%	\$2,085	47%	\$4,482	\$80,666	5.56%	100.00%	52.86%
Nor	-HIPAC Counties	Agency \$ Error	Agency % Error	Client \$ Error	Client % Error	Total \$ Error	Total \$ Paid	County Error Rate	Non-HIPAC Share	State Share
03	Chaves	\$318	100%	\$0	0%	\$318	\$2,747	11.58%	7.96%	3.75%
04	Colfax/Union	\$194	100%	\$0	0%	\$194	\$987	19.66%	4.85%	2.29%
05	Curry	\$85	100%	\$0	0%	\$85	\$4,607	1.85%	2.13%	1.00%
08	Eddy	\$79	100%	\$0	0%	\$79	\$1,413	5.59%	1.98%	0.93%
09	Grant/Hidalgo	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$2,100	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
10	Guadalupe/DeBaca	\$0	0%	\$104	100%	\$104	\$511	20.35%	2.60%	1.23%
13	Lea	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$2,813	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
14	Lincoln	<b>\$</b> 0		\$0		\$0	\$1,186	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
16	Luna	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$2,105	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
19	Otero	\$0	0%	\$166	100%	\$166	\$1,198	13.86%	4.15%	1.96%
20	Quay	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$610	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
21	Rio Arriba	\$268	48%	\$294	52%	\$562	\$4,495	12.50%	14.06%	6.63%
22	Roosevelt	\$159	100%	\$0	0%	\$159	\$858	18.53%	3.98%	1.88%
25	San Miguel	\$74	28%	\$192	72%	\$266	\$1,711	15.55%	6.65%	3.14%
27	Sierra	\$87	100%	\$0	0%	\$87	\$2,340	3.72%	2.18%	1.03%
28	Socorro	\$47	12%	\$345	88%	\$392	\$2,920	13.42%	9.81%	4.62%
29	Taos	\$363	45%	\$446	55%	\$809	\$3,718	21.76%	20.24%	9.54%
30	Torrance	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$1,950	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
32	S. Valencia	\$0	0%	\$77	100%	\$77	\$2,707	2.84%	1.93%	0.91%
33	Cibola	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$1,632	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
34	Eddy/Artesia	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$1,160	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
37	E. Dona Ana	\$86	17%	\$421	83%	\$507	\$5,371	9.44%	12.68%	5.98%
42	N. Valencia	\$192		\$0		\$192	\$6,249	3.07%	4.80%	2.26%
	Non-HIPAC Sub Totals	\$1,952	49%	\$2,045	51%	\$3,997	\$55,388	7.22%	100.0%	47.14%

#### **Regional Cumulative SNAP Performance Report Quality Control Finding FFY2015**

#### 3. STATE - Cumulative Payment Error Rates

Total Paid	\$136,054		3 7 10
Total Dollar Errors	\$8,479	Total Error Rate %	6.23%
Total Dollar Over	\$5,477	% Error Rate Over	4.03%
Total Dollar Under	\$1,394	% Error Rate Under	1.02%
Total Dollar Ineligible	\$1,608	% Error Rate Ineligible	1.18%
Agency Error (Dollar Amount):		Agency % Error Rate	:
\$4,349		3.20%	
Client Error (Dollar Amount):		Client % Error Rate:	
\$4,130		3.04%	

#### **Cumulative Case Error Rate**


Total Reviewed

% in Error	13.24%
Cases Dropped	82
Correct	439
Overpaid	42
Underpaid	17
Ineligible	8


#### 4. REGIONAL - Cumulative Payment Error Rates

	Agency	Client	Total	Total	County	Region	State
NE Region	\$ Error	\$ Error	\$ Error	\$ Paid	Error Rate	Share	Share
04 Colfax	\$194	\$0	\$194	\$987	19.66%	6.73%	2.29%
0 Guadalupe/DeBaca	\$0	\$104	\$104	\$511	20.35%	16.22%	1.23%
0 Quay	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$610	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
1 Rio Arriba	\$268	\$294	\$562	\$4,495	12.50%	19.51%	6.63%
3 Sandoval	\$60	\$247	\$307	\$8,372	3.67%	21.30%	3.62%
5 San Miguel	\$74	\$192	\$266	\$1,711	15.55%	9.23%	3.14%
6 Santa Fe	\$266	\$373	\$639	\$9,370	6.82%	22.18%	7.54%
9 Taos	\$363	\$446	\$809	\$3,718	21.76%	28.08%	9.54%
NE Region Totals	\$1,225	\$1,656	\$2,881	\$29,774	9.68%	100.00%	33.98%
IW Region							
7 McKinley	\$0	\$96	\$96	\$4,420	2.17%	6.66%	1.13%
4 San Juan	\$508	\$89	\$597	\$8,425	7.09%	41.43%	7.04%
7 Sierra	\$87	\$0	\$87	\$2,340	3.72%	6.04%	1.03%
8 Socorro	\$47	\$345	\$392	\$2,920	13.42%	27.20%	4.62%
2 S. Valencia	\$0	\$77	\$77	\$2,707	2.84%	5.34%	0.91%
3 Cibola	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,632	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
2 N. Valencia	\$192	\$0	\$192	\$6,249	3.07%	13.32%	2.26%
NW Region Totals	\$834	\$607	\$1,441	\$28,693	5.02%	100.00%	16.99%
SW Region				······································			
7 W. Dona Ana	\$503	\$186	\$689	\$8,821	7.81%	47.81%	8.13%
9 Grant/Hidalgo	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,100	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
6 Luna	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,105	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
9 Otero	\$0	\$166	\$166	\$1,198	13.86%	11.52%	1.96%
37 E. Dona Ana	\$86	\$421	\$507	\$5,371	9.44%	35.18%	5.98%
8 S. Dona Ana	\$123	\$54	\$177	\$6,535	2.71%	12.28%	2.09%
SW Region Totals	\$712	\$827	\$1,539	\$26,130	5.89%	106.80%	18.15%
E Region					12		NATURAL AND ADDRESS AND ADDRES
3 Chaves	\$318	\$0	\$318	\$2,747	11.58%	49.61%	3.75%
5 Curry	\$85	\$0	\$85	\$4,607	1.85%	13.26%	1.00%
8 Eddy	\$79	\$0	\$79	\$1,413	5.59%	12.32%	0.93%
3 Lea	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,813	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
4 Lincoln	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,186	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
2 Roosevelt	\$159	\$0	\$159	\$858	18.53%	24.80%	1.88%
4 Eddy/Artesia	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,160	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
SE Region Totals	\$641	\$0	\$641	\$14,784	4.34%	100.00%	7.56%
Central Region							
1 SE Bernalillo	\$99	\$0	\$99	\$6,312	1.57%	5.01%	1.17%
5 NW Bernalillo	\$590	\$560	\$1,150	\$9,303	12.36%	58.17%	13.56%
6 SW Bernalillo	\$201	\$200	\$401	\$12,038	3.33%	20.28%	4.73%
9 NE Bernalillo	\$47	\$280	\$327	\$7,070	4.63%	16.54%	3.86%
0 Torrance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,950	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Central Region Totals	\$937	\$1,040	\$1,977	\$36,673	5.39%	100.00%	23.32%
State Totals	\$4,349	\$4,130	\$8,479	\$136,054			
State I Utais	P4,349	94,13U	ФO,4/У	\$130,U34	6.23%	100.00%	100.00%


## 5. STATE SNAP Error Rates- Current FY Compared to Previous FYs



## 6. HIPAC Dollar Errors - Compared to the Remainder of the State



## 7. Agency-vs-Client Errors




Average Client Error = \$153

Average Agency Error = \$109

#### 8. AGENCY/CLIENT DOLLAR ERROR BREAKDOWN BY GENERAL ELEMENT

Food Stamps	Agency	Client	% Agency Cause
Earned Income	\$1,588	\$1,717	48.0%
Shelter	\$629	\$978	39.1%
Unearned Income	\$658	\$1,180	35.8%
Resources	\$0	\$0	0.0%
HH composition	\$1,015	\$255	79.9%
Dependent Care	\$250	\$0	100.0%
Medical Deduction	\$130	\$0	100.0%
Other	\$79	\$0	100.0%
ABAWDs	\$0	\$0	0.0%

## 9. SNAP DOLLAR ERROR BREAKDOWN BY GENERAL ELEMENT



#### 10. SNAP DOLLAR ERROR BREAKDOWN BY SPECIFIC ELEMENT

Percent	Total	Element	Element Discription	
38.33%	\$3,250	311	WAGES & SALARIES	
9.66%	\$819	150	HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
17.35%	\$1,471	363	SHELTER DEDUCTION	
0.00%	\$0	211	BANK ACCOUNTS	
1.60%	\$136	364	SUA	
3.50%	\$297	130	CITIZENSHIP/NON-CITIZEN STATUS	
3.49%	\$296	344	PA/GA GRANT	
0.00%	\$0	520	ARITHMETIC COMPUTATION	
2.42%	\$205	342	CONTRIBUTIONS	
0.67%	\$57	333	SSI BENEFITS	
2.23%	\$189	334	UCB BENEFITS	
1.98%	\$168	331	RSDI BENEFITS	
0.00%	\$0	221	REAL PROPERTY	
10.89%	\$923	346	OTHER UEI	
1.82%	\$154	111	STUDENT STATUS	
1.53%	\$130	365	MEDICAL DEDUCTIONS	
0.00%	\$0	170	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBERS	
0.00%	\$0	160	EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING	
0.00%	\$0	140	RESIDENCY	
0.00%	\$0	335	WORKERS COMP BENEFITS	
0.93%	\$79	350	CHILD SUPPORT	
0.00%	\$0	161	ABAWDS	
0.00%	\$0	213	OTHER LIQUID ASSETS/PERSONAL PROP	
0.00%	\$0	530	TRANSITIONAL BEN	
0.65%	\$55	312	SELF-EMPLOYMENT INCOME	
0.00%	\$0	332	VA BENEFITS	
0.00%	\$0	347	UEITANF	
0.00%	\$0	371	COMBINED GROSS INCOME	
0.00%	\$0	163	VOLUNTARY QUIT	
2.95%	\$250	323	DEPENDENT CARE	
0.00%	\$0	225	COMBINED RESOURCES	

#### 11. STATEWIDE CAUSES OF AGENCY ERROR --- FFY 2015

In this table, general cause for agency error is ranked by the top three error causing areas

- R No action on reported information
- P Policy or procedure not applied or not correctly applied
- V Inadequate verification
- M Math or transcription error
- F No action on anticipated or known changes
- X Old or invalid data or coding not removed from ASPEN
- O Other
- S ASPEN or CSED systems caused error

	ALL Err	EI	ННС	UEI
R	\$1,638	\$792	\$61	\$228
Р	\$2,711	\$796	\$954	\$430
V	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
M	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
F	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Х	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$4,349	\$1,588	\$1,015	\$658

Agency errors with "No action on reported information" and "policy or procedure not applied or not correctly applied" account for 100% of agency caused dollar errors.

Errors in "earned income", "unearned income", and "Household Composition" elements account for 75% of the agency-caused error rate.

#### 12. DOLLAR ERROR BY: HOUSEHOLD SIZE

	1	2	3	4	5	6+
\$	\$2,036	\$872	\$2,072	\$2,615	\$575	\$309
%	24.0%	10.3%	24.4%	30.8%	6.8%	3.6%

#### 13. AVERAGE HOUSEHOLD SIZE

All Cases	2.2
Correct Cases	2.1
Error Cases	2.7
Client Error Cases	2.7


#### 14. DOLLAR ERROR BY: TIME OF OCCURRENCE

	\$	%
Approval	\$1,462	
Recert	\$3,968	46.8%
Change	\$351	4.1%
Transitional FS	\$0	0.0%
Semi Annual	\$2,127	25.1%
Annual Report	\$571	6.7%

#### 15. CASE ERROR BY:TIME OF OCCURRENCE

	#	%
Approval	13	19.4%
Recert	29	43.3%
Change	5	7.5%
Transitional FS	0	0.0%
Semi-Annual	12	17.9%
Annual Report	8	11.9%

#### 16. ERROR RATES BY: MONTH AND FFY CUMULATIVE




## **FFY 2015 Negative Statewide Error Rates**

# 1. Cumulative Negative Case Error Rate

Valid	159
Invalid Total	234
Invalid Error	38
Invalid Notice	196
Drop	57
Total Sampled	450
% Invalid Notice	43.56%
% Invalid Error	8.44%
% Invalid Total	52.00%

#### 2. State Negative Error Rates



#### 3. REGIONAL - Cumulative Negative Error Rates

NE Reg	ion	Valid	Invalid	Total	Invalid
04	Colfax	0	4	4	100.0%
10	Guad/DeBaca	1	0	1	0.0%
20	Quay	0	1	1	100.0%
21	Rio Arriba	2	8	10	80.0%
23	Sandoval	7	11	18	61.1%
25	San Miguel	3	3	6	50.0%
26	Santa Fe	6	19	25	76.0%
29	Taos	4	8	12	66.7%
NE Reg	NE Region Totals		54	77	70.1%

SE Reg	ion	Valid	Invalid	Total	Invalid
03	Chaves	11	2	13	15.4%
05	Curry	4	4	8	50.0%
80	Eddy	5	2	7	28.6%
13	Lea	2	6	8	75.0%
14	Lincoln	1	5	6	83.3%
22	Roosevelt	1	2	3	0.0%
34	Eddy/Artesia	1	2	3	0.0%
SE Reg	ion Totals	25	23	48	47.9%

Central	Region	Valid	Invalid	Total	Invalid		
01	SE Bernalillo	7	20	27	74.1%		
35	NW Bernalillo	13	15	28	53.6%		
36	SW Bernalillo	15	18	33	54.5%		
39	NE Bernalillo	7	22	29	75.9%		
30	Torrance	2 4		6	66.7%		
Central	Region Totals	44	79	123	64.2%		

#### Valid Invalid Total Invalid NW Region McKinley 75.0% 3 9 12 San Juan 15 11 26 42.3% Sierra 3 3 6 50.0% Socorro 2 3 5 60.0% 32 S. Valencia 0 6 6 100.0% 33 Cibola 42 N. Valencia 5 3 8 37.5% 12 41.7% NW Region Totals 35 40 53.3%

SW Re	gion Totals	Valid	Invalid	Total	Invalid
07	W. Dona Ana	12	6	18	33.3%
09	Grant/Hidalgo	5	4	9	44.4%
16	Luna	1	8	9	88.9%
19	Otero	4	1	5	20.0%
37	E. Dona Ana	4	10	14	71.4%
38	S. Dona Ana	6	9	15	60.0%
SW Re	gion Totals	32	38	70	54.3%

Negativ	e Action	urrence	
Closure	115	49.1%	17
Denial	119	50.9%	

#### 4. Negative Case Element Ranking

Error Elements	De	nials	Clos	ures	Invalid	% Of Total
Notices	98	41.88%	98	41.88%	196	83.76%
Application	22	9.40%	-1	0.43%	23	9.83%
Verification	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Employment & Training	0	0.00%	3	1.28%	3	1.28%
Combined Net Income	1	0.43%	5	2.14%	6	2.56%
Combined Gross Income	1	0.43%	3	1.28%	4	1.71%
Voluntary Quit/Reduced Work	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Other	0	0.00%	1 -	0.43%	1	0.43%
Wages and Salaries	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Work Registration	0	0.00%	1	0.43%	1	0.43%
Student Status	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

<del></del>	<del></del>	,	,	,	/	/	/	/	/	_	,	/	/
			' . /	· . /			/					/ /	Arg. Cum
	October	Novemb.	Decemb	Jamar.	rebruga.	\$ /	. / .					Septem.	2/
	1 3						? / £	/ 5	1 4	1 / 3			ž / Š
	/ 2007	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	/ ¿¿,	Name of the second	/ Ser	Ananch I.	April 1c.	Way 15.	June Is.	Jin 18	Ange of the second	/ de	
	, ,						, 4.	~ ~	, 3		<del></del>		
NE Region													
Colfax	96.8%	100.0%	90.5%	82.8%	100.0%	95.7%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				96.2%
Guadalupe	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				100.0%
Quay	100.0%	93.8%	87.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	96.4%				97.5%
Rio Arriba	93.6%	94.8%	87.7%	92.9%	89.2%	97.9%	97.8%	98.1%	97.6%				94.4%
San Miguel	89.7%	95.4%	97.6%	97.0%	93.5%	88.5%	96.8%	94.2%	98.3%				94.6%
Sandoval	81.8%	85.7%	80.9%	82.9%	73.7%	80.3%	89.2%	95.5%	95.7%				85.1%
Santa Fe	72.5%	74.9%	67.6%	76.2%	74.5%	80.8%	88.6%	94.2%	96.6%				80.7%
Γaos	82.9%	86.1%	92.6%	89.9%	91.7%	94.8%	98.8%	98.4%	100.0%				92.8%
						05.45		2= 42	26.27				00.00
NE Region TOTAL	89.6%	85.1%	81.1%	84.4%	80.9%	86.4%	92.5%	97.6%	96.9%				88.3%
NW Region											<b></b>		
Cibola	93.5%	90.5%	86.2%	94.3%	96.6%	92.9%	100.0%	96.9%	100.0%				94.5%
McKinley	87.7%	91.6%	91.7%	87.7%	89.6%	93.6%	96.0%	95.3%	96.9%				92.2%
San Juan	91.5%	84.0%	90.0%	88.3%	79.7%	83.9%	96.3%	92.6%	91.4%				88.6%
Sierra	94.1%	91.7%	97.5%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	95.7%	90.0%	93.8%	4			95.9%
Socorro Socorro	96.7%	92.0%	92.1%	97.6%	96.9%	100.0%	100.0%	94.3%	98.2%				96.4%
S. Valencia	88.4%	94.8%	95.9%	96.4%	96.2%	98.1%	98.0%	93.3%	96.7%				95.3%
V. Valencia	91.5%	92.3%	92.7%	90.9%	76.7%	86.3%	92.7%	89.3%	93.8%				89.6%
NW Region TOTAL	91.9%	89.0%	91.5%	91.2%	87.3%	90.1%	96.3%	93.1%	94.5%				91.7%
SW Region	00.00	00.70	05.10	07.0%	05.20	00.00	05.40	06.20	00.10				06.20
Dona Ana (West) Dona Ana (East)	98.8%	90.7% 85.3%	95.1% 85.3%	97.0% 94.4%	95.3% 93.4%	99.0% 100.0%	95.4% 97.1%	96.3% 99.2%	99.1% 99.3%				96.3% 94.3%
Dona Ana (Southern)	95.0% 90.0%	81.3%	86.3%	85.5%	86.0%	92.4%	94.6%	95.2%	96.3%	-		-	89.7%
Grant/Hidalgo	93.1%	93.8%	90.8%	91.4%	79.7%	92.2%	96.4%	96.5%	98.6%				92.5%
Luna	90.9%	98.3%	98.9%	95.5%	100.0%	95.2%	93.9%	95.8%	100.0%				96.5%
Otero	95.9%	95.9%	91.5%	99.0%	96.3%	94.9%	98.0%	97.8%	100.0%				96.6%
				7.1									1.
SW Region Total	93.4%	90.3%	91.5%	94.8%	91.9%	96.4%	96.0%	96.8%	99.0%				94.5%
M L						111			1				
SE Region													
Chaves	88.0%			95.3%	85.7%	91.2%	99.1%		96.4%				93.2%
Curry	86.1%	82.2%	94.5%	97.6%	90.0%	94.1%	95.8%	97.9%	100.0%				93.1%
Eddy	90.5%		86.7%	77.9%	93.9%	88.9%	89.4%	84.2%	96.6%				88.5%
Eddy (Artesia)	85.7% 97.3%		100.0% 81.3%	89.3% 94.9%	85.5% 86.6%	76.5% 93.3%	89.5% 96.8%	83.3% 97.5%	97.7% 97.5%				88.7% 92.9%
_ea _incoln	85.7%			100.0%		95.5%	96.6%		100.0%			<del>                                     </del>	96.5%
Roosevelt	95.5%		100.0%	100.0%	87.5%	85.7%	93.6%	100.0%	100.0%				95.0%
	25.5 10	72.370	100.070	130.070	31.370	33.170	75.070	. 55.070	. 30.070				75.070
SE Region Total	89.8%	88.9%	91.8%	93.1%	88.3%	90.8%	95.3%	94.3%	97.9%				92.2%
	32.070	55.770	21.070	23.170	33.570	2 3.0 70	25.570	2 11.5 70	70				72.2%
Central Region													
Bernalillo (NE)	87.6%	86.0%	90.0%	90.8%	86.4%	88.8%	92.3%	92.2%	94.2%				89.8%
Bernalillo (NW)	87.5%		85.3%	87.7%	86.5%	92.5%	98.2%	98.4%	97.5%				91.7%
Bernalillo (SE)	85.5%	83.7%	86.7%	82.2%	82.6%	91.7%	95.1%	97.9%	95.4%			<b>_</b>	89.0%
Bernalillo (SW)	91.6%	86.0%	90.3%	87.7%	80.2%	90.0%	89.2%	91.3%	93.7%				88.9%
Гогтапсе	88.6%	91.6%	84.0%	92.3%	91.7%	94.2%	98.2%	96.7%	100.0%				93.0%
Central Region Total	88.2%	86.4%	88.1%	87.7%	84.3%	89.0%	93.8%	95.3%	95.3%				89.8%
STATE TOTAL	90.6%	87.5%	88.1%	89.3%	85.7%	90.0%	94.5%	95.4%	96.5%				90.8%

FFY 2015 Non-Expedite

					Ap		Processi	ng Timel	iness			
	/	/	/	/	/							7 /
		Novem,	Decemb	š /	. /	. /						September 15.
		4	5	5	\$ /	3/3	is / :	. / .	/ .		1 5	
	1 8					/ 5	` / ž	1 2	1 2	1 5 /		
	O'Unday.	1 201	المحققة المحققة	James 14	Pebrus.	S. V. Andrews	April 15.	May 15,	June 15.	Jan 15.	August 15.	Z. Z.
				,					<del>-                                    </del>	<del>"</del> (	· (	<del>*                                    </del>
NE Region						- 1						
Colfax	90.7%	100.0%	96.2%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			98.5%
Guadalupe	88.3%	96.5%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			98.3%
Quay	98.0%	91.7%	100.0%	93.1%	100.0%	93.8%	93.3%	94.0%	100.0%			96.0%
Rio Arriba	97.8%	92.8%	100.0%	90.3%	95.7%	98.8%	98.8%	100.0%	95.5%			96.6%
San Miguel	96.0%	97.1%	97.3%	94.6%	97.4%	100.0%	97.6%	100.0%	96.3%			97.4%
Sandoval	90.8%	91.6%	92.1%	83.1%	90.9%	84.9%	90.1%	91.4%	88.8%			89.3%
Santa Fe	87.8%	88.7%	89.8%	87.9%	96.0%	95.2%	94.0%	94.2%	90.6%			91.6%
Taos	94.2%	96.5%	92.6%	96.5%	98.7%	100.0%	100.0%	98.7%	95.4%			97.0%
		7 0.0 10	72.010	7 010 10	701110	1001072	100.0%	701770				7,1075
NE Region TOTAL	93.0%	92.6%	93.4%	89.1%	87.8%	92.7%	94.1%	97.3%	92.6%			95.6%
TE REGION TOTAL	75.070	72.070	73.710	07.170	07.070	12.170	74.170	21.370	72.070		_	93.070
NW Region										i		
Cibola	98.7%	93.8%	98.4%	94.6%	98.2%	89.5%	98.2%	96.4%	98.4%			96.2%
McKinley	95.2%	88.5%	81.3%	91.7%	90.7%	92.5%	95.1%	98.2%	92.9%		-	91.8%
San Juan	92.5%	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%	93.6%	95.6%	93.3%	96.7%	90.1%			94.8%
Sierra	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	97.9%	100.0%	95.8%	96.3%	98.0%			98.7%
Socorro	98.1%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	96.6%	100.0%	96.0%			99.0%
S.Valencia	100.0%	97.2%	97.8%	100.0%	93.3%	97.8%	97.6%	100.0%	100.0%			98.2%
N. Valencia	94.4%	99.0%	96.5%	88.8%	97.8%	93.9%	94.7%	90.5%	92.0%			94.2%
	7 // // //	77.070	70.070	00.070	271070	75.770	2 111 70	70.270	72.070			71,270
NW Region TOTAL	97.0%	96.0%	96.0%	95.0%	94.7%	94.8%	95.2%	96.9%	93.3%			96.1%
W Region TOTAL	91.070	30.070	90.070	93.070	24.170	24.670	73.270	30.370	93.370		_	90.170
SW Region	F I											
Dona Ana (West)	98.3%	95.9%	97.9%	98.6%	98.0%	98.0%	96.9%	96.7%	99.0%			97.7%
Dona Ana (East)	99.0%	99.3%	96.8%	100.0%	98.1%	99.4%	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%			98.6%
Dona Ana (Southern)	100.0%	86.6%	99.2%	87.2%	92.9%	96.8%	95.8%	96.8%	91.5%		15	94.1%
Grant/Hidalgo	97.2%	93.8%	97.3%	97.6%	98.5%	94.4%	95.8%	97.4%	93.0%			96.1%
Luna	98.0%	99.2%	100.0%	98.4%	100.0%	97.3%	100.0%	98.5%	93.1%			98.3%
Otero	100.0%	96.0%	100.0%	96.7%	100.0%	98.6%	100.0%	97.0%	95.8%			98.2%
			_									
SW Region Total	99.0%	95.3%	98.3%	96.1%	97.3%	97.7%	97.6%	97.6%	95.9%			97.2%
SE Region					7 74		F 11 '			1		
Chaves	97.6%	100.0%	98.0%	95.9%	96.5%	97.4%	94.6%	94.6%	96.4%			96.8%
Curry	91.8%	90.7%	89.3%	88.0%	88.0%	94.2%	97.5%	94.0%	96.4%			92.2%
Eddy	94.2%	97.0%	97.8%	94.7%	87.7%	92.0%	94.2%	95.9%	96.7%			94.5%
Eddy (Artesia)	97.4%	96.6%	100.0%	96.8%	92.4%	92.9%	92.3%	94.1%	100.0%			95.8%
Lea	76.9%	91.7%	95.1%	94.7%	86.2%	93.3%	87.8%	97.1%	97.5%			91.2%
Lincoln	100.0%			100.0%	93.5%	94.2%	97.4%	100.0%	97.9%			98.1%
Roosevelt	77.8%	91.7%	100.0%	88.9%	90.9%	75.9%	87.1%	96.9%	96.6%			89.5%
SE Region Total	90.8%	95.5%	96.4%	93.9%	91.1%	93.5%	93.0%	96.1%	97.2%			94.0%
		-1										
Central Region												
Bernalillo (NE)	93.2%	98.9%	92.4%	87.3%	83.3%	86.0%	92.3%	96.6%	94.3%			91.6%
Bernalillo (NW)	90.6%	92.2%	89.0%	87.6%	91.6%	93.2%	94.7%	94.3%	94.8%			92.0%
Bernalillo (SE)	92.0%	87.1%	83.5%	77.7%	83.7%	91.3%	99.1%	92.2%	93.2%			88.9%
Bernalillo (SW)	90.2%	98.2%	85.1%	93.7%	88.6%	95.3%	94.0%	95.7%	96.2%			93.0%
Torrance	97.4%	94.5%	97.9%	93.2%	99.0%	95.7%	96.8%	92.3%	98.1%			96.1%
	92.7%	94.3%	88.3%	87.3%	88.1%	91.6%	94.6%	94.2%	95.3%			91.8%
Central Region Total	92.170	74.570	00.570	41.0		711010	2 110 70	2 112 70	7 0 10 11			
Central Region Total STATE TOTAL	94.5%	94.5%	00.570	91.5%	92.9%	94.0%	94.9%	96.4%	94.8%			94.1%

		. /	<i>š</i> /	× /	. /	. /							Pany Area	ž.
	October .	it which the state of the state	ist 14.	P. J. Lineman	is leave the second sec	March 15.	1 9	/ .	/ .	/ ,	August 15.	Schembe	7 4	
	200	, de la companya della companya della companya de la companya della companya dell		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		The state of the s	St may.	St. Ten	June 15.	July 15.	/ Sales	Deem	N. S.	
	/ 8	<del>/ ~</del>	1 8	/ 3	( 40	( <del>Z</del>	42	<del>- 2</del>	/ 🔻	<del>  3</del>	<del></del>	/ <sup>¿ç</sup> `	- A	
NE Region														
Colfax			0.0%										0.0%	
Guadalupe					0.0%								0.0%	
Quay	100.0%												100.0%	
Rio Arriba	66.7%	66.7%	0.0%	100.0%	50.0%	100.0%							64.3%	
Sandoval	100.0%	66.7%	100.0%	66.7%	50.0%	100.0%							76.5%	
San Miguel	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%		100.0%							100.0%	3000 - F. 1000
Santa Fe	50.0%	100.0%	75.0%	75.0%	80.0%	100.0%							81.0%	
Taos		100.0%		100.0%	100.0%								100.0%	
	77.00	05.70	#0.00F	00.00	#0.0m								77.60	
NE Region TOTAL	77.8%	85.7%	50.0%	83.3%	50.0%	100.0%							77.6%	
NW Region									<u> </u>					
Cibola	100.0%				100.0%	0.0%							66.7%	
McKinley	100.0%	100.0%	50.0%	100.0%	100.0%	50.0%							80.0%	
San Juan	100.0%	66.7%	66.7%	75.0%	100.0%	100.0%							80.0%	
Sierra	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			100.0%							100.0%	
Socorro	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%							100.0%	
Valencia South		100.0%	50.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%							83.3%	
Valencia North	-			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%							100.0%	
NIN Declar TOTAL	100.0%	87.5%	70.0%	90.0%	100.0%	75.0%							86.3%	
NW Region TOTAL	100.0%	87.3%	70.0%	90.0%	100.0%	75.0%							80.3%	
	-												L D C II IV	
SW Region													-23	
Dona Ana (West)	100.0%	100.0%	33.3%	100.0%	66.7%	100.0%							78.6%	
Dona Ana (East)	50.0%	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%							85.7%	
Dona Ana (South)	100.0%	50.0%	100.00	100.0%	100.0%	66.7%							86.7%	
Grant/Hidalgo	100.00		100.0%		100.0%	50.00							100.0%	
Luna	100.0%		100.0%	0.00		50.0%							80.0%	
Otero	0.0%		100.0%	0.0%								_	33.3%	
									l					
SW Region Total	80.0%	75.0%	71.4%	83.3%	91.7%	75.0%							80.9%	
SE Region	100.00	100.00		100.00	50.00	100.00				-			05.70	
Chaves	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	50.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%							85.7%	2
Curry Eddy	-	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			$\vdash$				71.4% 100.0%	
Eddy (Artesia)	_		100.070	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%							100.0%	
Lea	100.0%			50.0%	0.0%	100.070							50.0%	
Lincoln	100.070	50.0%		50.070	0.070								50.0%	
Roosevelt		23.070											23.070	
					_, , ,								mc 451	
SE Region Total	100.0%	50.0%	100.0%	75.0%	71.4%	100.0%							78.6%	
													174	
Central Region														
Bernalillo (NE)	100.0%	66.7%	100.0%		33.3%	66.7%							63.6%	
Bernalillo (NW)	50.0%	50.0%	80.0%	100.0%	100.0%	66.7%							75.0%	
Bernalillo (SE)	100.0%	100.0%	66.7%	100.0%	100.0%	60.0%							78.6%	
Bernalillo (SW)	66.7%	100.0%	100.0%	75.0%	66.7%	50.0%							78.6%	_
Torrance	100.0%	100.0%											100.0%	
<b></b>	76.00	02.2~	05.57	04.57	70.00								76.60	
Central Region Total	76.9%	83.3%	85.7%	84.6%	70.0%	60.0%	-						76.6%	
		81.0%												
STATE TOTAL	82.1%	Q I Am	73.8%	83.6%	80.0%	76.2%							79.6%	