

Monthly Statistical Report July 2018

There were 832,599 individuals that received Medicaid in July 2018, a 6.4% decrease from one year ago. The count of Medicaid recipients has decreased by 6,081, or 0.7%, since last month.

The SNAP caseload in July 2018 was 221,195, a 1.4% increase from one year ago. The SNAP caseload has decreased by 50 cases, or 0.0%, since last month.

The TANF caseload was 11,059 in July 2018, a decrease of 3.7% from July 2017. The TANF caseload had 276 more cases compared to June 2018, an increase of 2.6%.

The caseload for General Assistance was 2,867 in July 2018, a decrease of 6.1% from one year ago and an increase of 12 cases from last month.

Susana Martinez, Governor Brent Earnest, Cabinet Secretary

| Contents | Page |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| Summary | 3-5 |
| Medicaid | 6-18 |
| SNAP | 19-37 |
| Cash Assistance | 38-52 |
| Child Care Assistance | 53-54 |
| Income Eligibility Guidelines | 55-56 |

Regions are reported as follows:

| | Region 1 | |
|------------|------------|-----------|
| Catron | San Juan | Valencia |
| Cibola | Sierra | |
| McKinley | Socorro | |
| | Region 2 | |
| Colfax | Mora | Santa Fe |
| De Baca | Quay | Taos |
| Guadalupe | Rio Arriba | Union |
| Harding | Sandoval | |
| Los Alamos | San Miguel | |
| | Region 3 | |
| Bernalillo | Torrance | |
| | Region 4 | |
| Chaves | Eddy | Lincoln |
| Curry | Lea | Roosevelt |
| | Region 5 | |
| Dona Ana | Hidalgo | Otero |
| Grant | Luna | |

The Mission of the Human Services Department

To reduce the impact of poverty on people living in New Mexico by providing support services that help families break the cycle of dependency on public assistance

> Christopher Collins, Deputy Secretary Michael Nelson, Deputy Secretary

Prepared by Income Support Division PO Box 2348 Santa Fe, NM 87504-2348 ☐ 505-827-7250

Mary Brogdon, Director Vida Tapia-Sanchez, Deputy Director Shanita Harrison, Deputy Director Judith Parks, Deputy Director

http://www.hsd.state.nm.us/monthly-statistical-reports.aspx

Program Summary for July 2018

| Medicaid | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | Percent | Change |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | Jul-17- | Jun-18- |
| | Jul-17 | Jun-18 | Jul-18 | Jul-18 | Jul-18 |
| Expenditures ¹ | \$457,508,319 | \$442,271,369 | \$451,227,729 | -1.4% | 2.0% |
| Cases ² | 514,674 | 491,763 | 488,871 | -5.0% | -0.6% |
| Expenditures/Case | \$889 | \$899 | \$923 | 3.8% | 2.6% |
| Recipients ³ | 889,692 | 838,680 | 832,599 | -6.4% | -0.7% |
| Adults | 507,390 | 477,009 | 474,045 | -6.6% | -0.6% |
| Children | 382,302 | 361,671 | 358,554 | -6.2% | -0.9% |
| Recipients /Case | 1.73 | 1.71 | 1.70 | -1.5% | -0.1% |
| Cases Processed ⁴ | 15,193 | 12,019 | 12,118 | -20.2% | 0.8% |
| Approvals ⁴ | 10,661 | 9,534 | 9,545 | -10.5% | 0.1% |

| Cash Assistance Programs | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|---------|--|
| | | | | Percent | Change | |
| | | | | Jul-17- | Jun-18- | |
| | Jul-17 | Jun-18 | Jul-18 | Jul-18 | Jul-18 | |
| Expenditures ⁵ | \$4,161,858 | \$3,859,680 | \$4,292,123 | 3.1% | 11.2% | |
| Cases ⁶ | 14,702 | 13,782 | 14,073 | -4.3% | 2.1% | |
| Expenditures/Case | \$283 | \$280 | \$305 | 7.7% | 8.9% | |
| Recipients ⁷ | 33,590 | 30,774 | 31,665 | -5.7% | 2.9% | |
| Adults | 10,865 | 9,710 | 10,058 | -7.4% | 3.6% | |
| Children | 22,725 | 21,064 | 21,607 | -4.9% | 2.6% | |
| Recipients /Case | 2.28 | 2.23 | 2.25 | -1.5% | 0.8% | |
| Cases Processed ⁴ | 5,927 | 5,270 | 5,570 | -6.0% | 5.7% | |
| Approvals ⁴ | 1,660 | 1,671 | 1,784 | 7.5% | 6.8% | |

| Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------|---------|--|--|
| | | | | Percent | Change | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Jul-17- | Jun-18- | | |
| | Jul-17 | Jun-18 | Jul-18 | Jul-18 | Jul-18 | | |
| Expenditures ⁵ | \$54,873,752 | \$52,676,828 | \$52,761,380 | -3.8% | 0.2% | | |
| Cases ⁶ | 218,138 | 221,245 | 221,195 | 1.4% | 0.0% | | |
| Expenditures/Case | \$252 | \$238 | \$239 | -5.2% | 0.2% | | |
| Recipients ⁷ | 457,798 | 453,493 | 453,169 | -1.0% | -0.1% | | |
| Adults | 260,956 | 261,885 | 261,714 | 0.3% | -0.1% | | |
| Children | 196,842 | 191,608 | 191,455 | -2.7% | -0.1% | | |
| Recipients /Case | 2.10 | 2.05 | 2.05 | -2.4% | 0.0% | | |
| Cases Processed ⁴ | 19,424 | 18,653 | 19,417 | 0.0% | 4.1% | | |
| Approvals ⁴ | 15,331 | 15,091 | 15,510 | 1.2% | 2.8% | | |

| Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|--|--|
| Percen | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Jul-17- | Jun-18- | | |
| | Jul-17 | Jun-18 | Jul-18 | Jul-18 | Jul-18 | | |
| Expenditures ⁸ | \$622,237 | \$591,360 | \$617,934 | -0.7% | 4.5% | | |
| Cases ⁸ | 2,527 | 2,398 | 2,547 | 0.8% | 6.2% | | |
| Expenditures/Case | \$246 | \$247 | \$243 | -1.5% | -1.6% | | |
| Recipients ⁸ | 6,513 | 5,969 | 6,604 | 1.4% | 10.6% | | |
| Adults | 3,372 | 3,148 | 3,388 | 0.5% | 7.6% | | |
| Children | 3,141 | 2,821 | 3,216 | 2.4% | 14.0% | | |
| Recipients /Case | 2.58 | 2.49 | 2.59 | 0.6% | 4.2% | | |
| Cases Processed ⁴ | 4,406 | 3,517 | 4,061 | -7.8% | 15.5% | | |
| Approvals ⁴ | 2,466 | 2,322 | 2,558 | 3.7% | 10.2% | | |

Cash Assistance Summary for July 2018

| Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|---------|--|--|
| Percent | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Jul-17- | Jun-18- | | |
| | Jul-17 | Jun-18 | Jul-18 | Jul-18 | Jul-18 | | |
| Expenditures ⁵ | \$3,383,382 | \$3,127,514 | \$3,547,715 | 4.9% | 13.4% | | |
| Cases ⁶ | 11,483 | 10,783 | 11,059 | -3.7% | 2.6% | | |
| Expenditures/Case | \$295 | \$290 | \$321 | 8.9% | 10.6% | | |
| Recipients ⁷ | 30,149 | 27,588 | 28,473 | -5.6% | 3.2% | | |
| Adults | 7,845 | 6,892 | 7,232 | -7.8% | 4.9% | | |
| Children | 22,304 | 20,696 | 21,241 | -4.8% | 2.6% | | |
| Approvals ⁴ | 1,378 | 1,445 | 1,563 | 13.4% | 8.2% | | |

| Education Works | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----------|----------|----------|---------|---------|
| | | | | Percent | Change |
| | | | | Jul-17- | Jun-18- |
| | Jul-17 | Jun-18 | Jul-18 | Jul-18 | Jul-18 |
| Expenditures ⁵ | \$36,764 | \$32,181 | \$34,710 | -5.6% | 7.9% |
| Cases ⁶ | 104 | 89 | 87 | -16.3% | -2.2% |
| Expenditures/Case | \$354 | \$362 | \$399 | 12.9% | 10.3% |
| Recipients ⁷ | 297 | 266 | 252 | -15.2% | -5.3% |
| Adults | 113 | 100 | 95 | -15.9% | -5.0% |
| Children | 184 | 166 | 157 | -14.7% | -5.4% |
| Approvals ⁴ | 1 | 9 | 15 | 1400.0% | 66.7% |

| State Supplement for Residential Care | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|
| | | | | Percent | Change | | |
| | | | | Jul-17- | Jun-18- | | |
| | Jul-17 | Jun-18 | Jul-18 | Jul-18 | Jul-18 | | |
| Expenditures ⁵ | \$2,700 | \$2,200 | \$2,200 | -18.5% | 0.0% | | |
| Cases ⁶ | 26 | 22 | 22 | -15.4% | 0.0% | | |
| Expenditures/Case | \$104 | \$100 | \$100 | -3.7% | 0.0% | | |
| Recipients ⁷ | 26 | 22 | 22 | -15.4% | 0.0% | | |
| Adults | 26 | 22 | 22 | -15.4% | 0.0% | | |
| Children | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A | N/A | | |

| General Assistance (GA) | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|--|
| Percent Chan | | | | | | |
| | | | | Jul-17- | Jun-18- | |
| | Jul-17 | Jun-18 | Jul-18 | Jul-18 | Jul-18 | |
| Expenditures ⁵ | \$729,031 | \$689,272 | \$700,091 | -4.0% | 1.6% | |
| Cases ⁶ | 3,052 | 2,855 | 2,867 | -6.1% | 0.4% | |
| Expenditures/Case | \$239 | \$241 | \$244 | 2.2% | 1.1% | |
| Recipients ⁷ | 3,079 | 2,864 | 2,878 | -6.5% | 0.5% | |
| Adults | 2,842 | 2,662 | 2,669 | -6.1% | 0.3% | |
| Children | 237 | 202 | 209 | -11.8% | 3.5% | |
| Approvals ⁴ | 217 | 170 | 199 | -8.3% | 17.1% | |

| Refugee Cash (RC | Refugee Cash (RCA) | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| | | | | Percent | Change | | | |
| | | | | Jul-17- | Jun-18- | | | |
| | Jul-17 | Jun-18 | Jul-18 | Jul-18 | Jul-18 | | | |
| Expenditures ⁵ | \$9,981 | \$8,513 | \$7,408 | -25.8% | -13.0% | | | |
| Cases ⁶ | 37 | 33 | 38 | 2.7% | 15.2% | | | |
| Expenditures/Case | \$270 | \$258 | \$195 | -27.7% | -24.4% | | | |
| Recipients ⁷ | 39 | 34 | 40 | 2.6% | 17.6% | | | |
| Adults | 39 | 34 | 40 | 2.6% | 17.6% | | | |
| Children | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A | N/A | | | |

| Expenditures YTD | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | SFY 2019 | FFY 2018 | CY 2018 | | | | |
| Medicaid ¹ | \$451,227,729 | \$4,567,065,838 | \$3,208,225,170 | | | | |
| Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program ⁹ | \$52,761,380 | \$532,304,796 | \$370,835,146 | | | | |
| Temporary Assistance for Needy Families ⁹ | \$3,547,715 | \$33,892,341 | \$23,493,489 | | | | |
| General Assistance ⁹ | \$700,091 | \$7,306,674 | \$5,045,576 | | | | |
| Education Work ⁹ | \$34,710 | \$475,379 | \$331,075 | | | | |
| LIHEAP ⁸ | \$617,934 | \$15,740,780 | \$7,244,029 | | | | |

| Average Caseload YTD | | | |
|--|----------|----------|---------|
| | SFY 2019 | FFY 2018 | CY 2018 |
| Medicaid ² | 488,871 | 492,973 | 493,757 |
| Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program ⁶ | 221,195 | 221,634 | 221,621 |
| Temporary Assistance for Needy Families ⁶ | 11,059 | 11,292 | 11,056 |
| General Assistance ⁶ | 2,867 | 2,986 | 2,958 |
| Education Work ⁶ | 87 | 130 | 127 |
| LIHEAP ⁸ | 2,547 | 5,941 | 4,070 |

| Demographic Profile- July 2018 | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------|--------|-------|------------------------|-----|
| | SNAP | TANF | GA | Education Works | RCA |
| Gender of Recipients ¹⁰ | | | | | |
| Male | 204,863 | 12,193 | 1,605 | 87 | 26 |
| Female | 248,306 | 16,280 | 1,273 | 165 | 14 |
| Ethnicity ¹⁰ | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 250,884 | 19,262 | 1,501 | 165 | 14 |
| Non-Hispanic | 202,285 | 9,211 | 1,377 | 87 | 26 |
| Race ¹⁰ | | | | | |
| Native American or Alaskan Native | 75,719 | 2,396 | 229 | 19 | 0 |
| Asian | 4,345 | 250 | 29 | 0 | 0 |
| African American or Black | 11,365 | 1,228 | 111 | 12 | 18 |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 866 | 32 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| White | 351,208 | 23,738 | 2,440 | 220 | 18 |
| Unknown / Not Declared | 4,815 | 330 | 35 | 0 | 0 |
| More than One Race | 4,851 | 499 | 31 | 1 | 4 |

 $\label{lem:medicaid-eligibility.aspx} \begin{subarray}{ll} Medicaid demographic data can be found here: $$\underline{http://www.hsd.state.nm.us/LookingForInformation/medicaid-eligibility.aspx}$$ \end{subarray}$

Medicaid Cases by Field Office²

| | | | | Percent | Change |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | | | | Jul-17- | Jun-18- |
| Office | Jul-17 | Jun-18 | Jul-18 | | Jul-18 |
| Chaves County ISD | 16,382 | 16,053 | 15,908 | -2.9% | -0.9% |
| Cibola County ISD | 7,582 | 7,426 | 7,423 | -2.1% | 0.0% |
| Colfax County ISD | 3,473 | 3,613 | 3,580 | 3.1% | -0.9% |
| Curry County ISD | 10,214 | 13,886 | 13,861 | 35.7% | |
| East Dona Ana County ISD | 17,827 | 17,626 | 17,492 | -1.9% | -0.8% |
| Eddy Artesia County ISD | 3,876 | 3,847 | 3,825 | -1.3% | -0.6% |
| Eddy Carlsbad County ISD | 8,203 | 7,746 | 7,650 | -6.7% | -1.2% |
| Grant County ISD | 6,872 | 6,751 | 6,675 | -2.9% | -1.1% |
| Guadalupe County ISD | 1,664 | 1,733 | 1,719 | 3.3% | -0.8% |
| Hidalgo County ISD | 970 | 971 | 964 | -0.6% | -0.7% |
| Lea County ISD | 14,474 | 14,402 | 14,309 | -1.1% | -0.6% |
| Lincoln County ISD | 4,411 | 4,314 | 4,275 | -3.1% | -0.9% |
| Luna County ISD | 8,782 | 8,664 | 8,553 | -2.6% | -1.3% |
| McKinley County ISD | 22,822 | 22,860 | 22,713 | -0.5% | -0.6% |
| Northeast Bernalillo County ISD | 46,430 | 42,950 | 42,775 | -7.9% | -0.4% |
| Northwest Bernalillo County ISD | 50,454 | 49,487 | 49,524 | -1.8% | 0.1% |
| Otero County ISD | 11,912 | 11,896 | 11,829 | -0.7% | -0.6% |
| Quay County ISD | 2,626 | 2,545 | 2,564 | -2.4% | 0.7% |
| Rio Arriba County ISD | 14,526 | 13,936 | 13,894 | -4.4% | -0.3% |
| Roos evelt County ISD | 3,992 | 22 | 20 | -99.5% | -9.1% |
| San Juan County ISD | 31,675 | 29,896 | 29,744 | -6.1% | -0.5% |
| San Miguel County ISD | 9,497 | 9,063 | 9,027 | -4.9% | -0.4% |
| Sandoval County ISD | 26,525 | 25,543 | 25,314 | -4.6% | -0.9% |
| Santa Fe County ISD | 26,983 | 24,765 | 24,583 | -8.9% | -0.7% |
| Sierra County ISD | 5,587 | 5,370 | 5,312 | -4.9% | -1.1% |
| Socorro County ISD | 5,628 | 5,319 | 5,262 | -6.5% | -1.1% |
| South Dona Ana ISD | 20,049 | 19,782 | 19,597 | -2.3% | -0.9% |
| Southeast Bernalillo County ISD | 29,854 | 25,234 | 25,030 | -16.2% | -0.8% |
| Southwest Bernalillo County ISD | 41,809 | 38,866 | 38,465 | -8.0% | -1.0% |
| Taos County ISD | 9,841 | 9,310 | 9,249 | -6.0% | -0.7% |
| Torrance County ISD | 7,997 | 7,548 | 7,567 | -5.4% | 0.3% |
| Valencia North County ISD | 19,047 | 18,243 | 18,235 | -4.3% | 0.0% |
| Valencia South County ISD | 71 | 110 | 109 | 53.5% | -0.9% |
| West Dona Ana County ISD | 22,615 | 21,982 | 21,820 | -3.5% | -0.7% |
| Centralized Units | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Statewide Total | 514,674 | 491,763 | 488,871 | -5.0% | -0.6% |

Medicaid Cases by Region²

| | Percent Change | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | | | | Jul-17- | Jun-18- |
| Region | Jul-17 | Jun-18 | Jul-18 | Jul-18 | Jul-18 |
| Region 1 - NW | 92,412 | 89,224 | 88,798 | -3.9% | -0.5% |
| Region 2 - NE | 95,135 | 90,508 | 89,930 | -5.5% | -0.6% |
| Region 3 - Central | 176,544 | 164,085 | 163,361 | -7.5% | -0.4% |
| Region 4 - SE | 61,552 | 60,270 | 59,848 | -2.8% | -0.7% |
| Region 5 - SW | 89,027 | 87,672 | 86,930 | -2.4% | -0.8% |
| Centralized Units | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| • | • | | | | |

491,763

514,674


Statewide Total

Medicaid Caseload: SFY-15 to Current

488,871

-5.0%

-0.6%




Medicaid Initial Applications by Field Office^{4,11} July 2018

| Office | Approved | Denied | Withdrawn | Total Cases Processed |
|---------------------------------|----------|--------|-----------|--------------------------|
| Chaves County ISD | 316 | 70 | 11 | 397 |
| Cibola County ISD | 147 | 29 | 5 | 181 |
| Colfax County ISD | 71 | 10 | 6 | 87 |
| Curry County ISD | 330 | 87 | 19 | 436 |
| East Dona Ana County ISD | 371 | 88 | 25 | 484 |
| Eddy Artesia County ISD | 74 | 26 | 3 | 103 |
| Eddy Carlsbad County ISD | 160 | 48 | 5 | 213 |
| Grant County ISD | 133 | 15 | 5 | 153 |
| Guadalupe County ISD | 26 | 2 | 0 | 28 |
| Hidalgo County ISD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lea County ISD | 304 | 93 | 11 | 408 |
| Lincoln County ISD | 79 | 18 | 0 | 97 |
| Luna County ISD | 138 | 36 | 8 | 182 |
| McKinley County ISD | 495 | 90 | 11 | 596 |
| Northeast Bernalillo County ISD | 854 | 201 | 48 | 1,103 |
| Northwest Bernalillo County ISD | 777 | 214 | 43 | 1,034 |
| Otero County ISD | 216 | 35 | 3 | 254 |
| Quay County ISD | 55 | 2 | 2 | 59 |
| Rio Arriba County ISD | 212 | 39 | 9 | 260 |
| Roosevelt County ISD | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| San Juan County ISD | 647 | 120 | 32 | 799 |
| San Miguel County ISD | 296 | 28 | 7 | 331 |
| Sandoval County ISD | 558 | 122 | 35 | 715 |
| Santa Fe County ISD | 419 | 100 | 27 | 546 |
| Sierra County ISD | 63 | 6 | 5 | 74 |
| Socorro County ISD | 72 | 13 | 8 | 93 |
| South Dona Ana ISD | 304 | 66 | 17 | 387 |
| Southeast Bernalillo County ISD | 642 | 221 | 20 | 883 |
| Southwest Bernalillo County ISD | 683 | 133 | 45 | 861 |
| Taos County ISD | 205 | 38 | 6 | 249 |
| Torrance County ISD | 160 | 32 | 11 | 203 |
| Valencia North County ISD | 332 | 50 | 7 | 389 |
| Valencia South County ISD | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| West Dona Ana County ISD | 405 | 86 | 20 | 511 |
| Centralized Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Statewide Total | 9,545 | 2,119 | 454 | 12,118 |

Medicaid Initial Applications by Region^{4,11} July 2018

| | Approved | Denied | Withdrawn | Total Cases Processed |
|--------------------|----------|--------|-----------|--------------------------|
| Region | | | | |
| Region 1 - NW | 1,756 | 309 | 68 | 2,133 |
| Region 2 - NE | 1,842 | 341 | 92 | 2,275 |
| Region 3 - Central | 3,116 | 801 | 167 | 4,084 |
| Region 4 - SE | 1,264 | 342 | 49 | 1,655 |
| Region 5 - SW | 1,567 | 326 | 78 | 1,971 |
| Centralized Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | Statewide Total | 9,545 | 2,119 | 454 | 12,118 |
|--|-----------------|-------|-------|-----|--------|
|--|-----------------|-------|-------|-----|--------|





Medicaid EDG Initial Disposition Rates by Field Office^{4,11,19} July 2018

| Office | Approval Rate | Denial Rate | Need Based Denial Rate | Procedural Denial Rate |
|---------------------------------|---------------|-------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Chaves County ISD | 78.9% | 21.1% | 15.1% | 6.0% |
| Cibola County ISD | 83.5% | 16.5% | 9.0% | 7.5% |
| Colfax County ISD | 88.3% | 11.7% | 10.9% | 0.8% |
| Curry County ISD | 79.2% | 20.8% | 12.8% | 8.0% |
| East Dona Ana County ISD | 80.0% | 20.0% | 14.3% | 5.7% |
| Eddy Artesia County ISD | 72.9% | 27.1% | 15.9% | 11.2% |
| Eddy Carlsbad County ISD | 73.3% | 26.7% | 11.4% | 15.3% |
| Grant County ISD | 87.0% | 13.0% | 9.5% | 3.5% |
| Guadalupe County ISD | 95.3% | 4.7% | 0.0% | 4.7% |
| Hidalgo County ISD | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Lea County ISD | 73.8% | 26.2% | 18.6% | 7.6% |
| Lincoln County ISD | 77.6% | 22.4% | 15.8% | 6.6% |
| Luna County ISD | 80.0% | 20.0% | 16.8% | 3.2% |
| McKinley County ISD | 85.4% | 14.6% | 10.4% | 4.2% |
| Northeast Bernalillo County ISD | 80.5% | 19.5% | 10.6% | 8.9% |
| Northwest Bernalillo County ISD | 77.5% | 22.5% | 10.3% | 12.2% |
| Otero County ISD | 83.1% | 16.9% | 7.4% | 9.5% |
| Quay County ISD | 97.5% | 2.5% | 2.5% | 0.0% |
| Rio Arriba County ISD | 84.8% | 15.2% | 8.3% | 6.9% |
| Roosevelt County ISD | 100.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| San Juan County ISD | 83.4% | 16.6% | 8.5% | 8.1% |
| San Miguel County ISD | 89.4% | 10.6% | 4.2% | 6.4% |
| Sandoval County ISD | 82.1% | 17.9% | 9.8% | 8.1% |
| Santa Fe County ISD | 81.3% | 18.7% | 13.9% | 4.8% |
| Sierra County ISD | 88.8% | 11.2% | 7.5% | 3.7% |
| Socorro County ISD | 86.0% | 14.0% | 8.2% | 5.8% |
| South Dona Ana ISD | 78.8% | 21.2% | 17.1% | 4.1% |
| Southeast Bernalillo County ISD | 74.3% | 25.7% | 22.4% | 3.3% |
| Southwest Bernalillo County ISD | 84.3% | 15.7% | 9.4% | 6.3% |
| Taos County ISD | 83.3% | 16.7% | 8.8% | 7.9% |
| Torrance County ISD | 82.6% | 17.4% | 13.9% | 3.5% |
| Valencia North County ISD | 87.8% | 12.2% | 9.9% | 2.3% |
| Valencia South County ISD | 0.0% | 100.0% | 0.0% | 100.0% |
| West Dona Ana County ISD | 80.7% | 19.3% | 12.2% | 7.1% |
| Centralized Units | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Statewide Total | 81.1% | 18.9% | 12.0% | 6.9% |

Medicaid EDG Initial Disposition Rates by Region^{4,11,19} July 2018

| Region | Approval Rate | Denial Rate | Need Based Denial Rate | Procedural Denial Rate |
|--------------------|---------------|-------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Region 1 - NW | 85.0% | 15.0% | 9.3% | 5.7% |
| Region 2 - NE | 84.0% | 16.0% | 9.5% | 6.5% |
| Region 3 - Central | 79.5% | 20.5% | 12.7% | 7.8% |
| Region 4 - SE | 76.4% | 23.6% | 15.1% | 8.5% |
| Region 5 - SW | 80.8% | 19.2% | 13.4% | 5.8% |
| Centralized Units | | | | |





Medicaid: Percentage of Initial EDG Approvals Processed Timely by Field Office 13,19

| | | | | / ~ | | | | . / q | | , / . | | / 9 / 6 |
|---------------------|--|------------------|--------|----------|----------|--------|----------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ | ¥ _Q , | 0,000 | 18 J. 78 | Feb. 7,8 | Marze | A 101.70 | Moyera | Sun. 78 | Zur. 78 | Aug. 78 | Seb. 78 |
| Cibola | 92.2% | 94.4% | 94.6% | 98.5% | 98.0% | 98.9% | 99.0% | 97.1% | 98.1% | 99.5% | | 97.0% |
| McKinley | 95.6% | 97.4% | 96.9% | 97.9% | 98.3% | 98.8% | 99.3% | 96.2% | 99.1% | 99.6% | | 97.9% |
| San Juan | 93.4% | 92.0% | 94.3% | 94.7% | 94.8% | 95.9% | 96.2% | 97.1% | 97.9% | 98.3% | | 95.5% |
| Sierra | 95.8% | 98.6% | 96.9% | 97.3% | 99.4% | 100.0% | 99.1% | 100.0% | 99.4% | 100.0% | | 98.7% |
| Socorro | 95.4% | 98.7% | 96.7% | 97.0% | 95.7% | 95.9% | 98.0% | 99.3% | 99.2% | 100.0% | | 97.6% |
| Valencia North | 93.2% | 94.1% | 94.0% | 95.2% | 97.7% | 98.9% | 98.2% | 99.6% | 99.6% | 99.8% | | 97.0% |
| Valencia South | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | | 100.0% |
| NW Region 1 Total | 94.0% | 94.7% | 95.2% | 96.2% | 96.8% | 97.7% | 97.8% | 97.7% | 98.7% | 99.2% | | 96.8% |
| Colfax | 93.9% | 98.4% | 97.4% | 98.4% | 95.1% | 100.0% | 98.1% | 100.0% | 99.4% | 99.1% | | 98.0% |
| Guadalupe | 97.9% | 100.0% | 96.6% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | 99.5% |
| Quay | 98.0% | 94.9% | 94.6% | 97.2% | 97.1% | 93.7% | 97.4% | 95.8% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | 96.9% |
| Rio Arriba | 96.9% | 94.7% | 91.1% | 96.9% | 95.7% | 97.3% | 99.1% | 96.2% | 99.7% | 99.0% | | 96.7% |
| San Miguel | 97.3% | 98.9% | 99.0% | 98.4% | 98.0% | 99.3% | 99.0% | 99.0% | 99.3% | 99.2% | | 98.7% |
| Sandoval | 97.0% | 97.0% | 96.8% | 97.9% | 96.8% | 97.7% | 97.5% | 99.0% | 99.2% | 98.3% | | 97.7% |
| Santa Fe | 96.1% | 95.7% | 96.6% | 95.2% | 95.5% | 98.4% | 98.2% | 98.4% | 97.4% | 98.6% | | 97.0% |
| Taos | 98.3% | 96.8% | 98.2% | 96.8% | 97.0% | 95.9% | 98.7% | 99.6% | 97.9% | 99.7% | | 97.9% |
| NE Region 2 Total | 96.8% | 96.6% | 96.6% | 97.1% | 96.4% | 97.9% | 98.3% | 98.5% | 98.7% | 98.8% | | 97.6% |
| NE Bernalillo | 95.1% | 93.6% | 93.9% | 94.5% | 97.1% | 96.7% | 97.1% | 97.7% | 98.7% | 98.5% | | 96.3% |
| NW Bernalillo | 96.3% | 95.4% | 94.6% | 94.7% | 96.4% | 96.9% | 98.1% | 98.0% | 98.1% | 98.9% | | 96.7% |
| SE Bernalillo | 88.2% | 90.8% | 94.4% | 92.2% | 95.8% | 95.9% | 95.3% | 96.4% | 98.3% | 97.3% | | 94.5% |
| SW Bernalillo | 95.8% | 96.2% | 95.2% | 94.9% | 95.1% | 97.1% | 97.7% | 97.7% | 98.2% | 98.0% | | 96.6% |
| Torrance | 95.5% | 95.1% | 95.2% | 94.8% | 91.1% | 99.2% | 96.4% | 97.5% | 100.0% | 98.1% | | 96.3% |
| Cen. Region 3 Total | 94.3% | 94.3% | 94.5% | 94.2% | 95.9% | 96.8% | 97.2% | 97.5% | 98.4% | 98.3% | | 96.1% |
| Chaves | 96.4% | 96.6% | 97.1% | 98.6% | 97.4% | 98.1% | 98.6% | 98.9% | 98.8% | 99.4% | | 98.0% |
| Curry | 94.8% | 94.2% | 98.4% | 98.3% | 96.5% | 97.3% | 98.3% | 98.0% | 99.3% | 98.9% | | 97.4% |
| Eddy Artesia | 95.3% | 94.9% | 96.4% | 93.2% | 96.4% | 98.2% | 98.3% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 99.2% | | 97.2% |
| Eddy Carlsbad | 93.2% | 98.4% | 97.6% | 94.8% | 98.5% | 96.6% | 96.7% | 98.3% | 98.6% | 99.6% | | 97.2% |
| _ea | 96.1% | 94.7% | 94.0% | 96.5% | 99.5% | 96.5% | 97.5% | 96.6% | 99.4% | 98.6% | | 96.9% |
| _incoln | 95.7% | 94.7% | 97.1% | 97.4% | 97.3% | 99.4% | 97.1% | 98.4% | 98.0% | 98.3% | | 97.3% |
| Roosevelt | 94.6% | 94.2% | 93.4% | 94.9% | | 83.3% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | 95.6% |
| SE Region 4 Total | 95.4% | 95.5% | 96.3% | 96.9% | 97.7% | 97.4% | 97.9% | 98.1% | 99.1% | 99.0% | | 97.3% |
| East Dona Ana | 96.1% | 97.1% | 97.5% | 97.3% | 97.1% | 95.0% | 97.5% | 98.6% | 99.0% | 99.1% | | 97.4% |
| Grant | 93.9% | 96.8% | 99.3% | 97.5% | 98.4% | 97.0% | 99.5% | 99.4% | 98.5% | 98.5% | | 97.9% |
| ₋una | 96.8% | 97.8% | 97.4% | 98.8% | 98.2% | 97.9% | 99.2% | 97.1% | 98.6% | 99.2% | | 98.1% |
| Otero | 97.6% | 96.4% | 98.0% | 96.3% | 95.0% | 97.6% | 97.9% | 98.1% | 97.8% | 99.7% | | 97.4% |
| South Dona Ana | 97.2% | 98.3% | 98.0% | 97.5% | 98.6% | 98.6% | 99.4% | 97.8% | 99.3% | 100.0% | | 98.5% |
| West Dona Ana | 97.4% | 96.7% | 98.3% | 98.5% | 98.4% | 98.6% | 98.1% | 98.7% | 99.9% | 98.2% | | 98.3% |
| SW Region 5 Total | 96.7% | 97.2% | 98.0% | 97.6% | 97.7% | 97.5% | 98.5% | 98.3% | 99.1% | 99.1% | | 98.0% |
| STATE TOTAL | 95.3% | 95.4% | 95.8% | 96.0% | 96.7% | 97.4% | 97.8% | 97.9% | 98.7% | 98.8% | | 97.0% |

Medicaid: Percentage of Initial EDG Denials Processed Timely by Field Office^{13,19}

| | \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ | Mor. 7 | O. 7. | ² / ₈), ₇₈ | 686.78 | Mar. 78 | 40r.78 | Mak7e | S A STATE OF | July 3 | A40,720 | S. S | A Very go |
|---------------------|--|--------|--------|--|--------|---------|--------|--------|--|--------|---------|--|-----------|
| Cibola | 51.4% | 41.2% | 76.0% | 78.3% | 72.7% | 64.5% | 88.2% | 84.1% | 94.4% | 100.0% | | | 75.1% |
| McKinley | 54.4% | 59.7% | 54.4% | 51.6% | 59.3% | 69.4% | 74.4% | 91.6% | 92.4% | 89.1% | | | 69.6% |
| San Juan | 53.1% | 57.1% | 45.5% | 43.6% | 44.9% | 58.6% | 63.1% | 89.6% | 93.7% | 89.4% | | | 63.9% |
| Sierra | 92.9% | 95.0% | 96.3% | 33.3% | 30.8% | 77.8% | 80.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | | 80.6% |
| Socorro | 57.5% | 80.0% | 96.3% | 95.8% | 100.0% | 71.1% | 77.1% | 100.0% | 92.9% | 94.1% | | | 86.5% |
| Valencia North | 55.8% | 52.1% | 42.3% | 38.3% | 55.6% | 64.4% | 89.8% | 91.0% | 92.7% | 95.9% | | | 67.8% |
| Valencia South | 66.7% | | | | | | | 100.0% | | 100.0% | | | 88.9% |
| NW Region 1 Total | 55.0% | 57.7% | 53.2% | 51.0% | 53.0% | 64.5% | 73.5% | 90.9% | 93.6% | 91.6% | | | 68.4% |
| Colfax | 90.6% | 95.8% | 100.0% | 92.9% | 94.3% | 88.0% | 84.6% | 100.0% | 93.8% | 92.9% | | | 93.3% |
| Guadalupe | 90.0% | 11.1% | 75.0% | 72.7% | 76.5% | 44.4% | 66.7% | 88.9% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | | 72.5% |
| Quay | 72.7% | 33.3% | 54.5% | 78.6% | 58.8% | 58.3% | 81.8% | 100.0% | 93.3% | 50.0% | | | 68.1% |
| Rio Arriba | 71.4% | 56.3% | 53.2% | 71.9% | 49.6% | 44.3% | 64.6% | 83.5% | 91.7% | 90.9% | | | 67.7% |
| San Miguel | 59.5% | 67.3% | 76.5% | 78.8% | 82.1% | 71.4% | 77.3% | 74.5% | 87.9% | 95.6% | | | 77.1% |
| Sandoval | 43.6% | 51.2% | 63.7% | 74.3% | 75.9% | 72.8% | 83.7% | 93.2% | 95.3% | 95.2% | | | 74.9% |
| Santa Fe | 59.1% | 53.7% | 37.8% | 48.1% | 64.7% | 71.3% | 89.3% | 91.0% | 99.1% | 93.2% | | | 70.7% |
| Taos | 47.4% | 76.5% | 75.9% | 87.9% | 65.7% | 56.5% | 56.1% | 91.4% | 91.8% | 98.4% | | | 74.8% |
| NE Region 2 Total | 57.2% | 56.4% | 55.2% | 64.0% | 67.8% | 67.2% | 80.0% | 90.0% | 94.5% | 94.4% | | | 72.7% |
| NE Bernalillo | 45.7% | 38.4% | 35.2% | 50.0% | 67.6% | 74.1% | 70.3% | 77.9% | 88.5% | 88.9% | | | 63.7% |
| NW Bernalillo | 39.2% | 40.9% | 41.8% | 36.0% | 42.4% | 48.5% | 68.1% | 83.5% | 92.0% | 83.2% | | | 57.6% |
| SE Bernalillo | 56.6% | 46.6% | 67.6% | 80.6% | 79.3% | 81.1% | 80.0% | 84.7% | 91.6% | 86.6% | | | 75.5% |
| SW Bernalillo | 68.5% | 68.1% | 80.1% | 88.4% | 80.8% | 78.7% | 86.2% | 83.0% | 91.8% | 89.6% | | | 81.5% |
| Torrance | 56.8% | 42.1% | 43.8% | 38.5% | 42.7% | 56.8% | 89.3% | 91.7% | 94.7% | 98.1% | | | 65.4% |
| Cen. Region 3 Total | 52.5% | 48.0% | 53.3% | 59.5% | 65.0% | 70.0% | 75.5% | 82.7% | 91.0% | 87.3% | | | 68.5% |
| Chaves | 72.3% | 60.2% | 79.9% | 83.6% | 79.6% | 83.9% | 77.8% | 93.6% | 98.6% | 93.6% | | | 82.3% |
| Curry | 61.4% | 59.0% | 65.6% | 84.3% | 73.0% | 78.8% | 64.9% | 95.3% | 91.8% | 94.4% | | | 76.8% |
| Eddy Artesia | 65.6% | 53.3% | 81.3% | 76.4% | 82.9% | 84.1% | 88.6% | 85.2% | 84.2% | 95.7% | | | 79.7% |
| Eddy Carlsbad | 51.8% | 35.8% | 61.7% | 79.3% | 83.8% | 67.2% | 71.2% | 97.7% | 91.3% | 88.5% | | | 72.8% |
| Lea | 72.7% | 69.6% | 70.0% | 88.0% | 85.3% | 85.6% | 82.3% | 93.4% | 95.5% | 94.4% | | | 83.7% |
| Lincoln | 35.9% | 63.8% | 77.3% | 91.3% | 85.4% | 90.5% | 55.9% | 86.4% | 75.0% | 100.0% | | | 76.1% |
| Roosevelt | 36.4% | 46.4% | 64.5% | 64.6% | | 100.0% | | | 100.0% | | | | 68.7% |
| SE Region 4 Total | 61.3% | 56.6% | 70.5% | 83.1% | 80.7% | 82.0% | 74.1% | 94.0% | 93.2% | 93.8% | | | 78.9% |
| East Dona Ana | 80.0% | 74.3% | 73.1% | 94.5% | 67.5% | 70.7% | 85.7% | 94.0% | 93.0% | 88.9% | | | 82.2% |
| Grant | 76.7% | 32.4% | 85.9% | 79.7% | 67.4% | 83.3% | 77.2% | 97.1% | 80.5% | 86.7% | | | 76.7% |
| Luna | 47.7% | 64.9% | 41.8% | 62.9% | 61.5% | 70.6% | 73.8% | 90.4% | 89.4% | 98.4% | | | 70.1% |
| Otero | 61.9% | 48.3% | 67.7% | 62.8% | 71.6% | 80.6% | 82.4% | 83.0% | 81.8% | 92.4% | | | 73.3% |
| South Dona Ana | 86.3% | 73.0% | 86.6% | 91.8% | 82.3% | 83.8% | 90.1% | 86.8% | 97.9% | 99.3% | | | 87.8% |
| West Dona Ana | 66.9% | 67.0% | 80.6% | 94.7% | 82.0% | 84.0% | 86.2% | 96.2% | 93.7% | 91.2% | | | 84.3% |
| SW Region 5 Total | 73.8% | 64.3% | 76.4% | 85.1% | 74.8% | 78.5% | 84.7% | 90.6% | 91.7% | 93.2% | | | 81.3% |
| STATE TOTAL | 58.4% | 54.6% | 59.5% | 66.4% | 66.9% | 72.0% | 77.3% | 88.3% | 92.5% | 91.3% | | | 72.7% |

Medicaid Renewal Disposition Counts by Field Office^{4,11} July 2018

| Office | Approvals | Closures | Need Based Closures | Procedural Closures |
|---------------------------------|-----------|----------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Chaves County ISD | 2,505 | 620 | 122 | 498 |
| Cibola County ISD | 1,104 | 277 | 40 | 237 |
| Colfax County ISD | 411 | 119 | 25 | 94 |
| Curry County ISD | 2,154 | 608 | 106 | 502 |
| East Dona Ana County ISD | 2,761 | 746 | 105 | 641 |
| Eddy Artesia County ISD | 560 | 179 | 24 | 155 |
| Eddy Carlsbad County ISD | 996 | 473 | 78 | 395 |
| Grant County ISD | 1,018 | 228 | 65 | 163 |
| Guadalupe County ISD | 266 | 55 | 6 | 49 |
| Hidalgo County ISD | 151 | 28 | 4 | 24 |
| Lea County ISD | 2,162 | 840 | 158 | 682 |
| Lincoln County ISD | 598 | 227 | 44 | 183 |
| Luna County ISD | 1,209 | 254 | 73 | 181 |
| McKinley County ISD | 3,461 | 927 | 153 | 774 |
| Northeast Bernalillo County ISD | 5,452 | 1710 | 291 | 1419 |
| Northwest Bernalillo County ISD | 4,340 | 1440 | 250 | 1190 |
| Otero County ISD | 1,617 | 412 | 64 | 348 |
| Quay County ISD | 362 | 66 | 15 | 51 |
| Rio Arriba County ISD | 1,680 | 466 | 63 | 403 |
| Roosevelt County ISD | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| San Juan County ISD | 4,663 | 1500 | 266 | 1234 |
| San Miguel County ISD | 1,113 | 252 | 37 | 215 |
| Sandoval County ISD | 3,830 | 1182 | 182 | 1000 |
| Santa Fe County ISD | 2,695 | 1008 | 103 | 905 |
| Sierra County ISD | 709 | 126 | 35 | 91 |
| Socorro County ISD | 685 | 155 | 29 | 126 |
| South Dona Ana ISD | 3,320 | 710 | 156 | 554 |
| Southeast Bernalillo County ISD | 2,955 | 832 | 140 | 692 |
| Southwest Bernalillo County ISD | 6,751 | 2017 | 415 | 1602 |
| Taos County ISD | 1,005 | 396 | 55 | 341 |
| Torrance County ISD | 1,013 | 278 | 69 | 209 |
| Valencia North County ISD | 2,752 | 771 | 157 | 614 |
| Valencia South County ISD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| West Dona Ana County ISD | 3,371 | 647 | 125 | 522 |
| Centralized Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Statewide Total | 67,670 | 19,549 | 3,455 | 16,094 |


Determinations at Administrative, Annual, and Auto Renewals are included

Medicaid Renewal Disposition Rates by Field Office²⁰ July 2018

| Office | Approval Rate | Closure Rate | Need Based Closure Rate | Procedural Closure Rate |
|---------------------------------|---------------|--------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Chaves County ISD | 80.2% | 19.8% | 3.9% | 15.9% |
| Cibola County ISD | 79.9% | 20.1% | 2.9% | 17.2% |
| Colfax County ISD | 77.5% | 22.5% | | 17.7% |
| Curry County ISD | 78.0% | 22.0% | 3.8% | 18.2% |
| East Dona Ana County ISD | 78.7% | 21.3% | 3.0% | 18.3% |
| Eddy Artesia County ISD | 75.8% | 24.2% | 3.2% | 21.0% |
| Eddy Carlsbad County ISD | 67.8% | 32.2% | 5.3% | 26.9% |
| Grant County ISD | 81.7% | 18.3% | 5.2% | 13.1% |
| Guadalupe County ISD | 82.9% | 17.1% | 1.9% | 15.3% |
| Hidalgo County ISD | 84.4% | 15.6% | 2.2% | 13.4% |
| Lea County ISD | 72.0% | 28.0% | 5.3% | 22.7% |
| Lincoln County ISD | 72.5% | 27.5% | 5.3% | 22.2% |
| Luna County ISD | 82.6% | 17.4% | 5.0% | 12.4% |
| McKinley County ISD | 78.9% | 21.1% | 3.5% | 17.6% |
| Northeast Bernalillo County ISD | 76.1% | 23.9% | 4.1% | 19.8% |
| Northwest Bernalillo County ISD | 75.1% | 24.9% | 4.3% | 20.6% |
| Otero County ISD | 79.7% | 20.3% | 3.2% | 17.2% |
| Quay County ISD | 84.6% | 15.4% | 3.5% | 11.9% |
| Rio Arriba County ISD | 78.3% | 21.7% | 2.9% | 18.8% |
| Roosevelt County ISD | 100.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| San Juan County ISD | 75.7% | 24.3% | 4.3% | 20.0% |
| San Miguel County ISD | 81.5% | 18.5% | 2.7% | 15.8% |
| Sandoval County ISD | 76.4% | 23.6% | 3.6% | 20.0% |
| Santa Fe County ISD | 72.8% | 27.2% | 2.8% | 24.4% |
| Sierra County ISD | 84.9% | 15.1% | 4.2% | 10.9% |
| Socorro County ISD | 81.5% | 18.5% | 3.5% | 15.0% |
| South Dona Ana ISD | 82.4% | 17.6% | 3.9% | 13.7% |
| Southeast Bernalillo County ISD | 78.0% | 22.0% | 3.7% | 18.3% |
| Southwest Bernalillo County ISD | 77.0% | 23.0% | 4.7% | 18.3% |
| Taos County ISD | 71.7% | 28.3% | 3.9% | 24.3% |
| Torrance County ISD | 78.5% | 21.5% | 5.3% | 16.2% |
| Valencia North County ISD | 78.1% | 21.9% | 4.5% | 17.4% |
| Valencia South County ISD | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| West Dona Ana County ISD | 83.9% | 16.1% | 3.1% | 13.0% |
| Centralized Units | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Statewide Total | 77.6% | 22.4% | 4.0% | 18.5% |

Medicaid Renewal Disposition Rates by Region²⁰ July 2018

| Region | Approval Rate | Closure Rate | Need Based Closure Rate | Procedural Closure Rate |
|--------------------|---------------|--------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Region 1 - NW | 78.1% | 21.9% | 4.0% | 18.0% |
| Region 2 - NE | 76.2% | 23.8% | 3.3% | 20.5% |
| Region 3 - Central | 76.6% | 23.4% | 4.3% | 19.1% |
| Region 4 - SE | 75.3% | 24.7% | 4.5% | 20.3% |
| Region 5 - SW | 81.6% | 18.4% | 3.6% | 14.8% |
| Centralized Units | | | | |
| | • | • | | |
| Statewide Total | 77.6% | 22.4% | 4.0% | 18.5% |



| | Medic | aid: Per | centage | of App | roved R | enewal | EDGs P | rocesse | d by Ce | rtificatio | n Date ¹ | 1 | |
|--------------------|-------|----------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|-------------------------------------|---------|------------|---------------------------------------|---------|--------------------|
| | 00,7, | Mok.7 | Oc. 72 | - Jan. 78 | F86.78 | Mar. 78 | Apr. 78 | May, 78 | Sun, 7g | Jul. 78 | 440.70 | Sep. 7. | N. A. |
| | / တိ | / 🔏 | / 🍣 | / 🐬 | / 40 | / ½° | \ 4g | \ \mathbb{\mathbb{\gamma}^{\infty}} | / 🔻 | / 💆 | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ | / 🕉 | \ \Lambda_{\infty} |
| Cibola | | 98.9% | 98.5% | 99.3% | 98.5% | 98.9% | 98.3% | 98.2% | 98.7% | 98.4% | | | 98.69 |
| McKinley | | 98.9% | 99.3% | 98.7% | 99.1% | 98.7% | 98.8% | 98.9% | 98.8% | 98.5% | | | 98.99 |
| San Juan | | 98.9% | 98.4% | 98.6% | 98.4% | 98.8% | 97.8% | 99.2% | 99.2% | 98.8% | | | 98.79 |
| Sierra | | 99.1% | 99.5% | 99.0% | 99.6% | 98.7% | 99.5% | 99.4% | 99.5% | 99.4% | | | 99.39 |
| Socorro | | 99.5% | 99.1% | 99.0% | 99.2% | 98.9% | 98.7% | 99.2% | 98.6% | 98.8% | | | 99.09 |
| Valencia North | | 98.5% | 98.7% | 98.1% | 98.8% | 98.7% | 98.5% | 98.5% | 99.3% | 98.3% | | | 98.69 |
| Valencia South | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NW Region 1 Total | | 99.0% | 98.9% | 98.8% | 98.9% | 98.8% | 98.6% | 98.9% | 99.0% | 98.7% | | | 98.8% |
| Colfax | | 98.7% | 99.6% | 97.3% | 99.4% | 98.2% | 99.8% | 99.1% | 99.2% | 98.5% | | | 98.9% |
| Guadalupe | | 99.2% | 98.5% | 96.6% | 99.6% | 99.5% | 98.9% | 99.6% | 98.8% | 98.1% | | | 98.89 |
| Quay | | 99.0% | 99.0% | 98.9% | 97.6% | 99.3% | 95.4% | 99.3% | 98.7% | 98.1% | | | 98.4% |
| Rio Arriba | | 98.4% | 97.8% | 97.1% | 98.1% | 98.4% | 97.7% | 97.6% | 98.1% | 99.0% | | | 98.0% |
| San Miguel | | 99.5% | 98.3% | 98.9% | 98.9% | 97.7% | 99.3% | 99.8% | 99.2% | 99.3% | | | 99.0% |
| Sandoval | | 98.4% | 98.6% | 98.3% | 98.3% | 98.6% | 97.3% | 98.3% | 98.5% | 96.8% | | | 98.19 |
| Santa Fe | | 95.6% | 95.7% | 95.5% | 96.7% | 97.1% | 97.2% | 97.2% | 98.4% | 98.1% | | | 96.89 |
| Taos | | 98.7% | 98.6% | 98.6% | 96.9% | 98.8% | 98.0% | 98.0% | 98.3% | 98.3% | | | 98.29 |
| NE Region 2 Total | | 98.5% | 98.2% | 97.6% | 98.2% | 98.4% | 98.0% | 98.6% | 98.6% | 98.3% | | | 98.3% |
| NE Bernalillo | | 97.8% | 97.0% | 96.9% | 97.5% | 97.7% | 98.4% | 98.3% | 98.5% | 97.0% | | | 97.7% |
| NW Bernalillo | | 97.6% | 97.8% | 97.4% | 98.5% | 98.3% | 98.6% | 98.1% | 97.9% | 98.4% | | | 98.19 |
| SE Bernalillo | | 86.5% | 96.2% | 97.5% | 98.1% | 99.0% | 97.4% | 98.1% | 99.2% | 98.3% | | | 96.79 |
| SW Bernalillo | | 98.8% | 96.0% | 97.0% | 97.8% | 97.9% | 98.2% | 97.8% | 98.0% | 96.8% | | | 97.6% |
| Torrance | | 98.8% | 96.9% | 96.5% | 98.0% | 97.4% | 98.2% | 99.1% | 99.8% | 99.3% | | | 98.29 |
| Cen. Region 3 Tota | | 95.9% | 96.8% | 97.1% | 98.0% | 98.0% | 98.2% | 98.3% | 98.7% | 98.0% | | | 97.7% |
| Chaves | | 98.7% | 98.5% | 98.6% | 98.5% | 98.2% | 98.7% | 99.7% | 99.5% | 99.2% | | | 98.9% |
| Curry | | 99.0% | 98.7% | 98.7% | 99.0% | 98.7% | 98.9% | 99.1% | 99.2% | 99.2% | | | 98.9% |
| Eddy Artesia | | 99.2% | 97.5% | 97.1% | 96.9% | 98.6% | 98.8% | 98.9% | 99.9% | 98.8% | | | 98.4% |
| Eddy Carlsbad | | 97.7% | 98.8% | 97.2% | 98.2% | 98.1% | 98.0% | 99.0% | 98.8% | 98.5% | | | 98.3% |
| Lea | | 98.8% | 97.8% | 98.7% | 98.6% | 98.7% | 97.1% | 98.9% | 99.0% | 98.8% | | | 98.5% |
| Lincoln | | 98.2% | 97.5% | 97.6% | 97.7% | 98.8% | 97.9% | 99.2% | 97.7% | 97.8% | | | 98.1% |
| Roosevelt | | 99.4% | 97.9% | | | | | | | 100.0% | | | 99.1% |
| SE Region 4 Total | | 98.7% | 98.1% | 98.0% | 98.2% | 98.5% | 98.2% | 99.2% | 99.0% | 98.9% | | | 98.5% |
| East Dona Ana | | 98.9% | 97.9% | 98.6% | 99.0% | 98.6% | 98.5% | 99.7% | 99.1% | | | | 98.8% |
| Grant | | 98.5% | 98.7% | 98.5% | 99.1% | 98.9% | 97.4% | 98.7% | 99.5% | 98.8% | | | 98.7% |
| Luna | | 99.1% | 98.2% | 98.1% | 98.5% | 98.3% | 98.2% | 99.2% | 99.1% | 97.7% | | | 98.5% |
| Otero | | 98.9% | 97.6% | 98.0% | 97.2% | 97.5% | 97.5% | 98.0% | 97.9% | 96.8% | | | 97.79 |
| South Dona Ana | | 98.2% | 97.4% | 98.1% | 98.9% | 98.5% | 97.1% | 97.8% | 97.9% | 96.7% | | | 97.9% |
| West Dona Ana | | 99.2% | 99.0% | 98.7% | 98.7% | 98.3% | 98.3% | 98.9% | 99.4% | 99.1% | | | 98.8% |
| SW Region 5 Total | | 98.8% | 98.1% | 98.3% | 98.6% | 98.3% | 97.8% | 98.7% | 98.8% | 98.0% | | | 98.4% |
| STATE TOTAL | | 97.8% | | | 98.3% | 98.3% | | 98.5% | 98.7% | | | | 98.29 |

Administrative, Annual, and Auto Renewals are included No data available for October 2017

| | Me | dicaid: | Percent | age of 0 | Closure | s EDGs l | Process | ed by Co | ertificati | on End I | Date ¹¹ | | |
|--------------------|--|---------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|------------|----------|---|-------------|-----------|
| | Och.7, | | | | 7 | | | | | | | S. 280.70 | A Voi ago |
| Cibola | - | 37.6% | 27.5% | 14.6% | 21.3% | 39.8% | 28.8% | 69.4% | 99.5% | 97.5% | <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u> | | 48.4% |
| McKinley | | 23.9% | 21.0% | 21.7% | 30.7% | 39.5% | 31.1% | 61.0% | 98.2% | 97.6% | | | 47.2% |
| San Juan | | 36.7% | 19.9% | 39.0% | 39.1% | 48.1% | 24.2% | 61.6% | 98.8% | 96.1% | | | 51.5% |
| Sierra | | 45.6% | 22.7% | 26.7% | 37.9% | 34.3% | 21.0% | 70.1% | 97.1% | 97.6% | | | 50.3% |
| Socorro | | 33.0% | 20.7% | 50.0% | 40.0% | 37.8% | 62.8% | 95.9% | 97.5% | 92.3% | | | 58.9% |
| Valencia North | | 34.6% | 30.5% | 53.8% | 38.6% | 40.7% | 50.6% | 93.2% | 99.1% | 97.0% | | | 59.8% |
| Valencia South | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NW Region 1 Total | | 35.2% | 23.7% | 34.3% | 34.6% | 40.1% | 36.4% | 66.8% | 98.4% | 96.4% | | | 51.8% |
| Colfax | | 49.3% | 27.8% | 26.2% | 21.5% | 23.6% | 36.9% | 55.0% | 99.4% | 95.8% | | | 48.4% |
| Guadalupe | | 28.6% | 57.9% | 27.1% | 26.3% | 41.7% | 22.2% | 77.4% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | | 53.5% |
| Quay | | 24.7% | 26.5% | 17.1% | 40.0% | 54.1% | 60.5% | 96.8% | 100.0% | 98.5% | | | 57.6% |
| Rio Arriba | | 23.3% | 26.1% | 24.9% | 29.5% | 32.3% | 23.0% | 54.7% | 99.2% | 99.1% | | | 45.8% |
| San Miguel | | 19.4% | 21.8% | 23.6% | 26.9% | 44.3% | 26.2% | 53.4% | 98.6% | 94.4% | | | 45.4% |
| Sandoval | | 20.5% | 25.9% | 21.6% | 21.5% | 39.2% | 44.5% | 95.1% | 98.0% | 96.8% | | | 51.4% |
| Santa Fe | | 22.0% | 22.6% | 23.2% | 33.1% | 38.4% | 29.3% | 55.1% | 98.7% | 97.5% | | | 46.7% |
| Taos | | 24.4% | 21.5% | 44.9% | 24.4% | 34.9% | 32.5% | 62.6% | 98.9% | 95.7% | | | 48.9% |
| NE Region 2 Total | | 26.5% | 28.8% | 26.1% | 27.9% | 38.6% | 34.4% | 65.7% | 99.1% | 97.4% | | | 49.4% |
| NE Bernalillo | | 20.3% | 16.0% | 16.1% | | 28.4% | 35.9% | 58.6% | 98.3% | 94.4% | | | 43.8% |
| NW Bernalillo | | 16.2% | 20.8% | 24.8% | 30.1% | 47.5% | 33.1% | 46.4% | 97.9% | 97.2% | | | 46.0% |
| SE Bernalillo | | 18.7% | 21.5% | 27.2% | 26.7% | 44.1% | 22.2% | 49.7% | 98.4% | 97.2% | | | 45.1% |
| SW Bernalillo | | 24.5% | 28.2% | 34.9% | 33.9% | 46.2% | 76.7% | 90.2% | 98.1% | 96.6% | | | 58.8% |
| Torrance | | 25.4% | 21.9% | 33.9% | 24.7% | 37.8% | 54.0% | 97.6% | 96.2% | 96.8% | | | 54.2% |
| Cen. Region 3 Tota | I | 21.0% | 21.7% | 27.4% | 28.3% | 40.8% | 44.4% | 61.5% | 98.1% | 96.5% | | | 48.9% |
| Chaves | | 34.4% | 24.7% | 28.7% | 22.0% | 36.7% | 50.4% | 97.0% | 99.0% | 96.3% | | | 54.3% |
| Curry | | 27.2% | 25.2% | 19.7% | 27.3% | 38.7% | 36.4% | 65.9% | 99.6% | 98.5% | | | 48.7% |
| Eddy Artesia | | 31.1% | 24.0% | 21.3% | 20.0% | 29.9% | 62.4% | 97.1% | 97.7% | 98.3% | | | 53.5% |
| Eddy Carlsbad | | 26.9% | 23.2% | 19.9% | 27.2% | 26.7% | 27.7% | 59.6% | 96.2% | 95.3% | | | 44.7% |
| Lea | | 24.6% | 21.4% | 26.4% | 20.4% | 35.1% | 20.0% | 61.7% | 98.1% | 95.1% | | | 44.7% |
| Lincoln | | 23.1% | 31.9% | 16.9% | 20.0% | 45.8% | 46.6% | 66.2% | 99.0% | 89.4% | | | 48.8% |
| Roosevelt | | 24.3% | 14.5% | 0.0% | | | | | | | | | 12.9% |
| SE Region 4 Total | | 27.4% | 23.6% | 19.0% | 22.8% | 35.5% | 40.6% | 70.9% | 98.2% | 95.5% | | | 48.2% |
| East Dona Ana | | 28.5% | 31.6% | 28.5% | 37.3% | 35.6% | 41.8% | 95.7% | 99.4% | 97.5% | | | 55.1% |
| Grant | | 38.7% | 27.7% | 18.1% | 30.7% | 33.2% | 49.3% | 65.9% | 100.0% | 96.1% | | | 51.1% |
| Luna | | 37.1% | 38.5% | 26.9% | 47.7% | 43.2% | 27.4% | 51.5% | 99.0% | 96.9% | | | 52.0% |
| Otero | | 37.3% | 31.9% | 28.1% | 38.4% | 32.8% | 32.0% | 52.8% | 97.9% | 99.0% | | | 50.0% |
| South Dona Ana | | 41.6% | 35.7% | 27.7% | 32.6% | 37.6% | 56.0% | 93.3% | 98.9% | 97.9% | | | 57.9% |
| West Dona Ana | | 35.1% | 29.6% | 37.4% | 41.5% | 39.3% | 19.9% | 62.5% | 99.2% | 97.7% | | | 51.4% |
| SW Region 5 Total | | 36.4% | 32.5% | 27.8% | 38.0% | 37.0% | 37.7% | 69.3% | 98.9% | 97.2% | | | 52.8% |
| STATE TOTAL | | 25.8% | 24.2% | 26.3% | 30.1% | 38.6% | 42.1% | 66.1% | 98.5% | 98.5% | | | 50.0% |

Annual and Auto Renewals are included No data available for October 2017

SNAP Cases by Field Office⁶


| | | | | Percent | Change |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | | | | Jul-17- | Jun-18- |
| Office | Jul-17 | Jun-18 | Jul-18 | Jul-18 | Jul-18 |
| Chaves County ISD | 7,111 | 7,066 | 7,044 | | -0.3% |
| Cibola County ISD | 3,647 | 3,627 | 3,655 | | |
| Colfax County ISD | 1,576 | 1,637 | 1,623 | | |
| Curry County ISD | 4,639 | 6,656 | 6,722 | | |
| East Dona Ana County ISD | 8,029 | 7,979 | 7,983 | | |
| Eddy Artesia County ISD | 1,699 | 1,633 | 1,628 | | -0.3% |
| Eddy Carlsbad County ISD | 3,336 | 2,940 | 2,917 | | |
| Grant County ISD | 3,500 | 3,458 | 3,411 | -2.5% | -1.4% |
| Guadalupe County ISD | 706 | 706 | 700 | | -0.8% |
| Hidalgo County ISD | 411 | 444 | 451 | 9.7% | 1.6% |
| Lea County ISD | 5,678 | 5,648 | 5,611 | -1.2% | -0.7% |
| Lincoln County ISD | 1,900 | 1,882 | 1,853 | -2.5% | -1.5% |
| Luna County ISD | 4,227 | 4,196 | 4,142 | -2.0% | -1.3% |
| McKinley County ISD | 9,492 | 9,772 | 9,807 | 3.3% | 0.4% |
| Northeast Bernalillo County ISD | 19,600 | 20,267 | 20,226 | 3.2% | -0.2% |
| Northwest Bernalillo County ISD | 17,825 | 19,475 | 19,645 | 10.2% | 0.9% |
| Otero County ISD | 5,416 | 5,568 | 5,541 | 2.3% | -0.5% |
| Quay County ISD | 1,244 | 1,256 | 1,264 | 1.6% | 0.6% |
| Rio Arriba County ISD | 6,792 | 6,686 | 6,689 | -1.5% | 0.0% |
| Roosevelt County ISD | 1,675 | 0 | 0 | -100.0% | N/A |
| San Juan County ISD | 13,230 | 13,409 | 13,468 | 1.8% | 0.4% |
| San Miguel County ISD | 4,940 | 4,899 | 4,913 | -0.5% | 0.3% |
| Sandoval County ISD | 10,783 | 10,972 | 11,025 | 2.2% | 0.5% |
| Santa Fe County ISD | 10,178 | 9,721 | 9,622 | -5.5% | -1.0% |
| Sierra County ISD | 2,671 | 2,678 | 2,665 | -0.2% | -0.5% |
| Socorro County ISD | 2,827 | 2,772 | 2,740 | -3.1% | -1.2% |
| South Dona Ana ISD | 8,602 | 8,511 | 8,512 | -1.0% | 0.0% |
| Southeast Bernalillo County ISD | 12,109 | 11,867 | 11,834 | -2.3% | -0.3% |
| Southwest Bernalillo County ISD | 18,054 | 18,712 | 18,661 | 3.4% | -0.3% |
| Taos County ISD | 4,043 | 4,139 | 4,150 | 2.6% | 0.3% |
| Torrance County ISD | 3,316 | 3,398 | | | 0.1% |
| Valencia North County ISD | 8,227 | 8,409 | 8,447 | | 0.5% |
| Valencia South County ISD | 6 | 0 | 2 | -66.7% | |
| West Dona Ana County ISD | 10,630 | 10,816 | 10,797 | | |
| Centralized Units | 19 | 46 | 44 | 131.6% | -4.3% |
| Statewide Total | 218,138 | 221,245 | 221,195 | 1.4% | 0.0% |

SNAP Cases by Region⁶

| | Percent Change | | | | |
|--------------------|-----------------------|---------|---------|--------|--------|
| | | Jul-17- | Jun-18- | | |
| Region | Jul-17 | Jun-18 | Jul-18 | Jul-18 | Jul-18 |
| Region 1 - NW | 40,100 | 40,667 | 40,784 | 1.7% | 0.3% |
| Region 2 - NE | 40,262 | 40,016 | 39,986 | -0.7% | -0.1% |
| Region 3 - Central | 70,904 | 73,719 | 73,769 | 4.0% | 0.1% |
| Region 4 - SE | 26,038 | 25,825 | 25,775 | -1.0% | -0.2% |
| Region 5 - SW | 40,815 | 40,972 | 40,837 | 0.1% | -0.3% |
| Centralized Units | 19 | 46 | 44 | 131.6% | -4.3% |

Statewide Total 218,138 221,245 221,195 1.4% 0.0%

SNAP Caseload: SFY-14 to Current




SNAP Initial Applications by Field Office^{4,11} July 2018

| Office | Approved | Denied | Withdrawn | Total Cases Processed |
|---------------------------------|----------|--------|-----------|--------------------------|
| Chaves County ISD | 503 | 126 | 18 | 647 |
| Cibola County ISD | 300 | 49 | 6 | 355 |
| Colfax County ISD | 103 | 15 | 4 | 122 |
| Curry County ISD | 551 | 113 | 21 | 685 |
| East Dona Ana County ISD | 546 | 169 | 34 | 749 |
| Eddy Artesia County ISD | 137 | 25 | 3 | 165 |
| Eddy Carlsbad County ISD | 269 | 68 | 4 | 341 |
| Grant County ISD | 230 | 59 | 7 | 296 |
| Guadalupe County ISD | 37 | 10 | 3 | 50 |
| Hidalgo County ISD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lea County ISD | 458 | 113 | 17 | 588 |
| Lincoln County ISD | 122 | 28 | 3 | 153 |
| Luna County ISD | 253 | 43 | 16 | 312 |
| McKinley County ISD | 620 | 109 | 22 | 751 |
| Northeast Bernalillo County ISD | 1,558 | 391 | 63 | 2,012 |
| Northwest Bernalillo County ISD | 1,317 | 298 | 40 | 1,655 |
| Otero County ISD | 373 | 84 | 6 | 463 |
| Quay County ISD | 80 | 17 | 3 | 100 |
| Rio Arriba County ISD | 452 | 59 | 11 | 522 |
| Roosevelt County ISD | 5 | 3 | 0 | 8 |
| San Juan County ISD | 1,115 | 232 | 37 | 1,384 |
| San Miguel County ISD | 314 | 37 | 13 | 364 |
| Sandoval County ISD | 809 | 220 | 37 | 1,066 |
| Santa Fe County ISD | 662 | 124 | 14 | 800 |
| Sierra County ISD | 132 | 21 | 4 | 157 |
| Socorro County ISD | 142 | 27 | 5 | 174 |
| South Dona Ana ISD | 405 | 89 | 20 | 514 |
| Southeast Bernalillo County ISD | 893 | 168 | 30 | 1,091 |
| Southwest Bernalillo County ISD | 1,269 | 275 | 50 | 1,594 |
| Taos County ISD | 322 | 43 | 11 | 376 |
| Torrance County ISD | 233 | 48 | 9 | 290 |
| Valencia North County ISD | 539 | 104 | 33 | 676 |
| Valencia South County ISD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| West Dona Ana County ISD | 761 | 170 | 26 | 957 |
| Centralized Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Statewide Total | 15,510 | 3,337 | 570 | 19,417 |

SNAP Initial Applications by Region^{4,11} July 2018

| Region | Approved | Denied | Withdrawn | Total Cases Processed |
|--------------------|----------|--------|-----------|--------------------------|
| Region 1 - NW | 2,848 | 542 | 107 | 3,497 |
| Region 2 - NE | 2,779 | 525 | 96 | 3,400 |
| Region 3 - Central | 5,270 | 1,180 | 192 | 6,642 |
| Region 4 - SE | 2,045 | 476 | 66 | 2,587 |
| Region 5 - SW | 2,568 | 614 | 109 | 3,291 |
| Centralized Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | |
| Statewide Total | 15,510 | 3,337 | 570 | 19,417 |




SNAP Initial Disposition Rates by Field Office^{4,11} July 2018

| Office | Approval Rate | Denial Rate | Need Based Denial Rate | Procedural Denial Rate |
|---------------------------------|---------------|-------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Chaves County ISD | 80.0% | 20.0% | 12.5% | 7.5% |
| Cibola County ISD | 86.0% | 14.0% | 7.4% | 6.6% |
| Colfax County ISD | 87.3% | 12.7% | 10.2% | 2.5% |
| Curry County ISD | 83.0% | 17.0% | 9.5% | 7.5% |
| East Dona Ana County ISD | 76.4% | 23.6% | 14.8% | 8.8% |
| Eddy Artesia County ISD | 84.6% | 15.4% | 8.0% | 7.4% |
| Eddy Carlsbad County ISD | 79.8% | 20.2% | 9.2% | 11.0% |
| Grant County ISD | 79.6% | 20.4% | 11.7% | 8.7% |
| Guadalupe County ISD | 78.7% | 21.3% | 10.7% | 10.6% |
| Hidalgo County ISD | 0.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 0.0% |
| Lea County ISD | 80.2% | 19.8% | 10.9% | 8.9% |
| Lincoln County ISD | 81.3% | 18.7% | 8.7% | 10.0% |
| Luna County ISD | 85.5% | 14.5% | 9.1% | 5.4% |
| McKinley County ISD | 85.0% | 15.0% | 8.7% | 6.3% |
| Northeast Bernalillo County ISD | 79.9% | 20.1% | 9.3% | 10.8% |
| Northwest Bernalillo County ISD | 81.5% | 18.5% | 10.1% | 8.4% |
| Otero County ISD | 81.6% | 18.4% | 10.7% | 7.7% |
| Quay County ISD | 82.5% | 17.5% | 11.3% | 6.2% |
| Rio Arriba County ISD | 88.5% | 11.5% | 5.6% | 5.9% |
| Roosevelt County ISD | 62.5% | 37.5% | 37.5% | 0.0% |
| San Juan County ISD | 82.8% | 17.2% | 8.0% | 9.2% |
| San Miguel County ISD | 89.5% | 10.5% | 8.2% | 2.3% |
| Sandoval County ISD | 78.6% | 21.4% | 13.2% | 8.2% |
| Santa Fe County ISD | 84.2% | 15.8% | 8.7% | 7.1% |
| Sierra County ISD | 86.3% | 13.7% | 13.0% | 0.7% |
| Socorro County ISD | 84.0% | 16.0% | 7.7% | 8.3% |
| South Dona Ana ISD | 82.0% | 18.0% | 12.9% | 5.1% |
| Southeast Bernalillo County ISD | 84.2% | 15.8% | 8.0% | 7.8% |
| Southwest Bernalillo County ISD | 82.2% | 17.8% | 8.4% | 9.4% |
| Taos County ISD | 88.2% | 11.8% | 7.7% | 4.1% |
| Torrance County ISD | 82.9% | 17.1% | 9.3% | 7.8% |
| Valencia North County ISD | 83.8% | 16.2% | 7.8% | 8.4% |
| Valencia South County ISD | 0.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 0.0% |
| West Dona Ana County ISD | 81.7% | 18.3% | 12.6% | 5.7% |
| Centralized Units | | | | |
| Statewide Total | 82.3% | 17.7% | 9.7% | 8.0% |

SNAP Initial Disposition Rates by Region^{4,11} July 2018

| Region | Approval Rate | Denial Rate | Need Based Denial Rate | |
|--------------------|---------------|-------------|---------------------------|------|
| Region 1 - NW | 84.0% | 16.0% | 8.3% | 7.7% |
| Region 2 - NE | 84.1% | 15.9% | 9.6% | 6.3% |
| Region 3 - Central | 81.7% | 18.3% | 9.1% | 9.2% |
| Region 4 - SE | 81.1% | 18.9% | 10.5% | 8.4% |
| Region 5 - SW | 80.7% | 19.3% | 12.4% | 6.9% |
| Centralized Units | | _ | | |

| Statewide Total | 82.3% | 17.7% | 9.7% | 8.0% |
|-----------------|-------|-------|------|------|




SNAP Expedite Timeliness by Field Office¹³ July 2018

| Office Name | Total Expedited Approvals | # Timely | # Untimely | Percentage Timely |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|----------|------------|----------------------|
| Chaves County ISD | 218 | 218 | 0 | 100.0% |
| Cibola County ISD | 137 | 137 | 0 | 100.0% |
| Colfax County ISD | 51 | 51 | 0 | 100.0% |
| Curry County ISD | 292 | 288 | 4 | 98.6% |
| East Dona Ana County ISD | 239 | 237 | 2 | 99.2% |
| Eddy Artesia County ISD | 57 | 56 | 1 | 98.2% |
| Eddy Carlsbad County ISD | 145 | 142 | 3 | 97.9% |
| Grant County ISD | 107 | 106 | 1 | 99.1% |
| Guadalupe County ISD | 11 | 11 | 0 | 100.0% |
| Lea County ISD | 252 | 251 | 1 | 99.6% |
| Lincoln County ISD | 56 | 55 | 1 | 98.2% |
| Luna County ISD | 103 | 103 | 0 | 100.0% |
| McKinley County ISD | 300 | 294 | 6 | 98.0% |
| Northeast Bernalillo County ISD | 838 | 816 | 22 | 97.4% |
| Northwest Bernalillo County ISD | 709 | 697 | 12 | 98.3% |
| Otero County ISD | 170 | 169 | 1 | 99.4% |
| Quay County ISD | 42 | 42 | 0 | 100.0% |
| Rio Arriba County ISD | 268 | 258 | 10 | 96.3% |
| Roosevelt County ISD | 4 | 4 | 0 | 100.0% |
| San Juan County ISD | 596 | 585 | 11 | 98.2% |
| San Miguel County ISD | 185 | 183 | 2 | 98.9% |
| Sandoval County ISD | 393 | 389 | 4 | 99.0% |
| Santa Fe County ISD | 357 | 353 | 4 | 98.9% |
| Sierra County ISD | 59 | 59 | 0 | 100.0% |
| Socorro County ISD | 67 | 67 | 0 | 100.0% |
| South Dona Ana ISD | 158 | 158 | 0 | 100.0% |
| Southeast Bernalillo County ISD | 458 | 458 | 0 | 100.0% |
| Southwest Bernalillo County ISD | 631 | 620 | 11 | 98.3% |
| Taos County ISD | 163 | 161 | 2 | 98.8% |
| Torrance County ISD | 106 | 106 | 0 | 100.0% |
| Valencia North County ISD | 252 | 248 | 4 | 98.4% |
| West Dona Ana County ISD | 359 | 357 | 2 | 99.4% |
| Statewide Total | 7,783 | 7,679 | 104 | 98.7 % |

SNAP Expedite Timeliness by Region¹³ July 2018

| Region | Total Expedited Approvals | # Timely | # Untimely | Percentage Timely |
|--------------------|---------------------------|----------|------------|----------------------|
| Region 1 - NW | 1,411 | 1,390 | 21 | 98.5% |
| Region 2 - NE | 1,470 | 1,448 | 22 | 98.5% |
| Region 3 - Central | 2,742 | 2,697 | 45 | 98.4% |
| Region 4 - SE | 1,024 | 1,014 | 10 | 99.0% |
| Region 5 - SW | 1,136 | 1,130 | 6 | 99.5% |
| | | | | |
| Statewide Total | 7,783 | 7,679 | 104 | 98.7% |


SNAP Expedite - Timeliness



SNAP: Percentage of Applications Processed Timely ¹³

| | Oct-17 | Nov-17 | Dec-17 | Jan-18 | Feb-18 | Mar-18 | Apr-18 | May-18 | Jun-18 | Jul-18 | Aug-18 | Sep-18 | Average |
|-----|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| APT | 97.4% | 97.5% | 97.6% | 98.2% | 98.3% | 98.5% | 98.4% | 98.5% | 98.8% | 99.0% | | | 98.2% |

SNAP Application Processing Timeliness (APT)



SNAP Non-Expedite: Percentage of Initial Applications Processed Timely by Field Office¹³

| | / ^ | · / ß | · / ^ | · / % | · / % | , / % | , / % | \ \ ² | , / % | ′ / 🍫 | 1,8 | / % / % |
|---------------------|--|----------------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|--------|------------------|---------|--------|--------|---------|
| | \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ | 1 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | , Ogc, 7, | | F86.78 | Mar. 7.0 | Apr.78 | Making | S AND S | 541,78 | A40.78 | Sep. 78 |
| Cibola | 96.8% | 97.2% | 96.7% | 92.9% | 97.3% | 100.0% | 98.2% | 100.0% | 98.5% | 99.4% | | 97.7% |
| McKinley | 97.4% | 98.8% | 98.6% | 99.3% | 99.3% | 99.6% | 100.0% | 99.1% | 99.4% | 99.7% | | 99.1% |
| San Juan | 94.8% | 93.5% | 98.0% | 97.8% | 97.7% | 97.9% | 98.4% | 98.4% | 99.1% | 99.4% | | 97.5% |
| Sierra | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 98.7% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | 99.9% |
| Socorro | 100.0% | 96.8% | 100.0% | 98.9% | 100.0% | 98.8% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 98.7% | 100.0% | | 99.3% |
| Valencia North | 96.5% | 96.3% | 96.6% | 96.9% | 99.6% | 97.2% | 98.5% | 99.3% | 99.3% | 98.2% | | 97.8% |
| Valencia South | 100.0% | | | | | | 100.0% | | | | | 100.0% |
| NW Region 1 Total | 96.5% | 96.4% | 98.0% | 97.9% | 98.7% | 98.5% | 99.0% | 99.0% | 99.2% | 99.3% | | 98.2% |
| Colfax | 100.0% | 100.0% | 98.2% | 98.2% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 98.5% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | 99.5% |
| Guadalupe | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 95.7% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | 99.6% |
| Quay | 97.2% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 97.1% | 100.0% | 97.9% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | 99.2% |
| Rio Arriba | 97.0% | 99.4% | 91.2% | 95.3% | 97.7% | 98.5% | 97.5% | 97.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | 97.4% |
| San Miguel | 100.0% | 98.5% | 100.0% | 99.4% | 99.3% | 99.2% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | 99.6% |
| Sandoval | 98.2% | 98.6% | 97.8% | 98.8% | 98.9% | 99.2% | 99.2% | 99.0% | 98.5% | 99.8% | | 98.8% |
| Santa Fe | 97.6% | 97.5% | 99.6% | 98.4% | 99.3% | 99.7% | 98.4% | 99.4% | 98.9% | 99.0% | | 98.8% |
| Taos | 98.7% | 98.7% | 98.7% | 99.2% | 100.0% | 98.3% | 100.0% | 98.6% | 98.0% | 98.0% | | 98.8% |
| NE Region 2 Total | 98.2% | 98.6% | 97.8% | 98.4% | 99.0% | 99.2% | 99.0% | 98.8% | 99.0% | 99.5% | | 98.7% |
| NE Bernalillo | 95.4% | 95.7% | 94.9% | 97.1% | 98.1% | 97.4% | 98.3% | 97.2% | 99.1% | 99.3% | | 97.3% |
| NW Bernalillo | 97.6% | 95.1% | 96.7% | 98.0% | 97.0% | 98.7% | 97.7% | 98.5% | 99.0% | 99.0% | | 97.7% |
| SE Bernalillo | 96.0% | 96.8% | 97.4% | 96.8% | 99.7% | 98.6% | 99.0% | 99.1% | 99.0% | 98.6% | | 98.1% |
| SW Bernalillo | 97.9% | 97.3% | 99.1% | 96.6% | 96.8% | 97.7% | 99.2% | 99.5% | 99.5% | 99.5% | | 98.3% |
| Torrance | 98.9% | 98.2% | 96.6% | 99.0% | 97.1% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 98.1% | 100.0% | 98.4% | | 98.6% |
| Cen. Region 3 Total | 96.9% | 96.3% | 96.9% | 97.2% | 97.7% | 98.1% | 98.6% | 98.5% | 99.2% | 99.1% | | 97.9% |
| Chaves | 99.3% | 98.9% | 98.5% | 99.7% | 98.5% | 99.3% | 99.6% | 100.0% | 99.7% | 99.3% | | 99.3% |
| Curry | 95.7% | 97.1% | 97.9% | 99.4% | 99.1% | 98.4% | 98.1% | 98.5% | 98.0% | 99.2% | | 98.1% |
| Eddy Artesia | 100.0% | 97.2% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 98.5% | 100.0% | 95.4% | 98.5% | 98.5% | 98.7% | | 98.7% |
| Eddy Carlsbad | 94.8% | 97.3% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 96.8% | 99.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 99.2% | | 98.7% |
| Lea | 97.0% | 98.3% | 99.5% | 98.5% | 99.5% | 98.0% | 100.0% | 98.6% | 99.0% | 99.0% | | 98.7% |
| Lincoln | 97.8% | 98.9% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 98.3% | 98.4% | 100.0% | 98.4% | 100.0% | | 99.2% |
| Roosevelt | 100.0% | 98.6% | 100.0% | 98.5% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | 99.7% |
| SE Region 4 Total | 97.6% | 98.2% | 99.1% | 99.4% | 98.8% | 98.7% | 99.0% | 99.2% | 99.0% | 99.2% | | 98.8% |
| East Dona Ana | 99.6% | 98.9% | 100.0% | 99.7% | 99.6% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 99.3% | 99.7% | 100.0% | | 99.7% |
| Grant | 100.0% | 99.0% | 97.9% | 100.0% | 99.1% | 99.0% | 100.0% | 99.0% | 100.0% | 98.4% | | 99.2% |
| _una | 100.0% | 99.4% | 100.0% | 97.7% | 97.9% | 99.2% | 99.4% | 100.0% | 99.3% | 100.0% | | 99.3% |
| Otero | 100.0% | 98.9% | 99.4% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 98.2% | 99.5% | 100.0% | 98.4% | | 99.4% |
| South Dona Ana | 100.0% | 99.6% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 99.6% | 100.0% | 99.6% | 99.7% | 98.6% | 100.0% | | 99.7% |
| West Dona Ana | 99.2% | 98.7% | 99.0% | 100.0% | 99.4% | 99.5% | 99.7% | 99.5% | 99.5% | 99.5% | | 99.4% |
| SW Region 5 Total | 99.7% | 99.0% | 99.5% | 99.7% | 99.4% | 99.7% | 99.5% | 99.5% | 99.4% | 99.5% | | 99.5% |
| STATE TOTAL | 97.7% | 97.5% | | 98.3% | 98.6% | 98.7% | 99.0% | 99.0% | 99.2% | 99.3% | | 98.5% |

SNAP Expedite: Percentage of Initial Applications Processed Timely by Field Office¹³

| Guadalupe 100.0% 97.8% 17.8% 17.8% 17.8% 17.8% 17.8% 17.8% 17.8% 17.8% 18.0% 99.0% 97.6% 97.8% 19.2% 98.6% 96.3% 97.3% 100.0% 98.3% 97.8% 97.8% 97.8% 97.8% 99.0% 99.6% 99.2% 98.6% 96.3% 99.9% 98.8% 98.8% 98.8% 98.9% 99.0% 99.9% 98.8% 98.8% 98.8% 98.9% 99.0% 99.9% 98.8% 98.8% 98.6% 99.5% 99.9% 99.8% 98.8% Santa Fe 97.5% 97.7% 97.7% 98.7% 98.6% 99.8% 98.8% 98.2% Taos 98.7% <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|--------|-------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|---------------------------------------|-------|---|
| Cibola 94.1% 100.0% 92.2% 98.5% 98.2% 94.5% 96.3% 97.3% 97.3% 100.0% 100.0% 99.9% 99.7% 99 | | / \$ | . / & | . / 🌣 | . / & | , / લ | , / જ્ | › / & | , / , | · / & | , | / 2 | > / ર | › / 🔉 |
| Cibola 94.1% 100.0% 92.2% 98.5% 98.2% 94.5% 96.3% 97.3% 97.3% 100.0% 100.0% 99.9% 99.7% 99 | | / oct. | \ \sightar{\sightar}{2} | ا می | \ \si^ | / 20 | No. | \ \\ \delta' | / way | / st | | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ | / & | \ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \ |
| McKinley 99.4% 99.4% 97.8% 97.3% 99.2% 99.7% 99.7% 99.7% 99.7% 98.0% 98.0% 99.9% 99.7% 99.7% 98.0% 98.0% 99.6% 96.6% 96.6% 95.7% 98.2% 96.6% 96.6% 96.6% 95.7% 98.2% 96.6% 96.6% 96.7% 98.2% 96.6% 96.6% 96.6% 95.7% 98.2% 96.6% 96.6% 96.5% 96.5% 97.4% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 96.5% 98.5% 97.4% 100.0% 99.0% 98.3% 98.3% 98.4% 97.6% 97.6% 97.4% 100.0% 90.0% 98.5% 99.3% 97.6% 98.3% 97.6% 98.3% 98.4% 97.6% 97.6% 97.9% 97.9% 97.6% 98.3% 98.3% 98.3% 98.3% 97.6% 97.6% 99.3% 97.9% 97.6% 99.3% 97.9% 97.6% 99.3% 97.9% <td>Cibola</td> <td></td> <td>100.0%</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>94.5%</td> <td></td> <td>97.3%</td> <td>97.9%</td> <td>100.0%</td> <td><u> </u></td> <td></td> <td></td> | Cibola | | 100.0% | | | | 94.5% | | 97.3% | 97.9% | 100.0% | <u> </u> | | |
| San Juan 95.8% 94.2% 96.5% 96.7% 97.0% 97.1% 97.4% 96.6% 95.7% 88.2% 99.65% Sierra 98.3% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 99.6% Socorro 98.9% 100.0% 94.4% 98.0% 97.4% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 99.6% Socorro 98.9% 100.0% 97.4% 98.0% 99.0% 98.5% 98.3% 98.4% 97.6% Valencia North 97.1% 93.3% 97.1% 97.4% 98.0% 99.0% 98.5% 98.3% 98.4% 97.6% 99.0% 99.5% 98.3% 98.4% 97.6% 99.0% 99.5% 98.3% 98.4% 97.6% 99.0% 99.5% 98.3% 99.4% 99.9% 99.5% 99.3% 99.4% 99.9% 99.5% 99.3% 99.4% 99.9% 99.5% 99.3% 99.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sierra | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Second 98.9% 100.0% 94.4% 98.0% 97.4% 100.0% 100.0% 97.4% 98.6% 100.0% 98.5% 98.6% 100.0% 97.6% 98.5% 98.3% 98.4% 97.6% 97.6% 98.5% 98.3% 98.4% 97.6% 98.6% 100.0% 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Valencia North 97.1% 93.3% 97.1% 97.7% 98.2% 98.0% 99.0% 98.5% 98.3% 98.4% 97.6% 100.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vellencia South 100.0% 100.0% | Valencia North | | | | | | 98.0% | | | | | | | |
| NW Region 1 Total 97.0% 96.4% 96.6% 97.4% 97.9% 97.9% 98.3% 97.9% 97.6% 98.5% Collax 98.6% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 99.9% Guadalupe 100.0% | | 100.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Colfax 98.6% 100.0 | | | | 96.6% | 97.4% | 97.9% | | 98.3% | 97.9% | 97.6% | 98.5% | | | _ |
| Guadalupe 100.0% 97.8% 100.0% 97.8% 100.0% 97.8% 100.0% 97.8% 100.0% 97.8% 100.0% 97.8% 100.0% 97.8% 90.8% 97.8% 90.8% 97.8% 99.8% 98.8% 98.8% 98.8% 98.8% 98.8% 98.8% 98.8% 98.8% 98.8% 98.8% 99.0% 99.0% 99.0% 99.0% 99.0% 99.0% 99.0% 99.0% 99.0% 99.0% 98.8% 98.8% 98.8% 99.0% 99.0% 98.8% 99.0% 99.0% 98.8% 99.0% 99.0% 98.8% 99.0% 99.0% 98.8% 99.0% 99.0% 98.8% 99.0% 99.0% 98.8% 98.8% 99.0% 98.8% | Colfax | 98.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | 99.9% |
| Quay 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 94.9% 92.6% 97.4% 96.3% 100.0% 97.3% 100.0% 97.8% Rio Arriba 94.6% 97.3% 97.6% 99.0% 97.6% 99.2% 98.6% 96.3% 97.3% San Mguel 99.1% 98.3% 97.9% 98.4% 98.1% 97.5% 99.0% 99.0% 100.0% 98.9% 98.8% Santa Fe 97.5% 97.7% 96.7% 98.1% 97.4% 98.6% 99.5% 98.9% 99.84 98.9% 98.4% Santa Fe 97.5% 97.7% 96.7% 98.1% 97.5% 98.7% 98.6% 99.5% 98.9% 99.8% 99.9% 99.8% 99.9% 99.9% 99.0% 99.0% 98.4% 99.0% 99.8% 99.8% 99.5% 99.9% 99.8% 99.9% 99.9% 99.9% 99.9% 99.9% 99.9% 99.9% 99.9% 99.9% 99.9% 99.9% 99.9% 99.9% 99.9% | Guadalupe | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | 1 | | 100.0% | | 100.0% | | | |
| San Miguel 99.1% 98.3% 99.4% 98.1% 97.5% 99.5% 98.0% 99.0% 100.0% 98.9% 98.8% Sandoval 98.2% 98.3% 97.9% 98.4% 98.5% 98.5% 98.6% 97.5% 99.0% 98.4% 97.5% 99.5% 98.9% 98.8% 98.8% 98.5% 98.6% 99.5% 98.9% 98.8% 98.2% 98.6% 99.5% 98.9% 99.5% 98.9% 98.8% 97.8% 98.8% 97.8% 98.5% 98.8% 97.5% 98.9% 98.2% 98.8% 97.5% 98.6% 99.5% 98.9% 99.5% 98.9% 99.5% 99.9% 99.5% 98.8% 97.5% 98.9% 97.8% 97.8% 95.6% 97.5% 98.7% 98.8% 98.7% 98.8% 98.7% 98.8% 97.7% 98.3% 98.8% 98.7% 98.3% 98.8% 98.7% 98.3% 99.2% 97.9% 99.6% 98.3% 99.6% 98.3% 99.6% 99.3% | _ | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 94.9% | 92.6% | 97.4% | 96.3% | 100.0% | 97.3% | 100.0% | | | 97.8% |
| San Miguel 99.1% 98.3% 99.4% 98.1% 97.5% 99.5% 98.0% 99.0% 100.0% 98.9% 98.8% Sandoval 98.2% 98.3% 97.9% 98.4% 98.5% 98.5% 98.6% 97.5% 99.0% 98.4% 97.5% 99.5% 98.9% 98.8% 98.8% 98.5% 98.6% 99.5% 98.9% 98.8% 98.2% 98.6% 99.5% 98.9% 99.5% 98.9% 98.8% 97.8% 98.8% 97.8% 98.5% 98.8% 97.5% 98.9% 98.2% 98.8% 97.5% 98.6% 99.5% 98.9% 99.5% 98.9% 99.5% 99.9% 99.5% 98.8% 97.5% 98.9% 97.8% 97.8% 95.6% 97.5% 98.7% 98.8% 98.7% 98.8% 98.7% 98.8% 97.7% 98.3% 98.8% 98.7% 98.3% 98.8% 98.7% 98.3% 99.2% 97.9% 99.6% 98.3% 99.6% 98.3% 99.6% 99.3% | | 94.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sandoval 98.2% 98.3% 97.9% 98.4% 98.4% 99.5% 98.4% 97.5% 99.0% 98.4% 98.4% Santa Fe 97.5% 97.7% 96.7% 98.1% 97.4% 98.6% 98.5% 98.6% 99.5% 98.9% 98.8% 98.2% Taos 98.7% 97.8% 95.7% 98.3% 98.7% 95.6% 97.5% 98.7% 98.8% 98.7% 98.8% 98.7% 98.8% 98.7% 98.8% 98.7% 98.8% 98.7% 98.8% 98.7% 98.8% 98.7% 98.8% 98.7% 98.8% 98.7% 98.8% 98.7% 98.8% 98.7% 98.8% 98.7% 98.8% 99.0% 98.8% 99.9% 96.5% 96.6% 96.6% 96.6% 96 | | 99.1% | | | | | | 98.0% | | 100.0% | | | | - |
| Santa Fe 97.5% 97.7% 96.7% 98.1% 97.4% 98.6% 98.5% 98.6% 99.5% 98.9% 98.2% Taos 98.7% 97.8% 95.7% 98.3% 98.7% 95.6% 97.5% 98.7% 98.8% 97.8% NE Region 2 Total 97.5% 98.0% 97.7% 98.1% 97.5% 98.7% 98.8% 98.7% 98.5% NE Bernalillo 94.6% 94.7% 98.2% 96.6% 96.7% 98.3% 97.2% 97.4% 96.7% NW Bernalillo 97.6% 95.6% 95.6% 97.1% 96.3% 97.4% 96.7% 99.1% 99.0% 98.3% 96.9% SW Bernalillo 96.6% 95.7% 95.2% 96.6% 97.8% 97.4% 97.7% 97.1% 99.6% 97.8% 100.0% 97.9% 97.9% 90.0% 98.3% 97.9% Torrance 96.9% 96.5% 99.2% 97.7% 99.1% 95.5% 100.0% 97.1% 97. | | 98.2% | 98.3% | 97.9% | 98.4% | | 99.5% | 98.9% | 98.4% | 97.5% | 99.0% | | | \vdash |
| Taos 98.7% 97.8% 95.7% 98.3% 98.7% 95.6% 97.5% 98.7% 98.4% 98.8% 97.8% 97.8% NF Region 2 Total 97.5% 98.6% 96.4% 97.7% 98.1% 97.5% 98.7% 98.3% 98.8% 98.7% 98.5% 98.2% 96.6% 96.5% 96.6% 96.5% 95.7% 98.3% 97.2% 97.4% 96.7% 98.6% 96.6% 96.5% 95.6% 97.8% 98.5% 97.4% 96.7% 98.3% 97.2% 97.4% 96.5% 96.6% 96.5% 95.6% 97.8% 96.6% 96.5% 96.6% 96.5% 95.1% 99.0% 98.3% 96.6% 96.9% 97.0% 98.3% 97.0% 98.3% 97.0% 98.3% 97.0% 97.0% 98.3% 97.0% 97.0% 97.0% 97.0% 97.9% 97.9% 97.9% 97.9% 97.9% 97.9% 97.5% 9 | Santa Fe | | | | | | | | | | | | | 98.2% |
| NE Region 2 Total 97.5% 98.0% 97.7% 98.1% 97.5% 98.7% 98.3% 98.8% 98.7% 98.5% 98.2% NE Bernalillo 94.6% 96.4% 94.7% 98.2% 96.6% 96.7% 98.3% 97.2% 97.4% 96.7% NW Bernalillo 97.6% 95.6% 95.6% 97.1% 96.3% 97.4% 96.6% 97.8% 100.0% 98.3% 90.9% 98.3% 96.9% 96.9% 97.9% 96.6% 97.8% 96.6% 97.8% 100.0% 99.0% 98.3% 97.9% 99.0% 98.3% 97.9% 99.0% 98.3% 97.9% 99.0% 99.0% 97.0% 99.0% 97.7% 97.1% 96.6% 97.8% 100.0% 99.3% 97.5% 97.5% 97.5% 97.9% 100.0% 98.3% 97.5% 99.1% 95.5% 100.0% 97.9% 100.0% 98.3% 97.5% 99.6% 97.9% 100.0% 98.4% 97.0% 99.2% 97.6% 99.5% | Taos | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NE Bernaliillo 94.6% 96.4% 94.7% 98.2% 96.6% 96.5% 96.7% 98.3% 97.2% 97.4% 96.7% NW Bernaliillo 97.6% 95.6% 95.6% 97.1% 96.3% 97.4% 96.7% 95.1% 99.0% 98.3% 96.9% SE Bernaliillo 96.5% 95.7% 95.2% 96.6% 96.4% 97.7% 97.1% 96.6% 97.8% 100.0% 97.9% 99.0% 98.3% 97.9% Torrance 96.9% 96.5% 99.2% 97.7% 97.6% 96.9% 97.9% 97.9% 100.0% 100.0% 98.3% 97.5% Cen. Region 3 Total 96.3% 99.2% 97.7% 97.6% 96.8% 96.9% 97.1% 98.3% 98.4% 97.0% Chaves 98.1% 98.6% 99.5% 99.6% 98.2% 97.6% 99.6% 100.0% 100.0% 98.9% Cury 96.0% 98.7% 98.3% 98.8% 97.3% 99.3% < | NE Region 2 Total | 97.5% | 98.0% | 97.7% | 98.1% | 97.5% | | 98.3% | 98.8% | 98.7% | 98.5% | | | |
| NW Bernalillo 97.6% 95.6% 95.6% 97.1% 96.3% 97.4% 96.7% 95.1% 99.0% 98.3% 96.9% SE Bernalillo 96.5% 95.7% 95.2% 96.6% 96.4% 97.7% 97.1% 96.6% 97.8% 100.0% 97.0% SW Bernalillo 96.5% 95.7% 95.2% 97.8% 97.8% 97.9% 97.9% 99.1% 98.3% 97.5% Torrance 96.9% 96.5% 99.2% 97.7% 99.1% 95.5% 100.0% 97.9% 99.1% 98.3% 97.5% Cen. Region 3 Total 96.3% 96.1% 95.7% 97.6% 96.8% 96.9% 97.1% 97.1% 98.3% 98.4% 97.0% Chaves 98.1% 98.6% 99.2% 98.6% 99.5% 99.6% 99.2% 97.6% 96.8% 97.3% 97.6% 96.9% 100.0% 98.9% Curry 96.0% 98.7% 98.1% 98.8% 97.3% 97.8% 9 | NE Bernalillo | 94.6% | 96.4% | 94.7% | | 96.6% | 96.5% | 96.7% | | | | | | 96.7% |
| SW Bernalillo 96.6% 96.4% 96.9% 97.8% 97.8% 96.8% 97.9% 99.1% 98.3% 97.5% Torrance 96.9% 96.5% 99.2% 97.7% 99.1% 95.5% 100.0% 97.9% 100.0% 100.0% 98.3% 98.3% Cen. Region 3 Total 96.3% 96.1% 95.7% 97.6% 96.8% 96.9% 97.1% 97.1% 98.3% 98.4% 97.0% Chaves 98.1% 98.6% 99.2% 98.6% 99.5% 99.6% 98.2% 97.6% 99.6% 100.0% 99.6% 100.0% 99.6% 99.6% 99.6% 100.0% 99.6% 99.6% 100.0% 99.6% 99.2% 9 | NW Bernalillo | | | 95.6% | 97.1% | | | | | | 98.3% | | | 96.9% |
| SW Bernalillo 96.6% 96.4% 96.9% 97.8% 97.8% 96.8% 97.9% 99.1% 98.3% 97.5% Torrance 96.9% 96.5% 99.2% 97.7% 99.1% 95.5% 100.0% 97.9% 100.0% 100.0% 98.3% Cen. Region 3 Total 96.3% 96.1% 95.7% 97.6% 96.8% 96.9% 97.1% 97.1% 98.3% 98.4% 97.0% Chaves 98.1% 98.6% 99.2% 98.6% 99.5% 99.6% 98.2% 97.6% 99.6% 100.0% 99.6% 100.0% 99.6% 100.0% 99.6% 99.6% 100.0% 99.6% 99.6% 100.0% 99.6% 99.6% 99.6% 100.0% 99.9% 99.6% 99.6% 99.6% 99.6% 99.6% 99.6% 99.6% 99.6% 99.6% 99.6% 99.6% 99.6% 99.6% 99.6% 99.6% 99.6% 99.6% 99.6% 99.6% 99.2% 99.6% 99.2% 100.0% <t< td=""><td>SE Bernalillo</td><td>96.5%</td><td>95.7%</td><td>95.2%</td><td>96.6%</td><td>96.4%</td><td>97.7%</td><td>97.1%</td><td>96.6%</td><td>97.8%</td><td>100.0%</td><td></td><td></td><td>97.0%</td></t<> | SE Bernalillo | 96.5% | 95.7% | 95.2% | 96.6% | 96.4% | 97.7% | 97.1% | 96.6% | 97.8% | 100.0% | | | 97.0% |
| Torrance 96.9% 96.5% 99.2% 97.7% 99.1% 95.5% 100.0% 97.9% 100.0% 100.0% 98.3% 98.4% 97.0% Cen. Region 3 Total 96.3% 96.1% 95.7% 97.6% 96.8% 96.9% 97.1% 97.1% 98.3% 98.4% 97.0% Chaves 98.1% 98.6% 99.2% 98.6% 99.5% 99.6% 98.2% 97.6% 99.6% 100.0% 98.9% 98.9% 99.8% 98.9% 99.8% 99.3% 96.9% 98.6% 98.9% 98.9% 98.0% 98.9% 99.0% 98.0% 99.0% 98.0% 99.0% 98.0% 99.0% 98.0% 99.0% 98.0% 99.0% 99.0% 98.0% 99 | SW Bernalillo | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chaves 98.1% 98.6% 99.2% 98.6% 99.5% 99.6% 98.2% 97.6% 99.6% 100.0% 98.9% Curry 96.0% 98.7% 98.1% 98.3% 98.8% 97.3% 97.8% 99.3% 96.9% 98.6% 98.0% Eddy Artesia 100.0% 98.4% 100.0% 95.9% 100.0% 98.1% 96.2% 96.6% 98.2% 98.2% Eddy Carlsbad 98.5% 98.6% 98.3% 99.4% 97.4% 98.7% 97.2% 98.2% 96.8% 97.9% 98.1% Lea 98.4% 98.4% 98.3% 97.1% 96.1% 98.7% 96.8% 97.7% 99.5% 99.6% 98.1% Lincoln 96.7% 98.6% 98.4% 100.0% 97.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 99.5% 99.6% 98.7% Roosevelt 92.7% 100.0% 96.2% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 99.2% 99.0% | Torrance | 96.9% | 96.5% | 99.2% | 97.7% | 99.1% | 95.5% | | 97.9% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | | 98.3% |
| Chaves 98.1% 98.6% 99.2% 98.6% 99.5% 99.6% 98.2% 97.6% 99.6% 100.0% 98.9% Curry 96.0% 98.7% 98.1% 98.3% 98.8% 97.3% 97.8% 99.3% 96.9% 98.6% 98.0% Eddy Artesia 100.0% 98.4% 100.0% 95.9% 100.0% 98.1% 96.2% 96.6% 98.2% 98.2% Eddy Carlsbad 98.5% 98.6% 98.3% 99.4% 97.4% 98.7% 97.2% 98.2% 96.8% 97.9% 98.1% Lea 98.4% 98.4% 98.3% 97.1% 96.1% 98.7% 96.8% 97.7% 99.5% 99.6% 98.1% Lincoln 96.7% 98.6% 98.4% 100.0% 97.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 99.5% 99.6% 98.7% Roosevelt 92.7% 100.0% 96.2% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 99.2% 99.0% | Cen. Region 3 Total | | | | | | | 97.1% | | | | | | |
| Eddy Artesia 100.0% 98.4% 100.0% 95.9% 100.0% 98.1% 96.2% 96.6% 98.2% 98.2% Eddy Carlsbad 98.5% 98.6% 98.3% 99.4% 97.4% 98.7% 97.2% 98.2% 96.8% 97.9% 98.1% Lea 98.4% 98.4% 98.3% 97.1% 96.1% 98.7% 96.8% 97.7% 99.5% 99.6% 98.1% Lincoln 96.7% 98.6% 98.4% 100.0% 97.0% 100.0% 98.2% 100.0% 99.5% 99.6% 98.1% Lincoln 96.7% 98.6% 98.4% 100.0% 97.0% 100.0% 99.5% 99.6% 98.1% Bcosevelt 92.7% 100.0% 96.2% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 99.3% 99.0% 99.3% 99.0% 99.3% 99.1% 99.3% <t< td=""><td>Chaves</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>100.0%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<> | Chaves | | | | | | | | | | 100.0% | | | |
| Eddy Artesia 100.0% 98.4% 100.0% 95.9% 100.0% 98.1% 96.2% 96.6% 98.2% 98.2% Eddy Carlsbad 98.5% 98.6% 98.3% 99.4% 97.4% 98.7% 97.2% 98.2% 96.8% 97.9% 98.1% Lea 98.4% 98.4% 98.3% 97.1% 96.1% 98.7% 96.8% 97.7% 99.5% 99.6% 98.1% Lincoln 96.7% 98.6% 98.4% 100.0% 97.0% 100.0% 98.2% 100.0% 99.5% 99.6% 98.1% Lincoln 96.7% 98.6% 98.4% 100.0% 97.0% 100.0% 99.5% 99.6% 98.1% Bcosevelt 92.7% 100.0% 96.2% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 99.3% 99.0% 99.3% 99.0% 99.3% 99.1% 99.3% <t< td=""><td>Curry</td><td>96.0%</td><td>98.7%</td><td>98.1%</td><td>98.3%</td><td>98.8%</td><td>97.3%</td><td>97.8%</td><td>99.3%</td><td>96.9%</td><td>98.6%</td><td></td><td></td><td>98.0%</td></t<> | Curry | 96.0% | 98.7% | 98.1% | 98.3% | 98.8% | 97.3% | 97.8% | 99.3% | 96.9% | 98.6% | | | 98.0% |
| Lea 98.4% 98.4% 98.3% 97.1% 96.1% 98.7% 96.8% 97.7% 99.5% 99.6% 98.1% Lincoln 96.7% 98.6% 98.4% 100.0% 97.0% 100.0% 98.2% 100.0% 100.0% 98.2% 99.6% 98.2% 98.7% 98.7% 98.7% 98.7% 98.7% 98.2% 100.0% 99.2% 100.0% 99.2% 100.0% 99.2% 100.0% 99.2% 100.0% 99.3% 99.3% 99.3% 99.3% 99.3% 100.0% 99.2% 100.0% 99.3% 100.0% 99.3% 100.0% 99.3% 99.3% 99.3% 100.0% 99.3% 100.0% 99.3% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% </td <td>Eddy Artesia</td> <td>100.0%</td> <td>98.4%</td> <td>100.0%</td> <td>95.9%</td> <td>100.0%</td> <td>98.1%</td> <td>98.1%</td> <td>96.2%</td> <td>96.6%</td> <td>98.2%</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>98.2%</td> | Eddy Artesia | 100.0% | 98.4% | 100.0% | 95.9% | 100.0% | 98.1% | 98.1% | 96.2% | 96.6% | 98.2% | | | 98.2% |
| Lea 98.4% 98.4% 98.3% 97.1% 96.1% 98.7% 96.8% 97.7% 99.5% 99.6% 98.1% Lincoln 96.7% 98.6% 98.4% 100.0% 97.0% 100.0% 98.2% 100.0% 98.2% 98.7% 98.2% 98.7% 98.2% 98.7% 98.2% 98.7% 98.2% 98.7% 98.2% 98.2% 100.0% 99.2% 100.0% 100.0% 99.3% 99.3% 99.3% 99.3% 99.3% 100.0% 99.2% 100.0% 99.3% 100.0% 99.3% 100.0% 99.3% 99.3% 99.3% 99.3% 99.3% 100.0% 99.3% 99.3% 99.3% 99.3% 99.3% 99.3% 99.3% 99.3% 99.3% 99.3% | Eddy Carlsbad | 98.5% | 98.6% | 98.3% | 99.4% | 97.4% | 98.7% | 97.2% | 98.2% | 96.8% | 97.9% | | | 98.1% |
| Roosevelt 92.7% 100.0% 96.2% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 98.3% 98.2% 99.0% 98.3% 98.3% East Dona Ana 99.0% 98.8% 98.7% 99.7% 100.0% 99.2% 100.0% 98.9% 100.0% 99.2% 100.0% 99.3% 99.3% 99.3% 99.3% 99.3% 99.3% 99.3% 99.3% 99.3% 99.4% 100.0% 99.4% 100.0% 99.3% 99.4% 99.4% 100.0% 99.3% 99.4% 99.4% 99.3% 99.3% 99.4% 99.3% 99.3% 99.4% 99.3% 99.3% 99.4% 99.3% 99.3% 99.4% 99.4% 99.3% 99.4% 99.4% 99.3% 99.4% 99.4% 99.3% 99.4% 99.4% 99.3% 99.4% 99.4% 99.3% 99.4% 99.4% 99.3% 99.4% 99.4% 99.3% | | 98.4% | 98.4% | 98.3% | 97.1% | 96.1% | 98.7% | 96.8% | 97.7% | 99.5% | 99.6% | | | 98.1% |
| SE Region 4 Total 97.4% 98.7% 98.4% 98.3% 98.1% 98.6% 97.6% 98.3% 98.2% 99.0% 98.3% East Dona Ana 99.0% 98.8% 98.7% 99.7% 100.0% 99.2% 100.0% 98.9% 100.0% 99.2% 99.3% Grant 97.9% 100.0% 100.0% 98.0% 100.0% 100.0% 99.4% 100.0% 99.3% 99.1% 99.3% 99.1% 99.4% 100.0% 99.3% 99.4% 99.4% 100.0% | Lincoln | 96.7% | 98.6% | 98.4% | 100.0% | 97.0% | 100.0% | 98.2% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 98.2% | | | 98.7% |
| East Dona Ana 99.0% 98.8% 98.7% 99.7% 100.0% 99.2% 100.0% 98.9% 100.0% 99.2% 99.3% Grant 97.9% 100.0% 100.0% 98.0% 100.0% 100.0% 99.4% 100.0% 99.3% 99.1% 99.4% Luna 99.3% 100.0% 100.0% 99.3% 100.0% <td>Roosevelt</td> <td>92.7%</td> <td>100.0%</td> <td>96.2%</td> <td>100.0%</td> <td>100.0%</td> <td>100.0%</td> <td>100.0%</td> <td>100.0%</td> <td>100.0%</td> <td>100.0%</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>98.9%</td> | Roosevelt | 92.7% | 100.0% | 96.2% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | | 98.9% |
| Grant 97.9% 100.0% 100.0% 98.0% 100.0% 99.4% 100.0% 99.3% 99.1% 99.4% Luna 99.3% 100.0% 100.0% 99.0% 100.0% <td< td=""><td>SE Region 4 Total</td><td>97.4%</td><td>98.7%</td><td>98.4%</td><td>98.3%</td><td>98.1%</td><td>98.6%</td><td>97.6%</td><td>98.3%</td><td>98.2%</td><td>99.0%</td><td></td><td></td><td>98.3%</td></td<> | SE Region 4 Total | 97.4% | 98.7% | 98.4% | 98.3% | 98.1% | 98.6% | 97.6% | 98.3% | 98.2% | 99.0% | | | 98.3% |
| Luna 99.3% 100.0% 100.0% 99.3% 100.0% 100.0% 99.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 99.8% Otero 98.8% 98.4% 98.5% 98.4% 98.7% 98.9% 99.1% 99.5% 98.9% 99.4% 98.9% 99.4% 98.9% 99.4% 99.3% 100.0% 99.3% 100.0% 99.4% 99.3% 100.0% 99.4% 99.3% 100.0% 99.4% 99.3% 100.0% 99.4% 99.3% 99.5% | East Dona Ana | 99.0% | 98.8% | 98.7% | 99.7% | 100.0% | 99.2% | 100.0% | 98.9% | 100.0% | 99.2% | | | 99.3% |
| Otero 98.8% 98.4% 98.5% 98.4% 98.7% 98.9% 99.1% 99.5% 98.9% 99.4% 98.9% 99.9% South Dona Ana 100.0% 99.4% 98.8% 99.0% 100.0% 99.3% 98.8% 99.4% 99.3% 100.0% 99.3% 100.0% 99.4% West Dona Ana 99.5% 99.5% 99.6% 99.5% 99.5% 99.5% 100.0% 99.4% 99.5% SW Region 5 Total 99.1% 99.3% 99.7% 99.6% 99.4% 99.6% 99.5% 99.5% 99.5% | Grant | 97.9% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 98.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 99.4% | 100.0% | 99.3% | 99.1% | | | 99.4% |
| South Dona Ana 100.0% 99.4% 98.8% 99.0% 100.0% 99.3% 98.8% 99.4% 99.3% 100.0% 99.3% 100.0% 99.4% 99.3% 100.0% 99.4% 99.3% 100.0% 99.4% 99.5% 99.5% 99.5% 99.5% 99.5% 99.5% 99.5% 99.5% 99.5% 99.5% 99.5% 99.3% 99.3% 99.3% | Luna | 99.3% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 99.3% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 99.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | | 99.8% |
| West Dona Ana 99.5% 99.5% 98.6% 99.1% 99.7% 100.0% 99.5% 99.5% 100.0% 99.4% 99.4% 99.5% SW Region 5 Total 99.1% 99.3% 99.0% 98.9% 99.7% 99.6% 99.4% 99.6% 99.5% 99.5% 99.3% | Otero | 98.8% | 98.4% | 98.5% | 98.4% | 98.7% | 98.9% | 99.1% | 99.5% | 98.9% | 99.4% | | | 98.9% |
| SW Region 5 Total 99.1% 99.3% 99.0% 98.9% 99.7% 99.6% 99.4% 99.4% 99.6% 99.5% 99.3% | South Dona Ana | 100.0% | 99.4% | 98.8% | 99.0% | 100.0% | 99.3% | 98.8% | 99.4% | 99.3% | 100.0% | | | 99.4% |
| | West Dona Ana | 99.5% | 99.5% | 98.6% | 99.1% | 99.7% | 100.0% | 99.5% | 99.5% | 100.0% | 99.4% | | | 99.5% |
| STATE TOTAL 97.2% 97.3% 97.0% 97.9% 97.7% 98.0% 98.0% 98.0% 98.4% 98.7% 97.8% | SW Region 5 Total | 99.1% | 99.3% | 99.0% | | | 99.6% | 99.4% | 99.4% | 99.6% | 99.5% | | | 99.3% |
| | STATE TOTAL | 97.2% | 97.3% | 97.0% | 97.9% | 97.7% | 98.0% | 98.0% | 98.0% | 98.4% | 98.7% | | | 97.8% |

SNAP: Percentage of Denied Initial Applications Processed Within 30 Days by Field Office¹³

| | | | | | | | | | | | | / / * |
|---------------------|--------|--------|--|--------|----------|---------|--------|--------|--------|---|--|---------|
| | / & | / & | . / ^ | · / & | , / & | , / & | / & | , / 🥺 | ે / જ્ | » / ره | / % / | / & / & |
| | ozi, | W.Y. | , \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ | | Feb. 7,8 | Mar. 78 | 40,10 | Mokize | S Sur | 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 440.78 | Sep. 78 |
| Cibola | 66.7% | 50.0% | 66.7% | 65.9% | 88.1% | 77.1% | 76.9% | 93.0% | 97.7% | 87.8% | (| 77.0% |
| McKinley | 59.0% | 57.8% | 65.9% | 47.9% | 81.7% | 66.2% | 87.0% | 95.6% | 97.3% | 93.6% | | 75.2% |
| San Juan | 35.7% | 51.8% | 46.5% | 66.8% | 77.3% | 83.0% | 89.0% | 90.8% | 94.3% | 95.3% | | 73.0% |
| Sierra | 95.5% | 90.9% | 90.5% | 91.7% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 85.0% | 100.0% | | 95.2% | | 94.9% |
| Socorro | 69.6% | 68.2% | 94.3% | 100.0% | 96.2% | 87.5% | 95.2% | 100.0% | | 100.0% | | 91.1% |
| Valencia North | 45.9% | 41.8% | 45.0% | 44.1% | 78.8% | 73.2% | 90.8% | 96.8% | 98.0% | 100.0% | | 71.4% |
| Valencia South | +3.570 | 41.070 | 40.070 | 77.170 | 70.070 | 70.270 | 30.070 | 30.070 | 30.070 | 100.070 | | 71.470 |
| NW Region 1 Total | 48.3% | 53.2% | 55.5% | 61.8% | 80.5% | 78.8% | 88.6% | 93.9% | 96.5% | 95.4% | | 75.3% |
| Colfax | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 95.2% | 100.0% | 95.2% | 95.7% | 95.2% | 100.0% | | 98.1% |
| Guadalupe | 73.3% | 75.0% | 90.0% | 92.3% | 75.0% | 20.0% | 80.0% | 87.5% | | 100.0% | | 79.3% |
| Quay | 83.3% | 33.3% | 66.7% | 70.6% | 100.0% | 44.4% | 87.5% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | 78.6% |
| Rio Arriba | 70.1% | 56.3% | 43.0% | 69.1% | 72.3% | 76.9% | 82.5% | 96.7% | 92.2% | 93.2% | | 75.2% |
| San Miguel | 66.2% | 77.3% | 90.0% | 92.1% | 90.0% | 85.5% | 84.3% | 94.4% | 96.2% | 100.0% | | 87.6% |
| Sandoval | 70.8% | 69.2% | 74.6% | 66.2% | 85.8% | 84.1% | 92.3% | 98.4% | 100.0% | 98.6% | | 84.0% |
| Santa Fe | 64.7% | 70.6% | 62.1% | 44.3% | 79.6% | 73.0% | 82.5% | 99.1% | 96.9% | 100.0% | | 77.3% |
| Taos | 77.8% | 79.2% | 84.1% | 84.4% | 87.8% | 82.9% | 80.5% | 95.0% | 96.4% | 97.7% | | 86.6% |
| NE Region 2 Total | 70.8% | 69.7% | 68.8% | 66.1% | 83.3% | 79.4% | 86.6% | 97.4% | 97.3% | 98.5% | | 81.8% |
| NE Bernalillo | 43.5% | 40.5% | 44.9% | 47.0% | 84.7% | 70.1% | 86.1% | 88.3% | 94.3% | 94.4% | | 69.4% |
| NW Bernalillo | 41.7% | 42.8% | 48.7% | 50.0% | 78.9% | 84.5% | 85.1% | 87.0% | 95.9% | 97.3% | | 71.2% |
| SE Bernalillo | 52.3% | 52.0% | 74.2% | 60.9% | 93.5% | 82.9% | 83.5% | 96.0% | 94.5% | 97.0% | | 78.7% |
| SW Bernalillo | 63.9% | 62.9% | 50.9% | 67.3% | 95.3% | 88.0% | 90.3% | 93.8% | 95.2% | 96.7% | | 80.4% |
| Torrance | 60.4% | 68.2% | 51.0% | 54.4% | 84.8% | 85.4% | 93.1% | 100.0% | 97.8% | 100.0% | | 79.5% |
| Cen. Region 3 Total | 49.7% | 50.0% | 53.4% | 55.5% | 87.5% | 80.8% | 86.5% | 91.1% | 95.1% | 96.3% | | 74.6% |
| Chaves | 86.4% | 85.7% | 89.3% | 91.5% | 91.7% | 81.3% | 73.4% | 93.5% | 95.7% | 100.0% | | 88.9% |
| Curry | 63.5% | 60.3% | 80.9% | 87.5% | 95.0% | 83.8% | 89.4% | 92.9% | 98.8% | 96.5% | | 84.9% |
| Eddy Artesia | 75.8% | 58.1% | 76.9% | 96.0% | 86.7% | 89.7% | 92.0% | 100.0% | 96.3% | 100.0% | | 87.1% |
| Eddy Carlsbad | 60.7% | 67.6% | 76.4% | 85.7% | 94.8% | 74.5% | 86.3% | 94.2% | 92.9% | 95.6% | | 82.9% |
| Lea | 86.3% | 63.8% | 68.0% | 82.6% | 94.3% | 87.0% | 86.1% | 94.4% | 93.9% | 98.2% | | 85.5% |
| Lincoln | 55.0% | 78.4% | 94.9% | 88.6% | 89.3% | 82.1% | 93.3% | 100.0% | 83.3% | 89.3% | | 85.4% |
| Roosevelt | 77.1% | 68.1% | 88.4% | 91.5% | | 100.0% | 0.0% | | 100.0% | 33.3% | | 69.8% |
| SE Region 4 Total | 74.4% | 69.2% | 81.2% | 88.3% | 93.0% | 83.2% | 84.9% | 94.4% | 94.7% | 97.1% | | 86.0% |
| East Dona Ana | 81.2% | 77.6% | 72.7% | 91.2% | 92.1% | 82.9% | 83.8% | 96.6% | 97.6% | 97.6% | | 87.3% |
| Grant | 73.5% | 81.1% | 72.1% | 80.0% | 92.6% | 96.3% | 90.2% | 97.4% | 97.8% | 91.5% | | 87.2% |
| Luna | 55.8% | 51.1% | 65.9% | 65.3% | 90.2% | 70.6% | 89.1% | 95.2% | 96.4% | 100.0% | | 78.0% |
| Otero | 40.3% | 38.6% | 47.9% | 40.7% | 81.1% | 84.1% | 87.9% | 98.8% | 98.8% | 96.4% | | 71.5% |
| South Dona Ana | 70.8% | 68.1% | 63.2% | 80.6% | 81.1% | 79.8% | 92.9% | 97.9% | 98.8% | 96.6% | | 83.0% |
| West Dona Ana | 65.6% | 55.7% | 70.5% | 79.5% | 85.2% | 86.4% | 94.8% | 98.4% | 98.7% | 97.6% | | 83.2% |
| SW Region 5 Total | 66.6% | 62.3% | 66.5% | 75.4% | 86.4% | 83.5% | 89.8% | 97.6% | 98.2% | 96.9% | | 82.3% |
| STATE TOTAL | 59.5% | 58.9% | 62.6% | 66.5% | 86.1% | 80.9% | 87.3% | 94.1% | 96.2% | 96.7% | | 78.9% |

SNAP Renewals Processed by Field Office²⁰ July 2018


| Office | Approvals | Closures | Need Based Closures | Procedural Closures |
|---------------------------------|-----------|----------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Chaves County ISD | 239 | 123 | 16 | 107 |
| Cibola County ISD | 120 | 72 | 7 | 65 |
| Colfax County ISD | 55 | 22 | 3 | 19 |
| Curry County ISD | 262 | 98 | 7 | 91 |
| East Dona Ana County ISD | 292 | 124 | 21 | 103 |
| Eddy Artesia County ISD | 54 | 34 | 6 | 28 |
| Eddy Carlsbad County ISD | 81 | 57 | 4 | 53 |
| Grant County ISD | 97 | 54 | 5 | 49 |
| Guadalupe County ISD | 23 | 15 | 4 | 11 |
| Hidalgo County ISD | 11 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| Lea County ISD | 197 | 113 | 8 | 105 |
| Lincoln County ISD | 73 | 42 | 4 | 38 |
| Luna County ISD | 135 | 63 | 6 | 57 |
| McKinley County ISD | 367 | 161 | 13 | 148 |
| Northeast Bernalillo County ISD | 625 | 319 | 29 | 290 |
| Northwest Bernalillo County ISD | 519 | 258 | 30 | 228 |
| Otero County ISD | 160 | 87 | 8 | 79 |
| Quay County ISD | 41 | 23 | 4 | 19 |
| Rio Arriba County ISD | 213 | 123 | 7 | 116 |
| Roosevelt County ISD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| San Juan County ISD | 428 | 253 | 25 | 228 |
| San Miguel County ISD | 147 | 58 | 3 | 55 |
| Sandoval County ISD | 361 | 213 | 27 | 186 |
| Santa Fe County ISD | 299 | 172 | 12 | 160 |
| Sierra County ISD | 105 | 34 | 5 | 29 |
| Socorro County ISD | 112 | 40 | 2 | 38 |
| South Dona Ana ISD | 386 | 109 | 24 | 85 |
| Southeast Bernalillo County ISD | 377 | 204 | 11 | 193 |
| Southwest Bernalillo County ISD | 838 | 365 | 38 | 327 |
| Taos County ISD | 113 | 77 | 3 | 74 |
| Torrance County ISD | 101 | 53 | 5 | 48 |
| Valencia North County ISD | 267 | 139 | 15 | 124 |
| Valencia South County ISD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| West Dona Ana County ISD | 359 | 167 | 20 | 147 |
| Centralized Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Statewide Total | 7,457 | 3,677 | 372 | 3,305 |

SNAP Renewal Disposition Rates by Field Office²⁰ July 2018

| Office | Approval Rate | Closure Rate | Need Based Closure Rate | Procedural Closure Rate |
|---------------------------------|---------------|--------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Chaves County ISD | 66.0% | 34.0% | 4.4% | 29.6% |
| Cibola County ISD | 62.5% | 37.5% | 3.6% | 33.9% |
| Colfax County ISD | 71.4% | 28.6% | 3.9% | 24.7% |
| Curry County ISD | 72.8% | 27.2% | 1.9% | 25.3% |
| East Dona Ana County ISD | 70.2% | 29.8% | 5.0% | 24.8% |
| Eddy Artesia County ISD | 61.4% | 38.6% | 6.8% | 31.8% |
| Eddy Carlsbad County ISD | 58.7% | 41.3% | 2.9% | 38.4% |
| Grant County ISD | 64.2% | 35.8% | 3.3% | 32.5% |
| Guadalupe County ISD | 60.5% | 39.5% | 10.5% | 28.9% |
| Hidalgo County ISD | 68.8% | 31.3% | 0.0% | 31.3% |
| Lea County ISD | 63.5% | 36.5% | 2.6% | 33.9% |
| Lincoln County ISD | 63.5% | 36.5% | 3.5% | 33.0% |
| Luna County ISD | 68.2% | 31.8% | 3.0% | 28.8% |
| McKinley County ISD | 69.5% | 30.5% | 2.5% | 28.0% |
| Northeast Bernalillo County ISD | 66.2% | 33.8% | 3.1% | 30.7% |
| Northwest Bernalillo County ISD | 66.8% | 33.2% | 3.9% | 29.3% |
| Otero County ISD | 64.8% | 35.2% | 3.2% | 32.0% |
| Quay County ISD | 64.1% | 35.9% | 6.3% | 29.7% |
| Rio Arriba County ISD | 63.4% | 36.6% | 2.1% | 34.5% |
| Roosevelt County ISD | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| San Juan County ISD | 62.8% | 37.2% | 3.7% | 33.5% |
| San Miguel County ISD | 71.7% | 28.3% | 1.5% | 26.8% |
| Sandoval County ISD | 62.9% | 37.1% | 4.7% | 32.4% |
| Santa Fe County ISD | 63.5% | 36.5% | 2.5% | 34.0% |
| Sierra County ISD | 75.5% | 24.5% | 3.6% | 20.9% |
| Socorro County ISD | 73.7% | 26.3% | 1.3% | 25.0% |
| South Dona Ana ISD | 78.0% | 22.0% | 4.8% | 17.2% |
| Southeast Bernalillo County ISD | 64.9% | 35.1% | 1.9% | 33.2% |
| Southwest Bernalillo County ISD | 69.7% | 30.3% | 3.2% | 27.2% |
| Taos County ISD | 59.5% | 40.5% | 1.6% | 38.9% |
| Torrance County ISD | 65.6% | 34.4% | 3.2% | 31.2% |
| Valencia North County ISD | 65.8% | 34.2% | 3.7% | 30.5% |
| Valencia South County ISD | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| West Dona Ana County ISD | 68.3% | 31.7% | 3.8% | 27.9% |
| Centralized Units | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Statewide Total | 67.0% | 33.0% | 3.3% | 29.7% |

SNAP Renewal Disposition Rates by Region²⁰ July 2018

| Region | Approval Rate | Closure Rate | Need Based Closure Rate | Procedural Closure Rate |
|--------------------|---------------|--------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Region 1 - NW | 66.7% | 33.3% | 3.2% | 30.1% |
| Region 2 - NE | 64.0% | 36.0% | 3.2% | 32.7% |
| Region 3 - Central | 67.2% | 32.8% | 3.1% | 29.7% |
| Region 4 - SE | 66.0% | 34.0% | 3.3% | 30.7% |
| Region 5 - SW | 70.3% | 29.7% | 4.1% | 25.6% |
| Centralized Units | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Statewide Total | 67.0% | 33.0% | 3.3% | 29.7% |



| SNA | AP: Perd | centage | of Appr | oved Re | newal A | Applicat | ions Pro | cessed | by Norm | nal Acce | ssibility | Date ¹¹ |
|---------------------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|--------|----------|----------|-----------|--------------------|
| | 00,47 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cibola | | 71.2% | 73.1% | 79.4% | 90.4% | 88.0% | 93.1% | 94.7% | 92.6% | 95.8% | | 86. |
| McKinlev | | 90.4% | 92.0% | 95.6% | 98.3% | 96.4% | 98.5% | 98.0% | 97.7% | 97.5% | | 96. |
| San Juan | | 85.9% | 80.5% | 89.6% | 94.2% | 93.2% | 96.5% | 96.8% | 92.9% | 95.6% | | 91. |
| Sierra | | 98.1% | 93.6% | 92.8% | 99.0% | 99.0% | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 96.2% | | 97.0 |
| Socorro | | 92.5% | 89.7% | 93.8% | 100.0% | 90.7% | 94.3% | 94.5% | 99.0% | 97.3% | | 94. |
| /alencia North | | 84.1% | 86.9% | 95.8% | 98.6% | 92.6% | 96.0% | 94.3% | 94.0% | 94.4% | | 93.0 |
| Valencia South | | 0 /0 | 00.070 | 00.070 | 00.070 | 02.070 | 00.070 | 0 70 | 0 110 70 | 0,0 | | 1 33 |
| NW Region 1 Total | | 87.1% | 86.0% | 91.2% | 96.8% | 93.3% | 96.4% | 96.4% | 96.0% | 96.1% | | 93.2 |
| Colfax | | 87.8% | 82.5% | 97.9% | 100.0% | 91.2% | 91.1% | 95.9% | 95.9% | 100.0% | | 93.6 |
| Guadalupe | | 94.4% | 93.1% | 100.0% | 95.8% | 100.0% | | 100.0% | 90.9% | 100.0% | | 97. |
| Quay | <u> </u> | 94.3% | 89.5% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 88.0% | 89.4% | 98.1% | 92.0% | 95.1% | | 94.0 |
| Rio Arriba | | 79.7% | 71.9% | 94.2% | 90.0% | 88.3% | 93.1% | 90.3% | 91.6% | 86.4% | | 87.3 |
| San Miguel | | 90.4% | 95.7% | 98.5% | 96.5% | 97.4% | | 98.5% | 97.3% | 98.6% | 1 | 97.0 |
| Sandoval | | 90.1% | 93.8% | 96.2% | 96.3% | 96.3% | 95.5% | 94.5% | 93.8% | 96.1% | | 94.8 |
| Santa Fe | | 87.1% | 92.0% | 95.7% | 96.9% | 97.1% | 98.1% | 95.8% | 96.4% | 96.3% | | 95.0 |
| Taos | | 90.8% | 91.5% | 94.6% | 97.0% | 94.4% | 98.3% | 97.7% | 97.9% | 97.3% | | 95. |
| NE Region 2 Total | | 89.3% | 88.8% | 97.1% | 96.6% | 94.1% | | 96.4% | 94.5% | 96.2% | | 94.3 |
| NE Bernalillo | | 71.8% | 72.1% | 85.0% | 91.2% | 92.6% | 96.0% | 95.1% | 94.3% | 95.2% | , | 88.2 |
| NW Bernalillo | | 85.5% | 80.4% | 93.0% | 96.1% | 94.9% | | 92.1% | 95.1% | 95.2% | | 92. |
| SE Bernalillo | | 79.7% | 81.6% | 91.7% | 96.5% | 96.5% | 96.7% | 93.6% | 97.2% | 97.1% | | 92.3 |
| SW Bernalillo | | 83.4% | 89.0% | 91.8% | 96.1% | 94.6% | 96.3% | 95.0% | 96.9% | 95.5% | | 93.2 |
| Torrance | | 74.5% | 74.3% | 90.3% | 92.4% | 95.7% | | 97.4% | 98.1% | 98.0% | | 90.4 |
| Cen. Region 3 Total | | 79.0% | 79.5% | 90.3% | 94.4% | 94.9% | 95.8% | 94.6% | 96.3% | 96.2% | | 91.2 |
| Chaves | | 91.2% | 92.0% | 95.3% | 98.6% | 98.4% | | 99.1% | 97.8% | 99.6% | , | 96.9 |
| Curry | | 94.1% | 81.9% | 94.9% | 94.6% | 97.6% | 98.9% | 98.9% | 97.9% | 98.9% | | 95.3 |
| Eddy Artesia | | 94.1% | 91.9% | 95.2% | 98.5% | 98.3% | 94.0% | 92.3% | 98.1% | 98.1% | | 95.0 |
| Eddy Carlsbad | | 75.8% | 90.8% | 90.1% | 93.6% | 94.6% | 96.4% | 98.4% | 98.4% | 95.1% | | 92.6 |
| _ea | | 91.8% | 90.9% | 94.0% | 95.8% | 96.0% | 98.8% | 97.0% | 96.3% | 97.0% | | 95.3 |
| incoln | | 87.5% | 92.6% | 95.1% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 96.2% | 93.2% | | 96. |
| Roosevelt | | 93.6% | 86.7% | | | | | | | | | 90. |
| SE Region 4 Total | | 89.7% | 89.5% | 94.1% | 96.8% | 97.5% | 98.0% | 97.6% | 97.5% | 97.0% | | 95.3 |
| ast Dona Ana | | 94.8% | 93.1% | 96.5% | 98.2% | 98.0% | 97.5% | 97.7% | 96.6% | 96.9% | | 96.0 |
| Grant | | 90.5% | 93.5% | 100.0% | 96.7% | 90.1% | 94.1% | 96.3% | 92.1% | 93.8% | | 94. |
| _una | | 91.7% | 84.0% | 91.4% | 95.1% | 92.2% | 95.7% | 95.7% | 94.2% | 97.8% | | 93. |
| Otero | | 87.3% | 86.5% | 97.5% | 93.5% | 92.2% | 93.5% | 97.4% | 88.6% | 95.6% | | 92. |
| South Dona Ana | | 94.3% | 93.1% | 99.4% | 99.1% | 93.0% | 93.9% | 95.2% | 96.8% | 97.9% | | 95.9 |
| West Dona Ana | | 97.4% | 95.0% | 97.9% | 98.9% | 97.7% | 99.2% | 98.2% | 98.1% | 98.9% | | 97.9 |
| SW Region 5 Total | · | 92.7% | 90.9% | 97.1% | 96.9% | 93.9% | 95.7% | 96.7% | 94.4% | 96.8% | | 95.0 |
| STATE TOTAL | | 86.4% | 86.3% | | | | | 95.7% | 95.7% | | | 93.4 |

Includes cases in which the Renewal Packet was received after the 15th of the month, the due date for uninterrupted benefits. No data available for October 2017

| SNAF | P: Percentage | of Appr | oved Re | newal A | Applicat | ions Pro | cessed | by Norm | nal Acce | ssibility | Date ¹¹ | |
|---------------------|--|---------|---------|---|----------|---------------------------------------|--------|---------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | A Volume |
| | 12 00 12 12 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | 0,47,7 | San. To | , A 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 | Mar.78 | A John St. 18 | Mokizo | Z Z Z | , \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ | 4 (19.76) | S. / S. / | / 🚴 |
| , | / & / & | / 🎖 | / 5 | / 48 | / No. | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ | / No. | / 💆 | / 🔻 | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ | / & / | 4 Z |
| Cibola | 72.8% | 86.1% | 82.3% | 92.7% | 96.6% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 99.0% | | | 92.2% |
| McKinley | 92.8% | 93.9% | 97.6% | 99.0% | 99.1% | | 99.7% | 99.7% | | | | 97.7% |
| San Juan | 89.0% | 85.1% | 92.8% | 96.4% | 97.4% | 99.7% | 99.4% | 97.9% | 98.6% | | | 95.1% |
| Sierra | 100.0% | 97.5% | 97.1% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | | 99.4% |
| Socorro | 94.7% | 95.7% | 96.9% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 98.9% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | | 98.5% |
| /alencia North | 89.1% | 93.1% | 96.3% | 98.8% | 98.7% | 100.0% | 99.5% | 99.2% | 100.0% | | | 97.2% |
| /alencia South | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NW Region 1 Total | 89.8% | 91.9% | 93.8% | 97.8% | 98.6% | 99.9% | 99.6% | 99.4% | 99.3% | | | 96.7% |
| Colfax | 95.1% | 91.2% | | | | 100.0% | 97.6% | | 100.0% | | | 98.2% |
| Guadalupe | 96.7% | 92.9% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | | 98.8% |
| Quay | 100.0% | 95.6% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | | 99.5% |
| Rio Arriba | 90.5% | 84.5% | 95.6% | 94.6% | 95.0% | 100.0% | 99.0% | 98.3% | 97.1% | | | 95.0% |
| San Miguel | 93.6% | 96.9% | 100.0% | 96.5% | 99.3% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 99.2% | 100.0% | | | 98.4% |
| Sandoval | 93.1% | 97.0% | 98.7% | 98.5% | 97.9% | 100.0% | 99.4% | 99.7% | 99.3% | | | 98.2% |
| Santa Fe | 91.6% | 97.0% | 97.8% | 97.9% | 99.7% | 99.6% | 99.6% | | 100.0% | | | 98.1% |
| Taos | 95.8% | 94.8% | 96.8% | 98.9% | 98.4% | 98.9% | 99.1% | 98.7% | 100.0% | | | 97.9% |
| NE Region 2 Total | 94.6% | 93.7% | 98.6% | 98.3% | 98.8% | 99.8% | 99.3% | 99.4% | 99.6% | | | 98.0% |
| NE Bernalillo | 75.7% | 77.9% | 90.1% | 93.2% | 96.9% | 99.8% | 98.5% | 98.8% | 99.6% | | | 92.3% |
| NW Bernalillo | 88.7% | 84.5% | 95.0% | 97.5% | 98.7% | 99.0% | 97.5% | 99.5% | 99.7% | | | 95.6% |
| SE Bernalillo | 85.2% | 87.3% | 94.9% | 97.7% | 98.8% | 99.0% | 98.7% | 99.4% | 99.7% | | | 95.6% |
| SW Bernalillo | 88.9% | 93.5% | 95.2% | 98.6% | 98.1% | 99.7% | 99.1% | 99.7% | 99.6% | | | 96.9% |
| Torrance | 83.1% | 80.7% | 97.2% | 95.5% | 98.0% | 99.0% | 99.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | | 94.7% |
| Cen. Region 3 Total | 84.3% | 84.8% | 94.5% | 96.5% | 98.1% | 99.3% | 98.6% | 99.5% | 99.7% | | | 95.0% |
| Chaves | 92.0% | 92.0% | 96.8% | 99.5% | 99.1% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 98.0% | 100.0% | | | 97.5% |
| Curry | 94.6% | 85.4% | 96.7% | 96.3% | 98.3% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 99.4% | 99.1% | | | 96.7% |
| Eddy Artesia | 100.0% | 96.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 98.2% | 97.6% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | | 99.1% |
| Eddy Carlsbad | 80.3% | 94.4% | 95.7% | 94.1% | 97.4% | 97.1% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | | 95.4% |
| _ea | 94.6% | 94.2% | 97.5% | 97.6% | 97.8% | 98.6% | 98.7% | 99.4% | 99.4% | | | 97.5% |
| incoln | 88.5% | 95.7% | 95.9% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 95.5% | 95.3% | | ! | 96.8% |
| Roosevelt | 92.9% | 85.0% | | | | | | | | | | 88.9% |
| SE Region 4 Total | 91.8% | 91.8% | 97.1% | 97.9% | 98.5% | 98.9% | 99.8% | 98.7% | 99.0% | | | 97.1% |
| ast Dona Ana | 96.8% | 97.8% | 99.0% | 99.2% | 99.2% | 100.0% | 99.6% | 99.6% | 99.6% | | ! | 99.0% |
| Grant | 94.2% | 99.1% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 98.8% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 96.9% | | | 98.8% |
| una | 96.9% | 90.2% | 92.9% | 96.5% | 97.6% | 98.3% | 99.1% | 96.7% | 100.0% | | | 96.5% |
| Otero | 96.2% | 96.4% | 100.0% | 97.8% | 100.0% | 99.2% | 100.0% | 98.8% | 100.0% | | | 98.7% |
| South Dona Ana | 97.4% | 97.4% | 100.0% | 99.7% | 99.6% | | 99.3% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | | 99.3% |
| West Dona Ana | 99.1% | 98.2% | 99.1% | | 99.3% | 100.0% | 99.7% | 99.6% | 100.0% | | | 99.4% |
| SW Region 5 Total | 96.8% | 96.5% | | | 99.1% | | 99.6% | 99.1% | | | | 98.6% |
| STATE TOTAL | 90.4% | 91.3% | 96.2% | 97.6% | 98.4% | 99.6% | 99.2% | 99.3% | 99.3% | | | 96.8% |


Only includes cases in which the Renewal Packet was received by the 15th of the month, the due date for uninterrupted benefits. No data available for October 2017

| | SNA | P: Perce | entage o | of Closu | res at Re | enewal | Process | ed by C | ertificat | ion End [| Date ¹¹ | |
|---------------------|--------|------------------|----------|----------|-----------|---------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|---------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | / ~ / |
| | 00° 7. | . / ^K | OSc. 7, | Jan. 18 | Feb. 78 | Mar. 18 | 40r.78 | May 78 | A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A | , Zur, 78 | Aug. 78 | Sep. 78 |
| | / 6 | / 8 | / 🗳 | / 🔊 | / 48 | / Zo | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ | \ \mathbb{Z}_0' | / 🔻 | / 💆 / | / 43° / | 8 / 4 |
| Cibola | | 6.8% | 3.3% | 2.5% | 9.1% | 3.5% | 10.0% | 70.2% | 95.2% | 95.8% | | 32.9% |
| VicKinley | | 3.7% | 6.7% | 6.8% | 7.8% | 6.1% | 15.2% | 85.4% | 92.1% | 92.5% | | 35.1% |
| San Juan | | 7.0% | 5.1% | 5.7% | 8.0% | 7.8% | 32.5% | 89.7% | 93.3% | 91.7% | | 37.9% |
| Sierra | | 19.2% | 17.1% | 8.8% | 25.0% | 15.6% | 91.7% | 100.0% | 96.7% | 73.5% | | 49.7% |
| Socorro | | 11.8% | 4.3% | 0.0% | 7.7% | 15.2% | 77.3% | 66.7% | | 90.0% | | 41.4% |
| Valencia North | | 6.2% | 9.8% | 4.8% | 10.5% | 6.9% | 75.4% | 81.1% | 94.7% | 93.5% | | 42.5% |
| Valencia South | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NW Region 1 Total | | 9.1% | 7.7% | 4.8% | 11.4% | 9.2% | 50.3% | 82.2% | 95.3% | 89.5% | | 39.9% |
| Colfax | | 6.3% | 11.1% | 10.0% | 3.4% | 0.0% | 51.9% | 80.8% | 84.2% | 86.4% | | 37.1% |
| Guadalupe | | 7.7% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 33.3% | 0.0% | 88.9% | 75.0% | 83.3% | 93.3% | | 42.4% |
| Quay | | 15.8% | 6.7% | 0.0% | 4.2% | 7.7% | 72.0% | 80.0% | 100.0% | | | 42.9% |
| Rio Arriba | | 6.1% | 3.9% | 2.9% | 13.7% | 3.8% | 49.5% | 70.3% | 94.4% | 91.9% | | 37.4% |
| San Miguel | | 4.7% | 9.0% | 8.6% | 5.7% | 6.8% | 79.7% | 88.7% | 94.4% | | | 44.2% |
| Sandoval | | 12.9% | 12.8% | 3.0% | 10.3% | 7.1% | 71.7% | 81.0% | 94.4% | 92.5% | | 42.9% |
| Santa Fe | | 3.0% | 5.8% | 9.9% | 4.9% | 8.5% | 77.5% | 89.0% | 93.6% | 94.8% | | 43.0% |
| Taos | | 4.1% | 3.7% | 6.7% | 3.3% | 21.1% | 52.7% | 91.3% | 91.5% | 87.0% | | 40.1% |
| NE Region 2 Total | | 7.6% | 6.6% | 5.1% | 9.9% | 6.9% | 68.0% | 82.0% | 92.0% | 93.2% | | 41.2% |
| NE Bernalillo | | 3.0% | 5.7% | 3.5% | 8.5% | 9.4% | 33.8% | 86.3% | 91.9% | 94.7% | | 37.4% |
| NW Bernalillo | | 3.4% | 3.8% | 20.4% | 51.8% | 11.6% | 60.9% | 78.8% | 96.0% | 96.1% | | 47.0% |
| SE Bernalillo | | 4.1% | 6.1% | 4.2% | 7.5% | 7.7% | 26.3% | 84.2% | 95.5% | 94.6% | | 36.7% |
| SW Bernalillo | | 7.2% | 6.6% | 6.6% | 7.5% | 8.3% | 77.0% | 74.3% | 91.1% | 91.5% | | 41.1% |
| Torrance | | 3.6% | 7.6% | 5.1% | 5.1% | 20.5% | 81.0% | 92.4% | 94.0% | 92.5% | | 44.6% |
| Cen. Region 3 Total | | 4.3% | 6.0% | 8.0% | 16.1% | 11.5% | 55.8% | 83.2% | 93.7% | 93.9% | | 41.4% |
| Chaves | | 7.7% | 12.2% | 8.2% | 13.9% | 15.7% | 94.5% | 93.8% | 93.3% | 94.3% | | 48.2% |
| Curry | | 8.0% | 3.8% | 5.1% | 5.8% | 14.4% | 44.5% | 95.3% | 92.1% | 87.8% | | 39.7% |
| Eddy Artesia | | 6.9% | 10.0% | 10.0% | 9.1% | 11.1% | 83.9% | 75.0% | 100.0% | 91.2% | | 44.1% |
| Eddy Carlsbad | | 8.6% | 4.7% | 3.8% | 6.8% | 12.7% | 8.7% | 92.5% | 95.4% | 94.7% | | 36.4% |
| _ea | | 5.3% | 14.8% | 2.2% | 7.0% | 13.7% | 31.9% | 90.6% | 90.8% | 92.9% | | 38.8% |
| _incoln | | 2.9% | 9.3% | 15.4% | 10.3% | 13.6% | 24.2% | 97.4% | 85.7% | 88.1% | | 38.6% |
| Roosevelt | | 15.0% | 5.4% | 0.0% | | | | | | | | 6.8% |
| SE Region 4 Total | | 7.8% | 8.6% | 6.4% | 8.8% | 13.6% | 48.0% | 90.8% | 92.9% | 91.5% | | 40.9% |
| East Dona Ana | | 12.9% | 9.1% | 5.6% | 9.9% | 14.6% | 83.2% | 84.4% | 98.1% | 89.5% | | 45.3% |
| Grant | | 6.0% | 4.7% | 3.4% | 1.7% | 10.9% | 9.3% | 86.2% | 97.9% | 96.3% | | 35.1% |
| _una | | 5.6% | 1.4% | 3.2% | 7.0% | 9.1% | 6.0% | 85.9% | 89.2% | 95.2% | | 33.6% |
| Otero | | 2.9% | 5.5% | 3.5% | 9.6% | 2.8% | 51.1% | 74.1% | 95.8% | 95.4% | | 37.8% |
| South Dona Ana | | 13.8% | 7.6% | 14.3% | 16.8% | 8.3% | 67.2% | 71.4% | 96.9% | 98.2% | | 43.8% |
| West Dona Ana | | 8.9% | 8.4% | 6.8% | 11.4% | 8.8% | 15.6% | 89.5% | 94.5% | 92.2% | | 37.4% |
| SW Region 5 Total | | 8.3% | 6.1% | 6.1% | 9.4% | 9.1% | 38.7% | 81.9% | 95.4% | 94.5% | | 38.8% |
| STATE TOTAL | | 6.6% | 7.1% | 6.9% | | 9.5% | | | 93.8% | | | 40.7% |

Includes cases for which no renewal application was received. No data available for October 2017

State SNAP Supplement

| | SFY 2015 ¹² | | | SFY 2016 ^{12,9} | | | SFY 20 |)17 ⁹ | | SFY 2 | 018 ⁹ | SFY 2019 ⁹ | | |
|---------|------------------------|--------------|--------|--------------------------|--------------|--------|---------|------------------|--------|---------|------------------|-----------------------|--------|--------------|
| | Cases | Expenditures | | Cases | Expenditures | | Cases | Expenditures | | Cases | Expenditures | | Cases | Expenditures |
| Jul-14 | 9,635 | \$88,859 | Jul-15 | 10,345 | \$86,143 | Jul-16 | 11,537 | \$95,553 | Jul-17 | 12,526 | \$97,568 | Jul-18 | 15,555 | \$141,711 |
| Aug-14 | 9,559 | \$87,757 | Aug-15 | 10,669 | \$88,197 | Aug-16 | 11,522 | \$95,107 | Aug-17 | 12,589 | \$98,954 | Aug-18 | | |
| Sep-14 | 9,688 | \$87,372 | Sep-15 | 10,676 | \$88,426 | Sep-16 | 11,613 | \$94,472 | Sep-17 | 12,679 | \$97,546 | Sep-18 | | |
| Oct-14 | 9,136 | \$70,490 | Oct-15 | 11,262 | \$96,758 | Oct-16 | 11,797 | \$96,679 | Oct-17 | 13,628 | \$117,343 | Oct-18 | | |
| Nov-14 | 9,152 | \$69,955 | Nov-15 | 11,099 | \$91,996 | Nov-16 | 11,735 | \$93,689 | Nov-17 | 13,748 | \$119,055 | Nov-18 | | |
| Dec-14 | 9,322 | \$73,226 | Dec-15 | 11,125 | \$90,771 | Dec-16 | 11,556 | \$93,739 | Dec-17 | 13,877 | \$120,585 | Dec-18 | | |
| Jan-15 | 10,384 | \$84,578 | Jan-16 | 10,977 | \$92,760 | Jan-17 | 11,763 | \$96,074 | Jan-18 | 15,246 | \$135,993 | Jan-19 | | |
| Feb-15 | 10,477 | \$82,901 | Feb-16 | 11,153 | \$95,363 | Feb-17 | 11,907 | \$98,251 | Feb-18 | 15,308 | \$137,296 | Feb-19 | | |
| Mar-15 | 10,423 | \$87,461 | Mar-16 | 11,332 | \$96,751 | Mar-17 | 11,853 | \$96,693 | Mar-18 | 15,482 | \$139,132 | Mar-19 | | |
| Apr-15 | 10,440 | \$79,605 | Apr-16 | 11,222 | \$93,959 | Apr-17 | 11,944 | \$97,060 | Apr-18 | 15,466 | \$139,202 | Apr-19 | | |
| May-15 | 10,491 | \$82,511 | May-16 | 11,283 | \$95,271 | May-17 | 12,457 | \$96,940 | May-18 | 15,525 | \$139,397 | May-19 | | |
| Jun-15 | 10,575 | \$85,134 | Jun-16 | 11,389 | \$93,061 | Jun-17 | 12,498 | \$97,405 | Jun-18 | 15,577 | \$140,802 | Jun-19 | | |
| | | | | | | | | - | | • | | | | |
| TOTAL | 119,282 | \$979,850 | | 132,532 | \$1,109,455 | | 142,182 | \$1,151,662 | | 171,651 | \$1,482,874 | | 15,555 | \$141,711 |
| AVERAGE | 9,940 | \$81,654 | | 11,044 | \$92,455 | | 11,849 | \$95,972 | | 14,304 | \$123,573 | | 15,555 | \$141,711 |




TANF Cases by Field Office⁶

| | | | | Percent | Change |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| | | | | Jul-17- | Jun-18- |
| Office | Jul-17 | Jun-18 | Jul-18 | Jul-18 | Jul-18 |
| Chaves County ISD | 547 | 456 | 466 | -14.8% | 2.2% |
| Cibola County ISD | 132 | 147 | 152 | 15.2% | 3.4% |
| Colfax County ISD | 78 | 88 | 84 | 7.7% | -4.5% |
| Curry County ISD | 495 | 655 | 678 | 37.0% | 3.5% |
| East Dona Ana County ISD | 482 | 410 | 439 | -8.9% | 7.1% |
| Eddy Artesia County ISD | 125 | 99 | 103 | -17.6% | 4.0% |
| Eddy Carlsbad County ISD | 182 | 130 | 136 | -25.3% | 4.6% |
| Grant County ISD | 202 | 155 | 159 | -21.3% | 2.6% |
| Guadalupe County ISD | 30 | 25 | 24 | -20.0% | -4.0% |
| Hidalgo County ISD | 20 | 22 | 23 | 15.0% | 4.5% |
| Lea County ISD | 420 | 363 | 358 | -14.8% | -1.4% |
| Lincoln County ISD | 107 | 103 | 96 | -10.3% | -6.8% |
| Luna County ISD | 219 | 232 | 218 | -0.5% | -6.0% |
| McKinley County ISD | 65 | 60 | 58 | -10.8% | -3.3% |
| Northeast Bernalillo County ISD | 985 | 934 | 969 | -1.6% | 3.7% |
| Northwest Bernalillo County ISD | 790 | 772 | 790 | 0.0% | 2.3% |
| Otero County ISD | 279 | 273 | 292 | 4.7% | 7.0% |
| Quay County ISD | 85 | 61 | 59 | -30.6% | -3.3% |
| Rio Arriba County ISD | 408 | 392 | 400 | -2.0% | 2.0% |
| Roosevelt County ISD | 167 | 0 | 0 | -100.0% | N/A |
| San Juan County ISD | 300 | 293 | 305 | 1.7% | 4.1% |
| San Miguel County ISD | 216 | 195 | 209 | -3.2% | 7.2% |
| Sandoval County ISD | 538 | 522 | 569 | 5.8% | 9.0% |
| Santa Fe County ISD | 434 | 414 | 414 | -4.6% | 0.0% |
| Sierra County ISD | 78 | 73 | 79 | 1.3% | 8.2% |
| Socorro County ISD | 92 | 92 | 96 | 4.3% | 4.3% |
| South Dona Ana ISD | 741 | 652 | 659 | -11.1% | 1.1% |
| Southeast Bernalillo County ISD | 640 | 603 | 604 | -5.6% | |
| Southwest Bernalillo County ISD | 1,061 | 1,096 | 1,120 | 5.6% | 2.2% |
| Taos County ISD | 134 | 143 | 140 | 4.5% | -2.1% |
| Torrance County ISD | 196 | 169 | 183 | -6.6% | 8.3% |
| Valencia North County ISD | 464 | 445 | 454 | -2.2% | 2.0% |
| Valencia South County ISD | 1 | 0 | 2 | 100.0% | |
| West Dona Ana County ISD | 770 | 703 | 716 | -7.0% | |
| Centralized Units | 0 | 6 | 5 | NA | -16.7% |
| | - | | | | |
| Statewide Total | 11,483 | 10,783 | 11,059 | -3.7% | 2.6% |


TANF Cases by Region⁶

| | Percent Change | | | | |
|-------------------|----------------|--------|--------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Region | Jul-17 | Jun-18 | Jul-18 | Jul-17- Jul-18 | Jun-18- Jul-18 |
| Region 1- NW | 1,132 | 1,110 | 1,146 | 1.2% | 3.2% |
| Region 2- NE | 1,923 | 1,840 | 1,899 | -1.2% | 3.2% |
| Region 3- Central | 3,672 | 3,574 | 3,666 | -0.2% | 2.6% |
| Region 4- SE | 2,043 | 1,806 | 1,837 | -10.1% | 1.7% |
| Region 5- SW | 2,713 | 2,447 | 2,506 | -7.6% | 2.4% |
| Centralized Units | 0 | 6 | 5 | N/A | -16.7% |
| | | | | | |
| Statewide Total | 11,483 | 10,783 | 11,059 | -3.7% | 2.6% |


TANF Caseload: SFY-14 to Current




NM Works Activity Placements on July 31, 2018 as reported by SL Start¹⁴



Average Starting Hourly Wage of July 2018 TANF Employments as reported by SL Start¹⁵



Number of New Employments in July 2018 by Region as reported by SL Start¹⁵ Statewide Total: 358




General Assistance Cases by Field Office⁶

| | | | | Percent | Change |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| | | | | Jul-17- | Jun-18- |
| Office | Jul-17 | Jun-18 | Jul-18 | | Jul-18 |
| Chaves County ISD | 130 | 118 | 120 | -7.7% | |
| Cibola County ISD | 50 | 37 | 34 | -32.0% | |
| Colfax County ISD | 26 | 19 | 20 | -23.1% | |
| Curry County ISD | 66 | 81 | 78 | 18.2% | |
| East Dona Ana County ISD | 112 | 105 | 110 | -1.8% | |
| Eddy Artesia County ISD | 22 | 22 | 24 | 9.1% | 9.1% |
| Eddy Carlsbad County ISD | 71 | 74 | 74 | 4.2% | 0.0% |
| Grant County ISD | 75 | 56 | 49 | -34.7% | |
| Guadalupe County ISD | 14 | 19 | 16 | 14.3% | -15.8% |
| Hidalgo County ISD | 12 | 12 | 12 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Lea County ISD | 87 | 79 | 78 | -10.3% | |
| Lincoln County ISD | 31 | 30 | 30 | -3.2% | 0.0% |
| Luna County ISD | 64 | 51 | 53 | -17.2% | 3.9% |
| McKinley County ISD | 38 | 52 | 54 | 42.1% | 3.8% |
| Northeast Bernalillo County ISD | 358 | 344 | 332 | -7.3% | -3.5% |
| Northwest Bernalillo County ISD | 289 | 298 | 292 | 1.0% | -2.0% |
| Otero County ISD | 101 | 85 | 78 | -22.8% | -8.2% |
| Quay County ISD | 31 | 27 | 27 | -12.9% | 0.0% |
| Rio Arriba County ISD | 89 | 73 | 83 | -6.7% | 13.7% |
| Roosevelt County ISD | 20 | 0 | 0 | -100.0% | N/A |
| San Juan County ISD | 110 | 140 | 135 | 22.7% | -3.6% |
| San Miguel County ISD | 76 | 71 | 75 | -1.3% | 5.6% |
| Sandoval County ISD | 122 | 119 | 122 | 0.0% | 2.5% |
| Santa Fe County ISD | 165 | 127 | 131 | -20.6% | 3.1% |
| Sierra County ISD | 33 | 27 | 28 | -15.2% | 3.7% |
| Socorro County ISD | 45 | 36 | 32 | -28.9% | -11.1% |
| South Dona Ana ISD | 62 | 54 | 60 | -3.2% | 11.1% |
| Southeast Bernalillo County ISD | 154 | 159 | 161 | 4.5% | 1.3% |
| Southwest Bernalillo County ISD | 202 | 176 | 176 | -12.9% | 0.0% |
| Taos County ISD | 61 | 40 | 43 | -29.5% | 7.5% |
| Torrance County ISD | 56 | 43 | 40 | -28.6% | -7.0% |
| Valencia North County ISD | 114 | 108 | 118 | 3.5% | 9.3% |
| Valencia South County ISD | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| West Dona Ana County ISD | 166 | 173 | 182 | 9.6% | 5.2% |
| Centralized Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| Statewide Total | 3,052 | 2,855 | 2,867 | -6.1% | 0.4% |

General Assistance Cases by Region⁶


| | Percent Change | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------|--------|--------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Region | Jul-17 | Jun-18 | Jul-18 | Jul-17- Jul-18 | Jun-18- Jul-18 |
| Region 1 - NW | 390 | 400 | 401 | 2.8% | 0.2% |
| Region 2 - NE | 584 | 495 | 517 | -11.5% | 4.4% |
| Region 3 - Central | 1,059 | 1,020 | 1,001 | -5.5% | -1.9% |
| Region 4 - SE | 427 | 404 | 404 | -5.4% | 0.0% |
| Region 5 - SW | 592 | 536 | 544 | -8.1% | 1.5% |
| Centralized Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| | | | | - | • |
| Statewide Total | 3,052 | 2,855 | 2,867 | -6.1% | 0.4% |

General Assistance Caseload: SFY-14 to Current



General Assistance Interim Assistance Reimbursements¹⁶

| | SFY 2015 | | | SFY 2016 | | | SFY 2 | 017 | SFY 2018 | | | SFY 2019 | | |
|---------|----------|--------------|--------|----------|--------------|--------|-------|--------------|----------|-------|--------------|----------|-------|--------------|
| | Cases | Expenditures | | Cases | Expenditures | | Cases | Expenditures | | Cases | Expenditures | | Cases | Expenditures |
| Jul-14 | 67 | \$144,679 | Jul-15 | 122 | \$342,648 | Jul-16 | 56 | \$164,970 | Jul-17 | 74 | \$241,438 | Jul-18 | 69 | \$228,333 |
| Aug-14 | 77 | \$244,233 | Aug-15 | 76 | \$245,236 | Aug-16 | 72 | \$223,182 | Aug-17 | 101 | \$339,301 | Aug-18 | | |
| Sep-14 | 78 | \$168,421 | Sep-15 | 58 | \$170,093 | Sep-16 | 49 | \$158,027 | Sep-17 | 44 | \$131,229 | Sep-18 | | |
| Oct-14 | 68 | \$182,265 | Oct-15 | 89 | \$277,912 | Oct-16 | 92 | \$257,830 | Oct-17 | 101 | \$335,752 | Oct-18 | | |
| Nov-14 | 45 | \$134,482 | Nov-15 | 83 | \$238,948 | Nov-16 | 135 | \$402,305 | Nov-17 | 42 | \$139,572 | Nov-18 | | |
| Dec-14 | 84 | \$239,926 | Dec-15 | 106 | \$388,102 | Dec-16 | 81 | \$227,569 | Dec-17 | 88 | \$245,453 | Dec-18 | | |
| Jan-15 | 68 | \$227,066 | Jan-16 | 79 | \$239,263 | Jan-17 | 82 | \$274,050 | Jan-18 | 65 | \$258,239 | Jan-19 | | |
| Feb-15 | 62 | \$159,564 | Feb-16 | 92 | \$296,332 | Feb-17 | 78 | \$227,569 | Feb-18 | 64 | \$195,815 | Feb-19 | | |
| Mar-15 | 101 | \$317,899 | Mar-16 | 72 | \$254,360 | Mar-17 | 86 | \$278,219 | Mar-18 | 65 | \$172,188 | Mar-19 | | |
| Apr-15 | 75 | \$199,038 | Apr-16 | 59 | \$208,631 | Apr-17 | 83 | \$267,187 | Apr-18 | 94 | \$312,340 | Apr-19 | | |
| May-15 | 57 | \$167,383 | May-16 | 63 | \$182,241 | May-17 | 72 | \$232,836 | May-18 | 59 | \$155,406 | May-19 | | |
| Jun-15 | 65 | \$188,159 | Jun-16 | 21 | \$51,760 | Jun-17 | 102 | \$306,228 | Jun-18 | 56 | \$159,268 | Jun-19 | | |
| | | | | • | | | • | | | | | | | _ |
| TOTAL | 847 | \$2,373,115 | | 920 | \$2,895,525 | | 988 | \$3,019,972 | | 853 | \$2,686,001 | | 69 | \$228,333 |
| AVERAGE | 71 | \$197,760 | | 77 | \$241,294 | | 82 | \$251,664 | | 71 | \$223,833 | | 69 | \$228,333 |




Education Works Cases by Field Office⁶

| | | | | Percent | Change |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|----------|---------|
| | | | | Jul-17- | Jun-18- |
| Office | Jul-17 | Jun-18 | Jul-18 | | Jul-18 |
| Chaves County ISD | 1 | 1 | 0 | | -100.0% |
| Cibola County ISD | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A | |
| Colfax County ISD | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A | |
| Curry County ISD | 1 | 3 | 3 | 200.0% | |
| East Dona Ana County ISD | 1 | 7 | 7 | 600.0% | |
| Eddy Artesia County ISD | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.0% | |
| Eddy Carlsbad County ISD | 1 | 1 | 2 | 100.0% | |
| Grant County ISD | 8 | 8 | 7 | -12.5% | |
| Guadalupe County ISD | 1 | 0 | 0 | -100.0% | |
| Hidalgo County ISD | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A | |
| Lea County ISD | 6 | 1 | 1 | -83.3% | |
| Lincoln County ISD | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A | |
| Luna County ISD | 0 | 1 | 1 | N/A | |
| McKinley County ISD | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A | |
| Northeast Bernalillo County ISD | 15 | 6 | 5 | -66.7% | |
| Northwest Bernalillo County ISD | 7 | 10 | 10 | 42.9% | |
| Otero County ISD | 0 | 1 | 1 | N/A | |
| Quay County ISD | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| Rio Arriba County ISD | 2 | 5 | 3 | 50.0% | -40.0% |
| Roosevelt County ISD | 1 | 0 | 0 | -100.0% | |
| San Juan County ISD | 3 | 1 | 1 | -66.7% | 0.0% |
| San Miguel County ISD | 8 | 3 | 3 | -62.5% | 0.0% |
| Sandoval County ISD | 8 | 6 | 6 | -25.0% | 0.0% |
| Santa Fe County ISD | 8 | 7 | 5 | -37.5% | -28.6% |
| Sierra County ISD | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| Socorro County ISD | 1 | 0 | 0 | -100.0% | N/A |
| South Dona Ana ISD | 12 | 11 | 14 | 16.7% | 27.3% |
| Southeast Bernalillo County ISD | 3 | 2 | 2 | -33.3% | 0.0% |
| Southwest Bernalillo County ISD | 10 | 10 | 11 | 10.0% | 10.0% |
| Taos County ISD | 2 | 1 | 1 | -50.0% | 0.0% |
| Torrance County ISD | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| Valencia North County ISD | 1 | 0 | 0 | -100.0% | N/A |
| Valencia South County ISD | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| West Dona Ana County ISD | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Centralized Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| Statewide Total | 104 | 89 | 87 | -16.3% | -2.2% |
| | 107 | | 01 | . 5.5 /0 | /0 |

Education Works Cases by Region⁶


| | Percent Change | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| | | | | Jul-17- | Jun-18- |
| Region | Jul-17 | Jun-18 | Jul-18 | Jul-18 | Jul-18 |
| Region 1 - NW | 5 | 1 | 1 | -80.0% | 0.0% |
| Region 2 - NE | 29 | 22 | 18 | -37.9% | -18.2% |
| Region 3 - Central | 35 | 28 | 28 | -20.0% | 0.0% |
| Region 4 - SE | 11 | 7 | 7 | -36.4% | 0.0% |
| Region 5 - SW | 24 | 31 | 33 | 37.5% | 6.5% |
| Centralized Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| | | | | · · | |
| Statewide Total | 104 | 89 | 87 | -16.3% | -2.2% |

Education Works Caseload: SFY-14 to Current




Refugee Cash Assistance

| 5 | SFY 2015 ¹² | | | SFY 2016 ^{8,12,9} | | | SFY 20 | 17 ^{8,9} | SFY 2018 ^{8,9} | | | SFY 2019 ^{8,9} | | | |
|---------|------------------------|--------------|--------|----------------------------|------------------------------|--------|--------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------|---------------|--|
| | Cases | Expenditures | | Cases | Expenditures | | Cases | Expenditures | | Cases | Expenditures | | Cases | Expenditures | |
| Jul-14 | 50 | \$11,679 | Jul-15 | 112 | \$27,516 | Jul-16 | 163 | \$39,756 | Jul-17 | 37 | \$9,981 | Jul-18 | 38 | \$7,408 | |
| Aug-14 | 48 | \$11,760 | Aug-15 | 112 | \$27,438 | Aug-16 | 157 | \$40,115 | Aug-17 | 17 | \$4,704 | Aug-18 | | | |
| Sep-14 | 55 | \$13,094 | Sep-15 | 132 | \$31,321 | Sep-16 | 159 | \$39,359 | Sep-17 | 13 | \$3,159 | Sep-18 | | | |
| Oct-14 | 58 | \$13,726 | Oct-15 | 144 | \$36,153 | Oct-16 | 179 | \$41,520 | Oct-17 | 15 | \$3,543 | Oct-18 | | | |
| Nov-14 | 65 | \$16,461 | Nov-15 | 146 | \$36,515 | Nov-16 | 176 | \$45,434 | Nov-17 | 15 | \$4,263 | Nov-18 | | | |
| Dec-14 | 75 | \$18,699 | Dec-15 | 146 | \$35,763 | Dec-16 | 184 | \$46,673 | Dec-17 | 17 | \$4,795 | Dec-18 | | | |
| Jan-15 | 79 | \$19,009 | Jan-16 | 156 | \$38,686 | Jan-17 | 150 | \$38,790 | Jan-18 | 16 | \$4,166 | Jan-19 | | | |
| Feb-15 | 83 | \$21,349 | Feb-16 | 168 | \$41,368 | Feb-17 | 142 | \$37,956 | Feb-18 | 25 | \$5,723 | Feb-19 | | | |
| Mar-15 | 83 | \$20,730 | Mar-16 | 182 | \$45,307 | Mar-17 | 127 | \$33,066 | Mar-18 | 32 | \$7,636 | Mar-19 | | | |
| Apr-15 | 95 | \$23,495 | Apr-16 | 158 | \$41,143 | Apr-17 | 111 | \$29,183 | Apr-18 | 34 | \$8,827 | Apr-19 | | | |
| May-15 | 103 | \$25,363 | May-16 | 160 | \$38,332 | May-17 | 88 | \$23,343 | May-18 | 34 | \$8,939 | May-19 | | | |
| Jun-15 | 109 | \$27,958 | Jun-16 | 163 | \$39,856 | Jun-17 | 60 | \$15,538 | Jun-18 | 33 | \$8,513 | Jun-19 | | | |
| TOTAL | | Фооо ооо | | 4 ==0 | * 400 00 7 | | 4 000 | # 400 7 00 | | | \$74.040 | | | AT 100 | |
| TOTAL | 903 | | | 1,779 | * | | 1,696 | * | | 288 | \$74,249 | | 38 | . , | |
| AVERAGE | 75 | \$18,610 | | 148 | \$36,616 | | 141 | \$35,894 | | 24 | \$6,187 | | 38 | \$7,408 | |




State Supplement for Residential Care


| S | FY 201 | 5 ¹² | SFY 2016 ^{12,9} | | | | SFY 20 |)17 ⁹ | SFY 2018 ⁹ | | | SFY 2019 ⁹ | | |
|---------|--------|-----------------|--------------------------|-------|--------------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------------|-------|--------------|-----------------------|-------|--------------|
| | Cases | Expenditures | | Cases | Expenditures | | Cases | Expenditures | | Cases | Expenditures | | Cases | Expenditures |
| Jul-14 | 48 | \$4,700 | Jul-15 | 47 | \$4,700 | Jul-16 | 37 | \$3,700 | Jul-17 | 26 | \$2,700 | Jul-18 | 22 | \$2,200 |
| Aug-14 | 48 | \$5,000 | Aug-15 | 43 | \$4,900 | Aug-16 | 35 | \$3,500 | Aug-17 | 25 | \$2,500 | Aug-18 | | |
| Sep-14 | 46 | \$4,600 | Sep-15 | 43 | \$4,500 | Sep-16 | 34 | \$3,400 | Sep-17 | 26 | \$2,700 | Sep-18 | | |
| Oct-14 | 48 | \$4,600 | Oct-15 | 42 | \$4,400 | Oct-16 | 32 | \$3,200 | Oct-17 | 24 | \$2,500 | Oct-18 | | |
| Nov-14 | 51 | \$5,600 | Nov-15 | 42 | \$4,200 | Nov-16 | 35 | \$3,500 | Nov-17 | 24 | \$2,400 | Nov-18 | | |
| Dec-14 | 49 | \$5,000 | Dec-15 | 42 | \$4,200 | Dec-16 | 30 | \$3,000 | Dec-17 | 23 | \$2,200 | Dec-18 | | |
| Jan-15 | 48 | \$4,900 | Jan-16 | 42 | \$4,200 | Jan-17 | 48 | \$4,800 | Jan-18 | 23 | \$2,400 | Jan-19 | | |
| Feb-15 | 46 | \$4,800 | Feb-16 | 43 | \$4,300 | Feb-17 | 26 | \$2,600 | Feb-18 | 23 | \$2,300 | Feb-19 | | |
| Mar-15 | 49 | \$4,800 | Mar-16 | 38 | \$3,800 | Mar-17 | 26 | \$2,600 | Mar-18 | 22 | \$2,400 | Mar-19 | | |
| Apr-15 | 49 | \$5,400 | Apr-16 | 37 | \$3,700 | Apr-17 | 28 | \$2,800 | Apr-18 | 22 | \$2,200 | Apr-19 | | |
| May-15 | 47 | \$4,700 | May-16 | 36 | \$3,600 | May-17 | 32 | \$3,200 | May-18 | 22 | \$2,200 | May-19 | | |
| Jun-15 | 47 | \$5,300 | Jun-16 | 35 | \$3,500 | Jun-17 | 29 | \$2,900 | Jun-18 | 22 | \$2,200 | Jun-19 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | · · | | - | | | |
| TOTAL | 576 | \$59,400 | | 490 | \$50,000 | | 392 | \$39,200 | | 282 | \$28,700 | | 22 | \$2,200 |
| AVERAGE | 48 | \$4,950 | | 41 | \$4,167 | | 33 | \$3,267 | | 24 | \$2,392 | | 22 | \$2,200 |



TANF Diversion Payments

| 5 | SFY 201 | 5 ¹² | SFY 2016 ^{12,9} | | | SFY 2017 ⁹ | | | | SFY 2 | 018 ⁹ | SFY 2019 ⁹ | | |
|---------|---------|-----------------|--------------------------|-------|--------------|-----------------------|-------|--------------|--------|-------|------------------|-----------------------|-------|--------------|
| | Cases | Expenditures | | Cases | Expenditures | | Cases | Expenditures | | Cases | Expenditures | | Cases | Expenditures |
| Jul-14 | 23 | \$48,098 | Jul-15 | 29 | \$62,074 | Jul-16 | 16 | \$30,843 | Jul-17 | 13 | \$26,500 | Jul-18 | 4 | \$3,000 |
| Aug-14 | 10 | \$21,810 | Aug-15 | 19 | \$33,584 | Aug-16 | 22 | \$42,731 | Aug-17 | 4 | \$12,000 | Aug-18 | | |
| Sep-14 | 12 | \$23,500 | Sep-15 | 25 | \$47,977 | Sep-16 | 10 | \$21,000 | Sep-17 | 4 | \$4,000 | Sep-18 | | |
| Oct-14 | 18 | \$30,589 | Oct-15 | 11 | \$20,500 | Oct-16 | 8 | \$16,744 | Oct-17 | 4 | \$16,000 | Oct-18 | | |
| Nov-14 | 3 | \$4,411 | Nov-15 | 21 | \$45,500 | Nov-16 | 11 | \$24,500 | Nov-17 | 2 | \$15,500 | Nov-18 | | |
| Dec-14 | 8 | \$14,634 | Dec-15 | 23 | \$45,389 | Dec-16 | 14 | \$26,611 | Dec-17 | 9 | \$17,500 | Dec-18 | | |
| Jan-15 | 10 | \$18,000 | Jan-16 | 23 | \$41,874 | Jan-17 | 9 | \$18,500 | Jan-18 | 9 | \$21,731 | Jan-19 | | |
| Feb-15 | 2 | \$5,500 | Feb-16 | 15 | \$28,500 | Feb-17 | 6 | \$12,000 | Feb-18 | 2 | \$7,731 | Feb-19 | | |
| Mar-15 | 6 | \$12,000 | Mar-16 | 15 | \$27,008 | Mar-17 | 6 | \$11,000 | Mar-18 | 6 | \$8,496 | Mar-19 | | |
| Apr-15 | 14 | \$26,000 | Apr-16 | 15 | \$31,389 | Apr-17 | 6 | \$12,000 | Apr-18 | 5 | \$13,500 | Apr-19 | | |
| May-15 | 11 | \$21,500 | May-16 | 15 | \$31,916 | May-17 | 6 | \$11,500 | May-18 | 4 | \$12,500 | May-19 | | |
| Jun-15 | 21 | \$40,039 | Jun-16 | 22 | \$43,000 | Jun-17 | 4 | \$13,500 | Jun-18 | 1 | \$5,389 | Jun-19 | | |
| | | | | • | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | 138 | \$266,081 | | 233 | \$458,711 | | 118 | \$240,929 | | 63 | \$160,847 | | 4 | \$3,000 |
| AVERAGE | 12 | \$22,173 | | 19 | \$38,226 | | 10 | \$20,077 | | 5 | \$13,404 | | 4 | \$3,000 |






Cash Assistance Applications by Field Office^{4,11} July 2018

| Office | Approved | Denied | Withdrawn | Total Cases Processed |
|---------------------------------|----------|--------|-----------|--------------------------|
| Chaves County ISD | 84 | 113 | 30 | 227 |
| Cibola County ISD | 25 | 38 | 9 | 72 |
| Colfax County ISD | 7 | 16 | 4 | 27 |
| Curry County ISD | 101 | 132 | 23 | 256 |
| East Dona Ana County ISD | 79 | 105 | 50 | 234 |
| Eddy Artesia County ISD | 24 | 32 | 3 | 59 |
| Eddy Carlsbad County ISD | 26 | 60 | 19 | 105 |
| Grant County ISD | 38 | 38 | 10 | 86 |
| Guadalupe County ISD | 4 | 4 | 4 | 12 |
| Hidalgo County ISD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lea County ISD | 78 | 102 | 23 | 203 |
| Lincoln County ISD | 11 | 26 | 9 | 46 |
| Luna County ISD | 36 | 26 | 30 | 92 |
| McKinley County ISD | 14 | 68 | 39 | 121 |
| Northeast Bernalillo County ISD | 174 | 277 | 176 | 627 |
| Northwest Bernalillo County ISD | 117 | 260 | 127 | 504 |
| Otero County ISD | 54 | 69 | 23 | 146 |
| Quay County ISD | 5 | 8 | 5 | 18 |
| Rio Arriba County ISD | 56 | 64 | 20 | 140 |
| Roosevelt County ISD | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| San Juan County ISD | 49 | 178 | 63 | 290 |
| San Miguel County ISD | 37 | 36 | 17 | 90 |
| Sandoval County ISD | 100 | 149 | 69 | 318 |
| Santa Fe County ISD | 61 | 119 | 46 | 226 |
| Sierra County ISD | 18 | 15 | 0 | 33 |
| Socorro County ISD | 12 | 24 | 9 | 45 |
| South Dona Ana ISD | 73 | 71 | 24 | 168 |
| Southeast Bernalillo County ISD | 94 | 151 | 63 | 308 |
| Southwest Bernalillo County ISD | 183 | 206 | 72 | 461 |
| Taos County ISD | 17 | 39 | 15 | 71 |
| Torrance County ISD | 30 | 46 | 8 | 84 |
| Valencia North County ISD | 79 | 89 | 25 | 193 |
| Valencia South County ISD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| West Dona Ana County ISD | 98 | 153 | 55 | 306 |
| Centralized Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Statewide Total | 1,784 | 2,716 | 1,070 | 5,570 |

Cash Assistance Applications by Region^{4,11} July 2018

| | Approved | Denied | Withdrawn | Total Cases Processed |
|--------------------|----------|--------|-----------|--------------------------|
| Region | | | | |
| Region 1 - NW | 197 | 412 | 145 | 754 |
| Region 2 - NE | 287 | 435 | 180 | 902 |
| Region 3 - Central | 598 | 940 | 446 | 1,984 |
| Region 4 - SE | 324 | 467 | 107 | 898 |
| Region 5 - SW | 378 | 462 | 192 | 1,032 |
| Centralized Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Statewide Total | 1,784 | 2,716 | 1,070 | 5,570 |
|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|



Children Youth and Families Department Children Receiving Child Care Assistance Subsidies By County

| | July | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | June | July | Change Fr. | |
|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------|---------|
| County | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | 2018 | 2018 | 2018 | 2018 | 2018 | 2018 | 2018 | Cases | Percent |
| Bernalillo | 7,547 | 7,616 | 8,154 | 7,848 | 7,985 | 7,903 | 7,801 | 7,739 | 7,824 | 7,853 | 7,925 | 8,146 | 7,735 | 188 | 2.5% |
| Catron | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% |
| Chaves | 826 | 821 | 889 | 884 | 870 | 855 | 843 | 823 | 807 | 791 | 819 | 865 | 837 | 11 | 1.3% |
| Cibola | 293 | 294 | 311 | 304 | 303 | 296 | 291 | 289 | 277 | 291 | 286 | 295 | 289 | -4 | -1.4% |
| Colfax | 46 | 41 | 44 | 41 | 42 | 42 | 47 | 50 | 51 | 56 | 57 | 59 | 56 | 10 | 21.7% |
| Curry | 486 | 459 | 422 | 446 | 467 | 470 | 493 | 514 | 517 | 536 | 538 | 535 | 539 | 53 | 10.9% |
| De Baca | 12 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | -8 | -66.7% |
| Dona Ana | 4,509 | 4,473 | 4,693 | 4,585 | 4,581 | 4,492 | 4,349 | 4,382 | 4,322 | 4,349 | 4,369 | 4,455 | 4,260 | -249 | -5.5% |
| Eddy | 292 | 287 | 313 | 297 | 297 | 263 | 256 | 257 | 240 | 242 | 234 | 245 | 264 | -28 | -9.6% |
| Grant | 134 | 114 | 164 | 161 | 157 | 159 | 139 | 127 | 133 | 140 | 138 | 142 | 110 | -24 | -17.9% |
| Guadalupe | 16 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 11 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | -2 | -12.5% |
| Harding | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.0% |
| Hidalgo | 37 | 37 | 39 | 39 | 35 | 32 | 32 | 36 | 40 | 35 | 37 | 36 | 37 | 0 | 0.0% |
| Lea | 837 | 856 | 954 | 909 | 895 | 833 | 824 | 827 | 855 | 805 | 817 | 817 | 806 | -31 | -3.7% |
| Lincoln | 146 | 145 | 150 | 147 | 136 | 132 | 129 | 129 | 128 | 130 | 133 | 129 | 129 | -17 | -11.6% |
| Los Alamos | 17 | 16 | 19 | 18 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 17 | 18 | 22 | 27 | 10 | 58.8% |
| Luna | 165 | 176 | 177 | 177 | 166 | 171 | 167 | 161 | 166 | 166 | 163 | 162 | 143 | -22 | -13.3% |
| McKinley | 145 | 136 | 161 | 165 | 167 | 166 | 168 | 156 | 158 | 162 | 168 | 176 | 168 | 23 | 15.9% |
| Mora | 18 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 18 | 20 | 18 | 21 | 21 | 26 | 8 | 44.4% |
| Otero | 500 | 491 | 530 | 525 | 518 | 531 | 519 | 533 | 532 | 518 | 504 | 502 | 490 | -10 | -2.0% |
| Out of State | 14 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 12 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 6 | -8 | -57.1% |
| Quay | 35 | 36 | 39 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 36 | 36 | 35 | 33 | 33 | 38 | 3 | 8.6% |
| Rio Arriba | 144 | 145 | 159 | 163 | 166 | 165 | 154 | 151 | 152 | 148 | 146 | 147 | 146 | 2 | 1.4% |
| Roosevelt | 123 | 125 | 137 | 120 | 120 | 127 | 119 | 117 | 113 | 109 | 101 | 102 | 94 | -29 | -23.6% |
| San Juan | 979 | 960 | 1,132 | 1,050 | 1,043 | 1,039 | 1,005 | 1,036 | 1,026 | 1,053 | 1,030 | 1,059 | 928 | -51 | -5.2% |
| San Miguel | 366 | 356 | 362 | 368 | 371 | 372 | 357 | 353 | 362 | 370 | 378 | 376 | 375 | 9 | 2.5% |
| Sandoval | 932 | 948 | 974 | 859 | 839 | 836 | 794 | 774 | 765 | 782 | 765 | 798 | 739 | -193 | -20.7% |
| Santa Fe | 534 | 520 | 580 | 554 | 547 | 526 | 514 | 523 | 506 | 514 | 531 | 545 | 533 | -1 | -0.2% |
| Sierra | 74 | 76 | 79 | 86 | 80 | 77 | 59 | 76 | 67 | 69 | 70 | 62 | 53 | -21 | -28.4% |
| Socorro | 56 | 63 | 63 | 68 | 66 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 73 | 75 | 73 | 68 | 55 | -1 | -1.8% |
| Taos | 132 | 137 | 145 | 139 | 142 | 141 | 141 | 139 | 139 | 138 | 135 | 135 | 138 | 6 | 4.5% |
| Torrance | 107 | 117 | 106 | 99 | 115 | 115 | 114 | 110 | 104 | 116 | 115 | 120 | 109 | 2 | 1.9% |
| Union | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0.0% |
| Valencia | 642 | 677 | 714 | 723 | 713 | 678 | 651 | 640 | 634 | 612 | 624 | 644 | 615 | | -4.2% |
| Totals | 20,169 | 20,183 | 21,572 | 20,871 | 20,935 | 20,599 | 20,147 | 20,116 | 20,094 | 20,159 | 20,259 | 20,728 | 19,769 | -400 | -2.0% |

CHILDREN YOUTH AND FAMILIES DEPARTMENT CHILD CARE ASSISTANCE ELIGIBILITY PROGRAMS

| MONTH | AUG'17 | SEP'17 | OCT'17 | NOV'17 | DEC'17 | JAN'18 | FEB'18 | MAR'18 | APR'18 | May'18 | June'18 | July'18 |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|
| TANF (Child Care Priority 1 | (Clients | | | | | | | | | | - | |
| CLIENTS | 2,353 | 2,455 | 2,419 | 2,374 | 2,315 | 2,240 | 2,153 | 2,178 | 2,175 | 2,118 | 2,164 | 2,074 |
| COST | \$1,381,202 | \$1,364,956 | \$1,423,748 | \$1,411,060 | \$1,382,073 | \$1,317,716 | \$1,272,232 | \$1,325,003 | \$1,300,872 | \$1,244,115 | \$1,242,370 | \$1,255,788 |
| TANF AVERAGE COST | \$587 | \$556 | \$589 | \$594 | \$597 | \$588 | \$591 | \$608 | \$598 | \$587 | \$574 | \$605 |
| TRANSITION OFF TANF (Child | Care Priority 2 | Clients) | | | | | | | | | - | |
| CLIENTS | 189 | 179 | 181 | 154 | 152 | 141 | 138 | 142 | 148 | 169 | 172 | 16 ² |
| COST | \$103,813 | \$93,119 | \$96,629 | \$81,975 | \$81,337 | \$74,507 | \$72,696 | \$77,354 | \$80,098 | \$93,823 | \$96,261 | \$97,664 |
| TRAN OFF TANF AVG COST | \$549 | \$520 | \$534 | \$532 | \$535 | \$528 | \$527 | \$545 | \$541 | \$555 | \$560 | \$607 |
| TANF ELIGIBLE (Child Car | re Priority 1B CI | ients at or belov | w 100% of the F | ederal Poverty | Level) | | | | | | - | |
| CLIENTS | 8,254 | 9,064 | 8,899 | 8,900 | 8,729 | 8,435 | 8,374 | 8,373 | 8,382 | 8,570 | 8,816 | 8,404 |
| COST | \$4,657,974 | \$4,755,691 | \$5,021,574 | \$5,099,212 | \$4,994,373 | \$4,722,370 | \$4,675,492 | \$4,802,863 | \$4,766,353 | \$4,896,651 | \$4,879,475 | \$4,946,700 |
| TANF ELIGIBLE AVG COST | \$564 | \$525 | \$564 | \$573 | \$572 | \$560 | \$558 | \$574 | \$569 | \$571 | \$553 | \$589 |
| INCOME ELIGIBLE (Child | Care Priority 3, | and Priority 4 C | Clients) | | | | | | | | - | |
| CLIENTS | 7,337 | 7,749 | 7,464 | 7,578 | 7,580 | 7,665 | 7,868 | 7,944 | 8,059 | 8,099 | 8,261 | 7,808 |
| COST | \$3,838,152 | \$3,779,202 | \$3,760,398 | \$3,838,169 | \$3,824,777 | \$3,785,466 | \$3,929,313 | \$4,010,729 | \$4,051,487 | \$4,104,126 | \$4,096,260 | \$4,133,552 |
| INCOME ELIG AVG COST | \$523 | \$488 | \$504 | \$506 | \$505 | \$494 | \$499 | \$505 | \$503 | \$507 | \$496 | \$529 |
| AT-RISK CHILD PROTECTIVE | SERVICES CHIL | D CARE PILOT | (| Priority 5 Clien | ts) | | | | | | - | |
| CLIENTS | 1,073 | 1,152 | 1,032 | 1,027 | 935 | 772 | 689 | 578 | 516 | 424 | 393 | 405 |
| COST | \$686,919 | \$723,334 | \$684,194 | \$678,258 | \$628,399 | \$509,028 | \$486,780 | \$381,318 | \$337,243 | \$276,746 | \$265,193 | \$270,783 |
| CPS AVG COST | \$640 | \$628 | \$663 | \$660 | \$672 | \$659 | \$707 | \$660 | \$654 | \$653 | \$675 | \$669 |
| CHILD PROTECTIVE SERVICE | S (Child | Care CPS Clien | nts) | | | | | | | | - | |
| CLIENTS | 977 | 973 | 876 | 902 | 888 | 894 | 894 | 879 | 879 | 879 | 942 | 917 |
| COST | \$663,134 | \$623,416 | \$567,608 | \$585,009 | \$573,312 | \$583,824 | \$602,246 | \$605,523 | \$564,253 | \$619,678 | \$600,858 | \$597,105 |
| CPS AVG COST | \$679 | \$641 | \$648 | \$649 | \$646 | \$653 | \$674 | \$689 | \$642 | \$705 | \$638 | \$651 |
| GRAND TOTAL - ALL CHILD C | ARE PROGRAM | ıs | | | | | | | | | - | |
| CLIENTS | 20,169 | 20,183 | 20,871 | 20,935 | 20,599 | 20,147 | 20,116 | 20,094 | 20,159 | 20,259 | 20,748 | 19,769 |
| COST | \$11,033,199 | \$11,331,194 | \$11,554,151 | \$11,693,682 | \$11,484,272 | \$10,992,912 | \$11,038,760 | \$11,202,789 | \$11,100,307 | \$11,235,139 | \$11,180,41 | 8 \$11,301,59 |
| ALL PROGRAMS AVG COST | \$528 | \$524 | \$547 | \$561 | \$558 | \$546 | \$549 | \$558 | \$551 | \$555 | \$539 | \$572 |

Income Eligibility Guidelines: October 1, 2017 - September 30, 2018

Federal Poverty Guidelines (FPG) Monthly Income Standards

| Supplemental Nutrition Program (SNAP) | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Household Size | 100% FPG Net Income | 130% FPG Gross Income | 165% FPG Gross Income for Categorical Eligibility | Maximum SNAP Monthly Allotment | LIHEAP 150% FPG | | | |
| 1 | \$1,005 | \$1,307 | \$1,659 | \$192 | \$1,508 | | | |
| 2 | \$1,354 | \$1,760 | \$2,233 | \$352 | \$2,031 | | | |
| 3 | \$1,702 | \$2,213 | \$2,808 | \$504 | \$2,553 | | | |
| 4 | \$2,050 | \$2,665 | \$3,383 | \$640 | \$3,075 | | | |
| 5 | \$2,399 | \$3,118 | \$3,958 | \$760 | \$3,599 | | | |
| 6 | \$2,747 | \$3,571 | \$4,532 | \$913 | \$4,121 | | | |
| 7 | \$3,095 | \$4,024 | \$5,107 | \$1,009 | \$4,643 | | | |
| 8 | \$3,444 | \$4,477 | \$5,682 | \$1,153 | \$5,166 | | | |
| +1 | +\$349 | +\$453 | +\$575 | +\$144 | +\$523 | | | |

Minimum Allotment of \$15 effective from 10/1/2017 - 9/30/2018

DEDUCTIONS: Standard Deduction: For HH size 1-3 = \$160; 4 = \$170; 5 = \$199; 6 or more = \$228; Excess Shelter Deduction Limit: \$535; Heating and Cooling Standard Utility Allowance: \$320 (HCSUA) – Limited Utility Allowance: \$127 (LUA); Telephone Standard: \$42; Dependent Care: Actual Amount (No Limit); Earned Income Deduction: 20%; Homeless Shelter Standard: \$143

| CASH Assistance & Support Services | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|------------|--|--|--|
| | Federal Poverty G | uidelines (FPG) Mo | Maximum Monthly Benefit | | | | | |
| Household | 100% FP G Net | 85% FPG Gross | NM Works Cash Net | Maximum Monthly | General | | | |
| Size | Income | Limit | Income | Benefit | Assistance | | | |
| 1 | \$1,005 | \$854 | \$266 | \$244 | \$245 | | | |
| 2 | \$1,354 | \$1,151 | \$357 | \$327 | \$329 | | | |
| 3 | \$1,702 | \$1,447 | \$447 | \$409 | \$412 | | | |
| 4 | \$2,050 | \$1,743 | \$539 | \$493 | \$496 | | | |
| 5 | \$2,399 | \$2,039 | \$630 | \$576 | \$580 | | | |
| 6 | \$2,747 | \$2,335 | \$721 | \$659 | \$664 | | | |
| 7 | \$3,095 | \$2,631 | \$812 | \$743 | \$748 | | | |
| 8 | \$3,444 | \$2,927 | \$922 | \$843 | \$849 | | | |
| +1 | +\$349 | +\$296 | +\$91 | +\$83 | +\$84 | | | |

Deductions- Dependent Care: For a child under age 2 = \$200; For a child age 2 and over = \$175. Work Incentives- Earned Income Disregard: Single parent = \$125 & ½ remainder; Two parent = \$225 & ½ remainder.

ISD 017 Revised 08/9/2017

Medical Assistance Division

Federal Poverty Guidelines
New Mexico Affordable Care Eligibility Groups
Effective April 01, 2018 thru March 31, 2019
Monthly Guidelines

| HH SIZE | PERCENT OF POVERTY | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--------------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 138% | 190% | 240% | 250% | 300% | | | | | |
| 1 | \$1,397 | \$1,923 | \$2,428 | \$2,530 | \$3,035 | | | | | |
| 2 | \$1,893 | \$2,607 | \$3,292 | \$3,430 | \$4,115 | | | | | |
| 3 | \$2,390 | \$3,291 | \$4,156 | \$4,330 | \$5,195 | | | | | |
| 4 | \$2,887 | \$3,975 | \$5,020 | \$5,230 | \$6,275 | | | | | |
| 5 | \$3,384 | \$4,659 | \$5,884 | \$6,130 | \$7,355 | | | | | |
| 6 | \$3,881 | \$5,343 | \$6,748 | \$7,030 | \$8,435 | | | | | |
| 7 | \$4,377 | \$6,027 | \$7,612 | \$7,930 | \$9,515 | | | | | |
| 8 | \$4,874 | \$6,711 | \$8,476 | \$8,830 | \$10,595 | | | | | |

138% Adult Expansion, 190% Children ages 6 to 19 (Medicaid), 240% Children ages 0 to 6 (Medicaid); ages 6 to 19 (CHIP), 250% Pregnancy Related Services Only, 300% Children 0 to 6 (CHIP)

Medical Assistance Division

Federal Poverty Guidelines
New Mexico Affordable Care Eligibility Groups
Effective April 01, 2018 thru March 31, 2019

Annual Guidelines

| HH SIZE | | PERCENT OF POVERTY | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| TITT SIZE | 138% | 190% | 240% | 250% | 300% | | | | | |
| 1 | \$16,764 | \$23,076 | \$29,136 | \$30,360 | \$36,420 | | | | | |
| 2 | \$22,716 | \$31,284 | \$39,504 | \$41,160 | \$49,380 | | | | | |
| 3 | \$28,680 | \$39,492 | \$49,872 | \$51,960 | \$62,340 | | | | | |
| 4 | \$34,644 | \$47,700 | \$60,240 | \$62,760 | \$75,300 | | | | | |
| 5 | \$40,608 | \$55,908 | \$70,608 | \$73,560 | \$88,260 | | | | | |
| 6 | \$46,572 | \$64,116 | \$80,976 | \$84,360 | \$101,220 | | | | | |
| 7 | \$52,524 | \$72,324 | \$91,344 | \$95,160 | \$114,180 | | | | | |
| 8 | \$58,488 | \$80,532 | \$101,712 | \$105,960 | \$127,140 | | | | | |
| 4.000 | 1000/ 11 11 5 1 1000/ 01 11 1 | | | | | | | | | |

138% Adult Expansion, 190% Children ages 6 to 19 (Medicaid), 240% Children ages 0 to 6 (Medicaid); ages 6 to 19 (CHIP), 250% Pregnancy Related Services Only, 300% Children 0 to 6 (CHIP)

References


- ¹ Medicaid Expenditures (Omnicaid, Medicare Buy-Ins, Lump Sum Payments), Received on August 16, 2018
- ² ASPEN Business Intelligence Tool: Eligibility, Retrieved on August 13, 2018
- ³ New Mexico Human Services Department, *Medicaid Eligibility Reports*, Retrieved from http://www.hsd.state.nm.us/LookingForInformation/medicaid-eligibility.aspx on August 13, 2018
- ⁴ ASPEN Business Intelligence Tool: Application Tracking, Retrieved on August 13, 2018
- ⁵ Fidelity Information Services, EBT Daily Statistical Report (for 07/31/2017, 06/30/2018, and 07/31/2018), Retrieved on August 13, 2018
- ⁶ ASPEN Report DQ-180: Length of Time Cases are Open (for 07/01/2017-07/30/2017, 06/01/2018-06/30/2018, 07/01/2018-07/31/2018), Retrieved on August 16, 2018
- ⁷ ASPEN Report EY-180: Individual Demographics by State and Age (for 07/01/2017-07/31/2017, 06/01/2018-06/30/2018, 07/01/2018-07/31/2018), Retrieved July 16, 2018
- ⁸ ASPEN Business Intelligence Tool: Benefit Issuance, Retrieved on August 13, 2018
- ⁹ Fidelity Information Services, *EBT Daily Statistical Reports* (Month End), Retrieved on August 13, 2018
- ¹⁰ ASPEN Report EY-180R: Individual Demographics by State, Race, Ethnicity and Gender (for 07/01/2018-07/31/2018), Retrieved on August 16, 2018
- ¹¹SQL Queries from ASPEN, completed August 2018
- ¹²JP Morgan, New Mexico NM EBT System- Monthly Benefit Draw Down Totals
- ¹³ ASPEN Business Intelligence Tool: Application Tracking (w/Parameters: SNAP, Expedite, Approved), Retrieved on August 13, 2018
- ¹⁴SL Start, As of Today Report 07.31.18, received August 10, 2018
- ¹⁵SL Start, Statewide Employment Report 07.01.18, received August, 2018
- ¹⁶SSIAR Payments, received August 2, 2018

¹⁷ Children, Youth, and Families Department, *Children Receiving Child Care Assistance Subsidies by County*, Received on August 10, 2018

¹⁸ Children, Youth, and Families Department, *Child Care Assistance Eligibility Programs*, Received on August 10, 2018

¹⁹ EDG is defined as an Eligibility Determination Group

²⁰SQL Query from ASPEN, completed August 25, 2018



For a complete listing of field offices and other government and community resources: http://www.hsd.state.nm.us/LookingForAssistance/Field_Offices_1.aspx