State: New Mexico Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 202 Overall Report _X_ Apply the overall credit to the two-parent X_	3
Overall Penert V Apply the overall gradit to the two perent V	
(check one)	yes no
PART 1 –Eligibility Changes Made Since FY 2005 (Complete this section for EACH change)	
1. Name of eligibility change: Extension of IR/PR	
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: May 2022	
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Implementation of IR/PR extension durin Federal National Disaster in New Mexico due to the wildfires This allowed for TANF cases whose IR/P due in the month of May 2022 to September 2022 to be extended. This applied to those who didn't turn their IR/PR for those months were automatically extended an additional three to six months. Had these individuals not submitted an IR/PR the TANF case would have closed.	R was
 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change (attach supporting materials to this form): The methodology used to estimate the impact of the IR/PR extension during May-September 2022 was to ic TANF cases that had IR/PR due that got extended. These cases reflect the group affected by the policy characteristic cases and the set of the term of the policy characteristic cases are the term of the term of the policy characteristic cases. 	•
5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: _1,026	

Date of C	Completion: October 2022	
State:	New Mexico	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2023
1. Name	of eligibility change: Good Cause	Exemptions from work requirements
2. Implei	nentation date of eligibility changes	: March 20, 2020
and the cause Mexic	e State of Public Health orders, New to those participants who are not co	ge from prior policy: In response to the stay at home health orders w Mexico provided flexibility to TANF participants to apply good mplying with the work program requirements. Along with this, New tions due to the hardship individuals were seeing due to the orders
(attach require Februa The av FFY20 obtain data w	a supporting materials to this form): ements was estimated by using the a ary 2020. We used period because is verage number of 3 rd level sanctions), which is 327 for All Family house ed from the TANF Monthly Sample	alculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change The impact of the Good Cause Exemptions from work average monthly number of 3 rd level sanctions from October 2019 to it reflects sanctions frequency prior to the COVID-19 pandemic. during this period is calculated 3.1% of the average caseload in eholds and 25 for 2 parent households. The caseload data was e Aggregate Report (DRT-03), and the 3 rd level sanction closures res report developed by a contractor working with the State of New
This p	olicy is no longer in affect and did	not impact 2022 caseload.

^{5.} Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 0

Date of Completion: October 2022 State: ____New Mexico______ Fiscal Year to which credit applies: __2023__ 1. Name of eligibility change: Eliminating the Excess Hours Worked Disregard 2. Implementation date of eligibility change: July 1, 2008 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: House Bill 342, which proposed to eliminate the Excess Hours Worked Disregard, passed in the 2007 Regular Legislative Session. This bill made changes to the New Mexico Works Act (NMSA 27-2B-7 (F)) in which the Excess Hours Worked Disregard was eliminated. This portion of the New Mexico Works Act stated that the department shall apply the following income disregards to the benefit group's earned income and then subtract that amount from the benefit group's financial standard of need: (1) for the first two years of receiving cash assistance or services, if a participant works over the work requirement rate set by the department pursuant to the New Mexico Works Act, one hundred percent of the income earned by the participant beyond that rate; and (2) for the first two years of receiving cash assistance or services, for a two-parent benefit group in which one parent works over thirty-five hours per week and the other works over twenty-four hours per week, one hundred percent of income earned by each participant beyond the work requirement rate set by the department. 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) To estimate the impact of the eliminating the Excess Hours Worked Disregard, the state assumes that the proportion of cases qualifying for this disregard would have been the same as the proportion of cases qualifying for the Transition Bonus, a similar policy in place in FY 2010 and described on page three. In FY 2010, 3.64% of the caseload qualified for the Transition Bonus. Therefore, it is assumed that the caseload impact of eliminating this disregard is 3.64% of the FY 2020 caseload, or 386 cases, for all families and 26 cases for 2 parent households. 5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 386

Date of Completion _____

State: _____

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: _____

PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit

(Complete Part 2 using Excel Workbook provided.)

Date of Completion	
State:	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:

PART 3 -- Certification

I certify that we have provided the public an appropriate opportunity to comment on the estimates and methodology used to complete this report and considered those comments in completing it. Further, I certify that this report incorporates all reductions in the caseload resulting from State eligibility changes and changes in Federal requirements since Fiscal Year 2005.

 (signature)	
(name)	
 (title)	

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT Overall Credit

	A	В	С	D	E	F
1	New Mexico Fiscal Year to which credit applies:					2023
2				Date of Completion:	9/28/2022	
3	PART	2 – Estimat	te c	of Caseload Reduction Credit		
4						
5	Impact of All Changes			Caseload Reduction Calculation		
6	Extension of IR/PR	1,026		FY 2005 TANF Caseload	17,566	
7				FY 2005 SSP Caseload		
8	Eliminating Excess Hours Worked			Total FY 2005 Caseload	17,566	
9	Disregard	386		FY 2022 TANF Caseload	10,979	
10				FY 2022 SSP Caseload		
11				Total FY 2022 Caseload	10,979	
12				Excess MOE Cases in FY 2022	6,497	
13				Adjusted FY 2022 Caseload	4,482	
14				Caseload Decline	13,084	74.5%
15				Decline – Net Impact	14,496	
16				•		
17				Caseload Reducti	on Credit =	74.5%
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26	Net Impact	1,412				
27						
28						

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT Two-Parent Credit

	Α	В	С	D	E	F		
1	New Mexico		Î	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:				
2				Date of Completion:	9/28/2022			
3	PART 2 – Estim	ate of Case	eloa	ad Reduction Credit 2-Parent Caseload				
4								
5	Impact of All Changes			Caseload Reduction Calculation				
6	Extension of IR/PR	0		FY 2005 TANF 2-Parent Caseload	812			
7				FY 2005 SSP 2-Parent Caseload				
8	Eliminating Excess Hours Worked			Total FY 2005 Caseload	812			
9	Disregard	2		FY 2022 TANF 2-Parent Caseload	1,013			
10				FY 2022 SSP 2-Parent Caseload				
11				Total FY 2022 2-Parent Caseload	1,013			
12				Excess MOE 2-Parent Cases in FY 20	599			
13				Adjusted FY 2022 Caseload	414			
14				Caseload Decline	398	49.1%		
15				Decline – Net Impact	400			
16				·				
17				2-Parent Caseload Reducti	on Credit =	49.1%		
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26	Net Impact	2						
27								
28								

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT Excess MOE Worksheet

	A	В	С	D	E	F
1	New Mexico			Fiscal Year to which cred	2023	
2				Date of Co	9/28/2022	
3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Excose N		Calculation Worksheet		
4						
5	Caseload Data			Expenditure Data		
6	FY 2005 TANF Caseload	17,566		Total Expenditures		
7	FY 2005 SSP Caseload	0		FY 2022 Total Federal Expenditure	es	\$81,005,847
8	Total FY 2005 Caseload	17,566		FY 2022 Total MOE Expenditures		\$202,973,019
9	FY 2022 TANF Caseload	10,979		Total Expenditures (Federal + M	OE)	\$283,978,866
10	FY 2022 SSP Caseload	0				
11	Total FY 2022 Caseload	10,979		Assistance Expenditures		
12				FY 2022 Federal Expenditures on Assi	stance	\$29,747,185
13	2-Parent Caseload Data			FY 2022 MOE Expenditures on Assista	\$7,979,624	
14	FY 2005 2-p TANF Caseload	812		Total Expenditures on Assistance (F	\$37,726,809	
15	FY 2005 2-p SSP Caseload	0		Percentage of Expenditures on Assista	13.29%	
16	Total FY 2005 Caseload	812				
17	FY 2022 2-p TANF Caseload	1,013		Expenditures Per Case		
18	FY 2022 2-p SSP Caseload	0		Average Expenditures per Case		\$25,866
19	Total FY 2022 Caseload	1,013		Average Expenditures per Case on As	sistance	\$3,436
20						
21				MOE and Excess MOE		
22				Required MOE (80% or 75%)		\$34,931,522
23				Excess MOE Expenditures		\$168,041,497
24				Excess MOE Expenditures on Assistar	nce	\$22,324,441
25	Adjusted Caseload Data					
26	Adjusted FY 2022 Overall Caseload	4,482		Assistance Cases Funded by	Excess MOE	6,497
27	Adjusted FY 2022 2-parent Caseload	414		2-Parent Assistance Cases Funde	599	
28						
29						
30						
31						
32						

Reported Caseload for FFY22													
	Oct-21	Nov-21	Dec-21	Jan-22	Feb-22	Mar-22	Apr-22	May-22	Jun-22	Jul-22	Aug-22	Sep-22	FFY22 Average
All Family	11,926	12,044	12,083	12,211	11,586	10,978	10,492	9,920	9,782	9,866	9,876	9,540	10,859
2 Parent	1,145	1,169	1,181	1,203	1,126	1,032	954	871	831	822	808	734	990

Reported Caseload for FFY22

Impacted TANF cases from PR/IR Extensions from May 2022 to September 2022

Month	Cash Only PR	SNAP & Cash PR	Cash Only IR	SNAP & Cash IR	Impacted TANF Cases
May	323	510	66	321	1095
June	346	938			1091
July	290	394			581
August	334	391			616
September	701	1352			1745
Average					1026